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## I. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

## A. Introduction

The Rapid City Area is a diverse, vibrant region dependent on a robust and efficient transportation network to support the residents and many visitors to the region. It is expected that continued steady growth in the region's population will require the continued improvement of transportation facilities to maintain the area's high quality of life.

The Rapid City Area Metropolitan Planning Organization (RCAMPO) is responsible for the regional coordination of transportation services throughout the 478-square-mile planning area. The metropolitan planning area, depicted in Figure 1, includes the cities of Box Elder, Piedmont, Rapid City, and Summerset, and portions of unincorporated Meade and Pennington Counties. Significant institutions within the planning area include Ellsworth Air Force Base and South Dakota School of Mines, along with major tourist destinations in the region, including Mount Rushmore National Memorial and Badlands National Park.

Figure 1. Rapid City Area Metropolitan Planning Organization Boundaries



RapidTRIP 2040 is the long-range transportation plan for the Rapid City Metropolitan Planning Area. It is a comprehensive study of the transportation network emphasizing the transportation modes of automobile, bicycle, pedestrian, and transit including interaction of these modes with aviation and freight movement by railroad and trucking throughout the region. RapidTRIP 2040 identifies the transportation Needs Plan for the region, identifies anticipated future funding availability, and establishes the Fiscally Constrained Plan for the region over the next 25 years.

## B. Planning Process and Context

The transportation planning process undertaken during RapidTRIP 2040 has built on planning processes established in past long range transportation plans while providing a fresh look at the region's anticipated needs and incorporating the recommendations from recently completed studies. Many elements in RapidTRIP 2040 can be attributed to separate planning efforts completed throughout the region, including municipal and county comprehensive and transportation plans, bicycle and pedestrian facility studies, transit studies, and site-specific transportation plans.

The RCAMPO travel demand model was updated as a portion of this planning effort. Travel demand models play an important role in transportation planning because they serve as a bridge between anticipated land use development and the transportation network to help determine where future deficiencies in the road network are expected to occur. The updated model was used during the planning process to identify future congestion and recommend Needs Plan elements to maintain satisfactory operation of the system into the future.
Appendix A contains the RapidTRIP 2040 Model User's Guide \& Model Calibration and Validation Report.

Public input has been incorporated in all stages of RapidTRIP 2040 to ensure that this plan represents community values and needs. This process began with the completion of the Rapid City Area Long Range Transportation Market Research Study and Survey (included in Appendix B) and was carried throughout this plan through the presentation of plan details at two public open houses.

## C. MAP-21 Performance-Based Planning

Performance-based planning offers a strategic approach to transportation planning that analyzes data to determine how effectively transportation investments are working toward achieving identified transportation goals. Recognizing that limited funding is available to maintain and upgrade the transportation system, performance-based planning affords a structure to ensure that scarce resources are used effectively and equitably. Moving Ahead for

Progress in the 21st Century Act (MAP-21) is the current federal transportation funding and policy bill. MAP-21 emphasizes performance-based planning and identifies seven national goals that individual states and MPOs are to work toward. Agencies seeking federal funds will demonstrate their progress toward achieving local, state, and national goals included in MAP-21. States and MPOs that don't demonstrate adequate progress toward achieving the goals will be required to take corrective action.

Consistent with MAP-21, RapidTRIP 2040 incorporates performance-based planning techniques. The community values of transportation are woven into the goals, objectives, performance measures, and evaluation criteria used to identify the Fiscally Constrained Plan. Performance-based planning is a new approach for the region that helps evaluate the transportation system and prioritize investments. This plan includes a range of performance measures that reflect the expressed values of the community, while reflecting national and state standards.

Chapter VII provides a thorough discussion of the performance-based planning process implemented throughout this plan, including establishment of goals and objectives, performance measures and desired trends, and implementation strategies.

## D. Plan Elements

RapidTRIP 2040 provides a view of the region's anticipated priorities for the next 25 years. This Plan documents processes undertaken to develop a comprehensive long range transportation plan that represents the region's goals and works to maintain an efficient transportation network capable of supporting all users throughout the region. Chapters of the plan are described as follows:

- Chapter II, Community Involvement - Documents opportunities for public involvement in the Plan preparation and approval process
- Chapter III, Growth in the Region - Documents the anticipated socioeconomic changes to the region
- Chapter IV, Financial Analysis and Funding Resources - Documents the anticipated funding sources through 2040 available for maintenance and preservation of the existing system and for capital programs to improve the transportation system, and potential options for additional funding sources
- Chapter V, 2040 Needs Plan - Establishes the desired improvements for each transportation mode: roadway, bicycle, pedestrian, and transit
- Chapter VI, Environmental Review - Documents a preliminary review of environmental resources affected by items in the 2040 Needs Plan
- Chapter VII, Performance-Based Planning - Establishes the goals, objectives, and performance measures for the plan to be consistent with MAP-21 policies, and outlines the methodologies used to compile the 2040 Fiscally Constrained Plan

- Chapter VIII, 2040 Fiscally Constrained Plan - Combines the financial analysis, 2040 Needs Plan, and performance-based planning to establish a constrained listing of improvements for the region
- Chapter IX, Conclusion - Summarizes the impacts of the plan and demonstrates fiscal constraint


## II. COMMUNITY INVOLVEMENT

Active community involvement has been a primary goal for the RapidTRIP 2040 planning process. To attract attention and foster discussion about the transportation network, the community has been approached through a comprehensive public education and outreach effort. Tools used to accumulate public sentiment have included the Rapid City Area Long Range Transportation Market Research Study and Survey, public open house meetings, a plan website (RapidTRIP2040.com), and a Draft Plan public comment period.

The overall planning process has been overseen by a Study Advisory Team consisting of representatives from the Rapid City Area Metropolitan Planning Organization, City of Box Elder, City of Rapid City, Meade County, Pennington County, Ellsworth Air Force Base, Rapid Transit System, South Dakota Department of Transportation, Federal Highway Administration, and Federal Transit Administration. This group has provided input throughout the planning process by participating in meetings, reviewing plan documents, and participating in the public involvement process.

## A. Market Research Study

The Rapid City Area Long Range Transportation Market Research Study and Survey was initiated in anticipation of the Long Range Transportation Plan Update to gauge transportation needs from critical components of the public. The study process included several facets:

- Focus Groups and In-Depth Interviews - Three focus groups were conducted: one with residents of Piedmont and Summerset, one with persons with disabilities, and one with representatives of the area's business community.
- Public Meetings - Two open house meetings were conducted, one in Rapid City and one in Box Elder.
- Survey - A survey was developed measuring satisfaction with aspects of the transportation system including roads, highways, the airport, public transit, parking, and bicycle and pedestrian facilities. The survey was developed to sample representative populations from three groups: residents, underserved populations, and employers.

The results of the Market Research Study served as an input into the Long Range Transportation Plan Update, with particular benefit during the Needs Plan development process and in refining the performance-based planning process. See Appendix B for the full report.

## B. Public Meetings

During the Long Range Transportation Plan Update, two public open-house meetings were held to present progress and solicit input. These meetings were held during the planning process to provide the opportunity for the public to participate at critical junctions.

- Public Open House Meeting \#1 - June 17, 2015 - This meeting provided the public an opportunity to comment on the Draft Needs Plan and the performance-based planning structure. Following this meeting, the Final Needs Plan was established and performance-based planning performance measures were quantified.
- Public Open House Meeting \#2 - July 15, 2015 - This meeting provided the public an opportunity to comment on the performance measures and Draft Fiscally Constrained Plan. Following this meeting, the Fiscally Constrained Plan was finalized and the draft plan was developed.

Appendix C contains the Public Open House Meeting Summaries.


## C. Project Website

A project website (RapidTRIP2040.com) and Facebook page were used to convey project information to the public throughout the planning process. The website provided access to project documents, meeting information and announcements, and a Contact Us function for the public to e-mail the project team. Figure 2 includes a view of the website.
Figure 2. RapidTRIP 2040 Website



## D. Draft Plan Public Comment Period

Public involvement during the planning process included a Draft Plan public comment period for two weeks where members of the public were invited to share their impression of the overall plan and recommend changes. Appendix D summarizes the comments received during this process. The Study Advisory Team reviewed the public comments that were received and made appropriate changes to the Final Plan.

## III. GROWTH IN THE REGION

Household and employment growth, along with visitor growth, will continue to require enhanced transportation options to ensure that a highquality transportation system is maintained into the future. During preparation of this Plan, the socioeconomic characteristics of the region were reviewed for the base year and further refinement of anticipated land use development patterns were incorporated into the future planning period. The following sections summarize the household and employment growth trends followed by a brief discussion of the travel demand model update, safety and security within the region, and
 intelligent transportation systems.

## A. Household and Employment Growth

The Metropolitan Planning Area covers urbanized portions of Meade and Pennington Counties, including the entirety of the cities of Box Elder, Piedmont, Rapid City, and Summerset. To provide growth context for the region, Table 1 provides historical US Census population growth trends for the City of Rapid City, Meade County, and Pennington County in 10-year increments. Due to unavailability of planning area specific data for Meade and Pennington Counties, the full county statistics are provided. The historical population growth data quantify annual growth rates over the entire period, along with the last 10 years, and identify a general slowing in growth over time.

Table 1. Historical Population Growth

| Year | Rapid City | Meade County | Pennington County |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1940 | 13,844 | 9,735 | 23,799 |
| 1950 | 25,310 | 11,516 | 34,053 |
| 1960 | 42,399 | 12,044 | 58,195 |
| 1970 | 43,836 | 16,618 | 59,349 |
| 1980 | 46,492 | 20,717 | 70,361 |
| 1990 | 54,523 | 21,878 | 81,343 |
| 2000 | 59,607 | 24,253 | 88,565 |
| 2010 | 67,956 | 25,434 | 100,948 |
| Annual Growth Rate (1940-2010) | $2.3 \% /$ year | $1.4 \% / y e a r$ | $2.1 \% / y e a r$ |
| Annual Growth Rate (2000-2010) | $1.3 \% /$ year | $0.5 \% / y e a r$ | $1.3 \% / y e a r$ |

The socioeconomic data update process first focused on establishing base year data, which defined 2013 as the base year. Following establishment of base year characteristics, long range land use forecasts were developed for the region. To provide spatial definition to the regional growth trends, neighborhoods have been used to characterize growth in different subareas of the region. Figure 3 locates each neighborhood within the planning area.

Figure 3. Neighborhood Boundaries


Table 2 identifies the 2013 and 2040 household totals within each neighborhood. A spatial review identifies the highest quantity household growth areas to be in the Elk Vale Road, US Highway 16, Southeast Connector, and Deadwood Avenue neighborhoods. Overall growth throughout the planning area anticipates annualized household growth of 1.3 percent per year.

## Table 2. Household Growth by Neighborhood

| Neighborhood Area Name | 2013 Households | $2013-2040$ <br> Household <br> Growth | 2040 <br> Households | Annual <br> Change |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Airport | 883 | 593 | 1,476 | $1.9 \%$ |
| Black Hawk | 1,795 | 242 | 2,037 | $0.5 \%$ |
| Deadwood Avenue | 2,016 | 2,130 | 4,146 | $2.7 \%$ |
| Downtown/Skyline Drive | 6,215 | 240 | 6,455 | $0.1 \%$ |
| Elk Vale Road | 3,267 | 3,455 | 6,722 | $2.7 \%$ |
| Ellsworth | 2,441 | 994 | 3,435 | $1.3 \%$ |
| Nemo Road | 808 | 222 | 1,030 | $0.9 \%$ |
| North Rapid | 5,810 | 110 | 5,920 | $0.1 \%$ |
| Northeast | 796 | 1,217 | 2,013 | $3.4 \%$ |
| Piedmont Valley | 2,469 | 1,333 | 3,802 | $1.6 \%$ |
| Sheridan Lake Road | 4,435 | 478 | 4,913 | $0.4 \%$ |
| South Robbinsdale | 2,813 | 822 | 3,635 | $0.9 \%$ |
| Southeast Connector | 1,477 | 2,548 | 4,025 | $3.7 \%$ |
| Spring Creek | 534 | 193 | 727 | $1.1 \%$ |
| US Highway 16 | 2,977 | 2,629 | 5,606 | $2.3 \%$ |
| West Rapid | 4,483 | 334 | $\mathbf{1 7 , 5 4 0}$ | $\mathbf{6 0 , 7 5 9}$ |
| Total |  |  | $0.3 \%$ |  |

Table 3 identifies the 2013 and 2040 employment totals within each neighborhood. A spatial review identifies the highest quantity employment growth areas to be in the Downtown/Skyline Drive, US Highway 16, Elk Vale Road, and Deadwood Avenue neighborhoods. Overall growth throughout the planning area anticipates annualized employment growth of 2.5 percent per year.
Table 3. Employment Growth by Neighborhood

| Neighborhood Area Name | 2013 <br> Employment | 2013 to 2040 <br> Employment <br> Growth | 2040 <br> Employment | Annual \% <br> Change |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Airport | 795 | 374 | 1,169 | $1.4 \%$ |
| Black Hawk | 709 | 320 | 1,029 | $1.4 \%$ |
| Deadwood Avenue | 6,908 | 5,149 | 12,057 | $2.1 \%$ |
| Downtown/Skyline Drive | 10,813 | 9,682 | 20,495 | $2.4 \%$ |
| Elk Vale Road | 3,634 | 5,612 | 9,246 | $3.5 \%$ |


| Neighborhood Area Name | $2013$ <br> Employment | 2013 to 2040 Employment Growth | $2040$ <br> Employment | Annual \% Change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ellsworth | 1,442 | 1,366 | 2,808 | 2.5\% |
| Nemo Road | 258 | -15 | 243 | -0.2\% |
| North Rapid | 6,888 | 4,773 | 11,661 | 1.9\% |
| Northeast | 4,526 | 4,133 | 8,659 | 2.4\% |
| Piedmont Valley | 1,547 | 794 | 2,341 | 1.5\% |
| Sheridan Lake Road | 1,631 | 955 | 2,586 | 1.7\% |
| South Robbinsdale | 772 | 2,775 | 3,547 | 5.6\% |
| Southeast Connector | 4,915 | 3,347 | 8,262 | 1.9\% |
| Spring Creek | 547 | 384 | 931 | 2.0\% |
| US Highway 16 | 2,171 | 5,690 | 7,861 | 4.8\% |
| West Rapid | 4,178 | 3,831 | 8,009 | 2.4\% |
| Total | 51,734 | 49,170 | 100,904 | 2.5\% |

## B. Travel Demand Model Update

Relating expected land use development to the transportation network with the goal of identifying future transportation network capacity deficiencies is accomplished through travel demand modeling. This planning effort included an update to the Rapid City Area Travel Demand Model with the goal of establishing a 2040 travel demand model for the long range planning effort.

## Appendix A contains the RapidTRIP 2040 Model User's Guide \& Model Calibration and Validation Report.

A critical output of the travel demand modeling process was the identification of anticipated congested corridors throughout the transportation network. For this evaluation, capacity has been defined as any roadway with volumes greater than 70 percent of the capacity. Figure 4 displays the location and extents of existing congestion, and Figure 5 displays the location and extents of congestion forecast in 2040 by the travel demand model. The 2040 roadway network is based on the existing system including committed improvements only. These locations have been targeted for future capacity improvements within the Needs Plan based on this analysis process.

The sole location indicating existing congested conditions occurs along Canyon Lake Drive. This location has likely been impacted by reconstruction along Jackson Boulevard over the last three years, which may have influenced the regional travel patterns causing overcapacity conditions.

Figure 4. Existing Congested Corridors


Figure 5. 2040 Congested Corridors


## C. Safety and Security

Transportation safety needs contributed to the overall RapidTrip 2040 Needs Plan. Safety concerns, goals, strategies, and/or projects were addressed in the following contributing documents identified in Table 4.

## Table 4. Safety Documents

| Document | Safety Information |
| :---: | :---: |
| Box Elder Strategic Transportation Plan (2014) | Identified high crash frequency locations and potential improvements |
| Meade County Transportation Plan (2008) |  |
| Pennington County Connecting Hills and Plains Study (2012) |  |
| SDDOT Decennial Interstate Corridor Study (2010) |  |
| Meade County Elk Creek Road Corridor Study (2010) |  |
| Sheridan Lake Road Extension Study (2008) |  |
| I-90/La Crosse Street Interchange Exit 59 Modification Justification Report (2014) |  |
| RapidTRIP 2035 (2010) | Gathered public input on safety concerns in the region |
| Rapid City Area Bicycle and Pedestrian Master Plan (2011) | Considered traveler safety a prime concern during plan development; safety analysis considered history of non-motorized crashes |
| Rapid City Arterial Streets Safety Study (2012) | Created and ranked a list of top arterial segment safety concerns and recommended improvements for top tier locations |
| Plan Rapid City Comprehensive Plan (2014) | Included safety goals and policies oriented toward improving safety for users of all travel modes |
| South Dakota Strategic Highway Safety Plan (2014) | Established statewide goals and benchmarks for crash reduction |
| South Dakota Statewide Long Range Transportation Plan (2010) | Included goal of promoting transportation safety |
| Chapel Valley Access and Route Alignment Study (2010) | Analyzed the potential for improved access to an isolated |
| High Meadows Road Corridor Study (2015) | considerations |
| Piedmont Valley Regional Shared-Use Path Summary and Recommendations (2013) | Considered safety in conceptual design recommendations |

RapidTrip 2040 reflects the body of safety analysis and information contained in these documents.

Security of the transportation network is reflected in the Needs Plan. Security considerations include:

- The Strategic Highway Network (STRAHNET) is a network of roads considered necessary for emergency mobilization and peacetime movement of heavy armor, fuel, ammunition, repair parts, food, and other commodities to support U.S. military operations. STRAHNET routes within the Rapid City Metropolitan Planning Area are included as Figure 6 and include Interstates 90 and 190, Liberty Boulevard, US Highway 16B between SD 79 and I-90, and SD 79. Roadway needs identified in the Needs Plan include improvements that would assist traffic in reaching these routes more efficiently.
- Evacuation routes/Emergency response - Catastrophic events such as floods, fires, and major storms have had an impact on the Rapid City region in the past. Needs identified in RapidTrip 2040 will assist in conveying vehicles from areas affected by emergencies to safety via evacuation routes.

Figure 6. STRAHNET System



## D. Intelligent Transportation Systems

Intelligent Transportation Systems (ITS) include the use of methodologies aimed at maximizing the existing transportation network capacity by coordinating the transportation system and communicating issues to the traveling public. Such operations strategies rely primarily on technology and have the potential to improve the safety, efficiency, and cost-effectiveness of the transportation system.

The Rapid City Metropolitan Planning Organization uses the report Intelligent Transportation Systems Plan for Integration Strategies developed in 2003 to implement ITS throughout the region. This plan coordinates the technology and systems among the various transportation provider agencies and governmental organizations and recommends strategies for integrating ITS into capital improvements plans and into the transportation system to address the region's needs.

## IV. FINANCIAL ANALYSIS AND FUNDING RESOURCES

This chapter presents the financial resources anticipated for RCAMPO projects over the long range planning period (2016-2040). The resources listed in this chapter serve to fiscally constrain RapidTRIP 2040 in compliance with MAP-21 requirements. Also included is a discussion of potential financing strategies that could be used to generate additional revenue to address the financing gap between available resources and project priorities.

## A. Anticipated Resources

i. Summary

Table 5 summarizes the funds reasonably expected to be available through 2040 (in millions of nominal dollars). Total funding for regional capital expansion projects for the long range planning period is estimated to be $\$ 258$ million; total funding for regionally significant maintenance/preservation projects is expected to be $\$ 500$ million.

Table 5. Funding Resource Forecast Summary

| Resource Type | 2016-2020 <br> (\$Million) | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2021-2025 } \\ \text { (\$Million) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2026-2030 } \\ \text { (\$Million) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2031-2035 } \\ \text { (\$Million) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2036-2040 } \\ & \text { (\$Million) } \end{aligned}$ | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | (\$Million) | Percent |
| Capital Improvements and Expansion | \$110.8 | \$33.8 | \$45.4 | \$51.3 | \$16.5 | \$257.7 | 20\% |
| Regionally Significant Maintenance and Preservation | \$48.2 | \$84.6 | \$108.0 | \$101.0 | \$119.8 | \$462.0 | 36\% |
| Maintenance and Operations | \$100.5 | \$100.5 | \$100.5 | \$100.5 | \$100.5 | \$502.3 | 39\% |
| Transit - Operating | \$8.7 | \$9.6 | \$10.7 | \$11.8 | \$13.0 | \$53.8 | 4\% |
| Transit - Capital | \$3.5 | \$3.9 | \$4.3 | \$4.7 | \$5.2 | \$18.8 | 1\% |
| Total | \$271.7 | \$232.4 | \$268.9 | \$269.3 | \$255.0 | \$1,294.6 | 100\% |

A detailed description of financial forecast methodology and resource projections by funding program and resource type follows.

## ii. Methodology

Transportation projects in the Rapid City metro area are funded through a combination of federal, state, and local sources. For planning purposes, the financial forecasts are organized around specific funding programs, described in Table 6. The methodology used to forecast available resources for each program is outlined below.

- The study team reviewed current and past funding sources, project expenditures, and relevant planning documents. For most funding programs, short-term financial commitments as identified in recent Transportation Improvement Programs (TIPs) were determined to be the best predictor of probable long-term financial resources.
- To smooth planning period anomalies while relying on the most current data possible, the study team calculated the average funding by program of the 2015-2018 TIP and
the 2016-2019 TIP as a baseline. The study team then compared the TIP funding commitments with supplementary documents, including local Capital Improvement Programs (CIPs), transportation plans, city/county budgets, and historic allocations.
- In collaboration with each member agency, the study team determined the portion of each funding program that is typically allocated to maintenance and preservation versus capital improvements. For planning purposes, the split between maintenance and capital expansion is held constant over the planning period. Table 6 includes split assumptions for each program.
- Using the annual estimates for each funding program as a baseline, expected resources are forecasted for each year through 2040 to remain flat. The forecast methodology assumes a two percent per year rate of inflation offset by a two percent per year decline in real value (or purchasing power) resulting in no change to anticipated revenues during the planning period. All estimates are presented in year of expenditure dollars.
- RapidTRIP 2035 included an assumption that Summerset would begin receiving a direct Surface Transportation Program (STP) allocation by 2025. However, current population projections do not support this assumption for the current planning period. ${ }^{1}$ As such, Summerset projects will continue to be eligible for funding through the Meade County STP allocation.
- The Transportation Alternatives Program funding is allocated through a project-specific application process through SDDOT. As such, these funds are not considered to be reliable resources and are omitted from the projections across the planning period. Special projects funded through one-time state or federal funding grants are excluded from the financial resource projections because they are not considered to be reliable and available resources. The nature of this grant and its competitive selection process affords an opportunity for the region to pursue funding for applicable projects throughout the planning horizon.
- SDDOT capital resource funding within the plan is based on the statewide planning process which balances the needs of all areas throughout the state. As such, the planning horizon revenues have been established to match anticipated transportation capital expenditures as outlined the SDDOT planning effort.
- SDDOT maintenance and preservation funding within the plan is based on the state's pavement management system. This planning tool analyzes the condition of all roadway segments throughout the state and prioritizes funding to the transportation system to optimize condition. As such, the planning horizon revenues have been established to match the anticipated transportation maintenance and rehabilitation expenditures as output from the SDDOT pavement management system.

Table 6 describes each funding program around which the financial forecasts are organized.
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## Table 6. Funding Programs and Descriptions

| Program | Description |
| :---: | :---: |
| Programs Receiving FHWA and/or State Funding (SDDOT): <br> Interstate <br> State Highway System <br> Railroad Crossing Improvements <br> Pavement Preservation <br> National Highway Performance Program <br> Surface Transportation Program <br> Highway Safety Performance Program <br> Transportation Alternative Program | Projects in these programs are selected by the South Dakota Department of Transportation (SDDOT). These programs are funded primarily by state and federal grants, though some projects may include a local match. |
| Programs Receiving FHWA and/or State Funding (Member Agencies): <br> STP Exchange <br> Bridge Improvement Grant <br> Transportation Alternatives Program Local Bridge Replacement Projects Highway Safety Performance Program Railroad Crossing Improvements | Projects in these programs are selected by member agencies. STP Exchange funds are allocations of the Surface Transportation Program exchanged for state dollars. ${ }^{2}$ Rapid City, Box Elder, Meade County, and Pennington County currently receive direct STP allocations. Half of STP Exchange revenue is expected to fund capital expansion and half is expected to fund maintenance projects. TAP funds are distributed by application on an individual project basis and are assumed to be $\$ 0$ for the long range planning period. |
| Local Funding Programs: <br> Rapid City Capital Improvement Program <br> Box Elder Capital Improvement Program Pennington County Road and Bridge Meade County Road and Bridge | Projects in these programs are locally selected and locally funded. Only a portion of these funds are included in RapidTRIP 2040, commensurate with the proportion of local projects that are considered "regionally significant." Local funding programs are assumed to be allocated fully to maintenance projects. |
| ```Federal Transit Administration (FTA): FTA 5307 FTA 5310 FTA 5311 FTA 5339``` | RTS provides fixed route and dial-a-ride service in Rapid City. RTS is funded through federal, state and local government funds along with enterprise revenue from fare and advertising sales. Several FTA funding sources are available for different transit projects including: <br> - FTA 5307 which provides capital, operating, and planning assistance in urbanized areas <br> - FTA 5310 which provides capital assistance to private non-profit organizations to serve the needs of elderly persons and persons with disabilities <br> - FTA 5311 which provides capital, operating, and administrative expenses in rural communities <br> - FTA 5339 which provides capital funding to replace, rehabilitate, and purchase buses and related equipment and to construct bus-related facilities |

${ }^{2}$ SDDOT offers an exchange program by which STP allocations can be "exchanged" for state funds at $\$ .90$ on the dollar. Exchanging federal funds for state funds allows recipients to avoid added complications of federal regulations. All STP recipients in the MPO are expected to participate in the exchange program.

## iii. Capital Improvements and Expansion

Table 7 displays funding projections for capacity expansion through 2040. Capacity projects are those that provide additional transportation infrastructure or services, such as new and expanded roadways, bike and pedestrian paths, trails, and facilities, and transit services. Amounts are shown in year of expenditure dollars in five-year increments. The resources identified include funding for both roadway and non-motorized (bicycle and pedestrian) capital improvements.

Table 7. Estimated Capital Resources

| Program/Source | 2016-2020 | 2021-2025 | 2026-2030 | 2031-2035 | 2036-2040 | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Programs Receiving FHWA and/or State Funding (SDDOT) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SDDOT | \$94,292,000 | \$17,294,000 | \$28,948,000 | \$34,774,000 | \$0 | \$175,308,000 |
| Programs Receiving FHWA and/or State Funding (MPO) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| STP Exchange |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Box Elder | \$540,000 | \$540,000 | \$540,000 | \$540,000 | \$540,000 | \$2,700,000 |
| Rapid City | \$6,960,000 | \$6,960,000 | \$6,960,000 | \$6,960,000 | \$6,960,000 | \$34,800,000 |
| Meade County | \$4,095,000 | \$4,095,000 | \$4,095,000 | \$4,095,000 | \$4,095,000 | \$20,475,000 |
| Pennington County | \$4,890,000 | \$4,890,000 | \$4,890,000 | \$4,890,000 | \$4,890,000 | \$24,450,000 |
| Transportation Alternatives Program | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Railroad Crossing Improvements | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Local Bridge Replacement | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Total | \$110,777,000 | \$33,779,000 | \$45,433,000 | \$51,259,000 | \$16,485,000 | \$257,733,000 |

Note: See Table 6 for a description of each program and the proportion of resources allocated to capital projects.

## iv. Maintenance and Preservation

Maintenance and preservation of existing facilities are critical to the sustainability of the region's transportation network. These projects include the maintenance and rehabilitation of the existing transportation network to maintain the system. Projects of this type include chip seals, resurfacing, reconstruction without capacity improvements, and bridge deck replacement. Table 8 displays the maintenance and preservation resources available through federal and state funded programs, as well as locally funded programs contributing to regionally significant projects (for example, larger scale roadway and interchange reconstruction and resurfacing). Amounts are shown in year of expenditure dollars.

Table 8. Estimated Maintenance and Preservation Resources

| Program/Source | 2016-2020 | 2021-2025 | 2026-2030 | 2031-2035 | 2036-2040 | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Programs Receiving FHWA and/or State Funding (SDDOT) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SDDOT | \$14,200,000 | \$50,540,000 | \$73,960,000 | \$67,400,000 | \$85,787,000 | \$291,887,000 |
| Programs Receiving FHWA and/or State Funding (MPO) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| STP Exchange |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Box Elder | \$540,000 | \$540,000 | \$540,000 | \$540,000 | \$540,000 | \$2,700,000 |
| Rapid City | \$6,960,000 | \$6,960,000 | \$6,960,000 | \$6,960,000 | \$6,960,000 | \$34,800,000 |
| Meade County | \$4,095,000 | \$4,095,000 | \$4,095,000 | \$4,095,000 | \$4,095,000 | \$20,475,000 |
| Pennington County | \$4,890,000 | \$4,890,000 | \$4,890,000 | \$4,890,000 | \$4,890,000 | \$24,450,000 |
| Transportation Alternatives | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Local Bridge Replacement | \$625,000 | \$625,000 | \$625,000 | \$625,000 | \$625,000 | \$3,125,000 |
| Railroad Crossing Improvements | \$290,000 | \$290,000 | \$290,000 | \$290,000 | \$290,000 | \$1,450,000 |
| Locally Funded, Regionally Significant Projects |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Box Elder Capital Improvements Program | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Rapid City Capital Improvements Program | \$10,505,000 | \$10,505,000 | \$10,505,000 | \$10,505,000 | \$10,505,000 | \$52,525,000 |
| Rapid City Regional Airport Improvements Program | \$5,690,000 | \$5,690,000 | \$5,690,000 | \$5,690,000 | \$5,690,000 | \$28,450,000 |
| Meade County Road and Bridge Fund | \$430,000 | \$430,000 | \$430,000 | \$430,000 | \$430,000 | \$2,150,000 |
| Pennington County Road and Bridge Fund | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Total | \$48,225,000 | \$84,565,000 | \$107,985,000 | \$101,425,000 | \$119,812,000 | \$462,012,000 |

Note: See Table 6 for a description of each program and the proportion of resources allocated to capital projects.
While the long range transportation plan focuses on regionally significant projects and funding, it is also important to acknowledge the full operations and maintenance obligations of MPO jurisdictions. Operations and maintenance projects include the non-regionally significant maintenance and rehabilitation projects along with the addition of day to day system operations include snow removal, dust abatement, patching, street cleaning, and mowing. Table 9 displays these operations and maintenance resources anticipated for local projects.

Table 9. Estimated Local Operations and Maintenance Resources

| Program/Source | $2016-2020$ | $2021-2025$ | $2026-2030$ | $2031-2035$ | $2036-2040$ | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| SDDOT <br> (Rapid City Area MPO) | $\$ 11,500,000$ | $\$ 11,500,000$ | $\$ 11,500,000$ | $\$ 11,500,000$ | $\$ 11,500,000$ | $\$ 57,500,000$ |
| Box Elder | $\$ 2,125,000$ | $\$ 2,125,000$ | $\$ 2,125,000$ | $\$ 2,125,000$ | $\$ 2,125,000$ | $\$ 10,625,000$ |
| Rapid City | $\$ 18,040,000$ | $\$ 18,040,000$ | $\$ 18,040,000$ | $\$ 18,040,000$ | $\$ 18,040,000$ | $\$ 90,200,000$ |
| Summerset | $\$ 425,000$ | $\$ 425,000$ | $\$ 425,000$ | $\$ 425,000$ | $\$ 425,000$ | $\$ 2,125,000$ |
| Meade County | $\$ 25,000,000$ | $\$ 25,000,000$ | $\$ 25,000,000$ | $\$ 25,000,000$ | $\$ 25,000,000$ | $\$ 125,000,000$ |
| Pennington County | $\$ 43,370,000$ | $\$ 43,370,000$ | $\$ 43,370,000$ | $\$ 43,370,000$ | $\$ 43,370,000$ | $\$ 216,850,000$ |
| Total | $\$ 100, \mathbf{4 6 0 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 0 0 , 4 6 0 , 0 0 0}$ | $\$ 100, \mathbf{4 6 0 , 0 0 0}$ | $\$ 100, \mathbf{4 6 0 , 0 0 0}$ | $\$ 100, \mathbf{4 6 0 , 0 0 0}$ | $\$ 502, \mathbf{3 0 0 , 0 0 0}$ |

## v. Public Transit

The Rapid Transit System (RTS) is funded through Federal Transit Administration, state, and local government sources, as well as program revenue in the form of transit fares, pass sales and advertising. About three-quarters of the current RTS budget is allocated to operations and the remaining one-quarter to capital costs, such as new paratransit vehicles. Given the relatively low proportion of funding allocated for capital projects, the long range forecasts assume existing transit services will continue without substantial expansion or reduction. Table 10 shows estimated operating and capital funding for the transit system. Program revenue is included in the "Local Funds" category. Again, figures are shown in year of expenditure dollars.

Table 10. Public Transit Resources

| Program/Source | 2016-2020 | 2021-2025 | 2026-2030 | 2031-2035 | 2036-2040 | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Operations |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FTA Funds | \$4,620,000 | \$5,106,000 | \$5,643,000 | \$6,237,000 | \$6,893,000 | \$28,499,000 |
| State Funds | \$147,000 | \$163,000 | \$180,000 | \$199,000 | \$220,000 | \$909,000 |
| Local Funds | \$3,958,000 | \$4,374,000 | \$4,834,000 | \$5,343,000 | \$5,905,000 | \$24,414,000 |
| Total | \$8,725,000 | \$9,643,000 | \$10,657,000 | \$11,779,000 | \$13,018,000 | \$53,822,000 |
| Capital |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FTA Funds | \$3,048,000 | \$3,375,000 | \$3,731,000 | \$4,122,000 | \$4,556,000 | \$18,832,000 |
| State Funds | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Local Funds | \$457,000 | \$505,000 | \$559,000 | \$617,000 | \$682,000 | \$2,820,000 |
| Total | \$3,505,000 | \$3,880,000 | \$4,290,000 | \$4,739,000 | \$5,238,000 | \$21,652,000 |

## B. Potential Strategies for Additional Revenue

This section identifies feasible funding opportunities to generate additional revenue for transportation funds in the Rapid City area. The potential strategies include funding mechanisms and administrative options commonly used to fund transportation capital investment and maintenance. They are intended to supplement current methods used by Rapid City, Box Elder, Meade County, and Pennington County. The most recent Rapid City Comprehensive Plan also includes many of these strategies.

## i. Strategies for Targeted Improvements

The following revenue generation strategies are project and/or site specific mechanisms that can be used to incentivize new development or shift the cost of infrastructure to direct users. Revenue potential of these strategies is widely variable and based on specific geographic and/or project definition. In some cases, these strategies may require state statute to implement; in these cases, the region should coordinate with the Legislative Research Council to create desired statutes and send them through the Legislature.

- Improvement districts. Improvement districts allow additional property tax (or special assessment) to be charged to a specific area to fund infrastructure improvements in that area. Typically, improvement districts are designed to provide specific public improvements and are most effective when the improvement is clearly defined and limited in scope. This funding mechanism is difficult to apply on a broad scale but is an effective way to shift infrastructure costs to direct users of the improvement. Improvement districts are typically created and controlled at the municipal level. Revenue potential varies based on the project and geographic scope.
- Special districts. Similar to improvement districts, special districts provide a mechanism to shift infrastructure costs to direct users. Special districts are governmental units independent from cities/counties set up to provide specific services or projects over a period of time. The districts are funded through fees or taxes directly related to the services or improvements they provide. This potential funding option would best be implemented in unincorporated portion of Meade and/or Pennington County where specific infrastructure needs are identified. Again, revenue potential varies based on the purpose and location of the district.
- System expansion fees. These one-time development fees are used to fund improvements serving new development. They are relatively flexible in that they do not have to be used for improvements tied to a specific development site but can be used for regional improvements that serve new growth or oversizing development specific improvements with expectation of additional growth.
- Public improvement fee (PIF). A PIF is a fee that retail property owners require their commercial tenants to collect on their customers' retail sales transactions by a covenant in the deed or the lease. A PIF is imposed at the point of sale and is usually a percentage of the sales price of purchased goods. A PIF is used for targeted capital improvements adjacent to a retail center and is not used for systemwide capital improvements.
- Sales tax sharing. Sales tax sharing is a development incentive that structures a revenue sharing agreement between a jurisdiction and a developer such that the two parties "share" net sales tax revenue to fund public improvements. Agreements are typically created for a limited time and/or for specific improvements.


## ii. City/County Wide Strategies

The following strategies are options for increasing systemwide transportation resources. The primary advantage of these strategies is that they share the cost of infrastructure across a larger pool of contributors and increase flexibility because they are not tied to specific facilities or sites.

- Transportation maintenance fee. Transportation maintenance fees are monthly fees imposed on property owners, typically through utility bills. The fees are determined by land use category and are designed to reflect direct use as determined by trip generation trends. The funds are designated for maintenance and preservation of the existing transportation system. A transportation maintenance fee between $\$ 20$ and $\$ 40$ per year for residential households would have the potential to generate between $\$ 1$ million and $\$ 2$ million in the RCAMPO. Fees for businesses vary widely (typically assessed per square foot) but could contribute substantial additional revenue.
- Wheel tax. A wheel tax is a tax or fee associated with registering a vehicle within a jurisdiction. Meade County enacted a $\$ 4$ per wheel tax on resident vehicles in 2015 with the potential to generate around $\$ 400,000$ per year. If a similar wheel tax were implemented in Pennington County, an additional $\$ 2.5$ million per year could be expected. Implementing a wheel tax has the added benefit of leveraging state funding: a recent highway funding bill passed in South Dakota requires counties to have a wheel tax to receive grants from the Bridge Improvement Grant Fund. ${ }^{3}$
- Tax increase. A substantial portion of locally generated transportation funds comes from city and county general funds supplied largely through property and sales taxes. An increase in tax rates and/or an increase in the tax base would generate additional city/county revenue from which transportation funds are drawn.
- Dedicated sales tax. An excise tax on retail goods dedicated to transportation has the ability to raise large amounts of revenue for transportation funds in the region. Advantages of this approach are that it diffuses the funding burden over many people and businesses, including tourists. However, a dedicated sales tax can be difficult to implement, depending on the political climate of the region.
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## V. 2040 NEEDS PLAN

Plans and studies conducted within the MPO boundaries were reviewed to create the Needs Plan listing. The following is a comprehensive list of plans and studies reviewed:

- Box Elder Strategic Transportation Plan (2014)
- Chapel Valley Access and Route Alignment Study (2010)
- 2013 Coordinated Public Transit-Human Services Transportation Plan Update (2013)
- Decennial Interstate Corridor Study (2010)
- Meade County Elk Creek Road Corridor Study (2010)
- High Meadows Road Corridor Study (2015)
- I-90/La Crosse Street Interchange Exit 59 Modification Justification Report (2014)
- Meade County Transportation Plan (2008)
- Pennington County Connecting Hills and Plains Study (2012)
- Piedmont Valley Regional Shared-Use Path Summary and Recommendations (2013)
- Plan Rapid City Comprehensive Plan (2014)
- Rapid City Area Bicycle and Pedestrian Master Plan (2011)
- Rapid City Arterial Streets Safety Study (2012)
- Rapid City Community Walk Audit (2015)
- Rapid City Regional Airport Master Plan Update (2008)
- RapidTRIP 2035 (2010)
- Sheridan Lake Road Extension Study (2008)
- South Dakota Statewide Long Range Transportation Plan (2010)
- South Dakota Strategic Highway Safety Plan (2014)
- 2009-2013 Transit Development Plan (2008)

Projects listed within the current TIP are not included in the Needs Plan and have been listed in separate tables in Chapter VIII. In addition to the above plans and studies, output from the updated travel demand model and public input were used to develop the Needs Plan. MPO staff, SDDOT, and Rapid City Regional Airport provided additional changes.

Costs provided in the Needs Plan were taken from the element's respective plan where available. For elements without a cost, cost estimation methodologies outlined in RapidTRIP 2035 or the Rapid City Area Bicycle and Pedestrian Master Plan were used depending on the element. All costs were adjusted to 2016 dollars assuming an annual growth rate of 2 percent.

## A. Bicycle

Bicycle needs identified within the Needs Plan are depicted in Figure 7 and itemized in Table 11. Bicycle needs have been categorized as one of the following:

- Bike Lanes
- Crossing (improved crossing of a barrier, such as a major roadway)
- Off-Street Path (pathway not on the street, such as a bike or shared-use path)
- Shared Lanes (signed and/or sharrow-striped roadway as being a bicycle route)
- Signed Shoulder Bikeway (wide shoulder signed as a bicycle route)


Figure 7. Bicycle Needs Plan

| Legend |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Rirycle Neends | Fxisting Rirycle Network |
| \# Project Number | - Existing Bike Lanes |
| - Proposed Bike Lanes | - Existing Off-Street Path |
| Proposed Crossing | - Existing Shared Lanes |
| Proposed Off-Street Path | Existing Signed Shoulder Bikeway |
| - Proposed Shared Lanes | $\square$ County Boundary |
| - Proposed Signed Shoulder Bikeway | Metropolitan Planning Area Buundary |
| NORTH | ${ }_{-}^{0} \quad 3_{\text {Miles }}^{3}$ |
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## Table 11. Bicycle Needs Plan

| $\begin{aligned} & \hline 2040 \\ & \text { Plan } \\ & \text { ID } \end{aligned}$ | Name | Category | Location / Description | Cost (2016) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-1 | Anamosa St | Bike Lanes | from Valley Dr to US 16B (Elk Vale Rd) | \$206,000 |
| B-2 | Anamosa St | Bike Lanes | from US 16B (Elk Vale Rd) to N Reservoir Rd | \$208,000 |
| B-3 | Anamosa St | Bike Lanes | from E North St to Mickelson Dr | \$117,000 |
| B-4 | Anamosa St | Bike Lanes | from Mickelson Dr to Valley Dr | \$117,000 |
| B-5 | Canyon Lake Dr | Bike Lanes | from Sheridan Lake Rd to Soo San Dr | \$76,700 |
| B-6 | US 16B (Catron Blvd) / Catron Blvd | Bike Lanes | from 5th St to Sheridan Lake Rd | \$428,000 |
| B-7 | City Springs Rd/ N 44th St | Bike Lanes | from Galena Dr to W Chicago St | \$136,000 |
| B-8 | Copperfield Dr | Bike Lanes | from E Anamosa St to existing street | \$198,000 |
| B-9 | Fairmont Blvd | Bike Lanes | from Creek Dr to S Valley Dr | \$152,000 |
| B-10 | Harmony Heights Lane | Bike Lanes | from Plaza Blvd to Anamosa St | \$564,000 |
| B-11 | US 16 Service Road | Bike Lanes | from Skyline Dr / Tower Rd to Catron Blvd | \$400,000 |
| B-12 | W Main St | Bike Lanes | from Soo San Rd to West Blvd | \$380,000 |
| B-13 | W Main St | Bike Lanes | from 44th St to Soo San Dr | \$154,000 |
| B-14 | Maple Ave | Bike Lanes | from Mall Dr to Disk Dr | \$95,000 |
| B-15 | Mickelson Dr | Bike Lanes | from E Anamosa St to SD 44 | \$103,000 |
| B-16 | Minnesota St | Bike Lanes | from Cambell St to Jolly Ln | \$550,000 |
| B-17 | North St | Bike Lanes | from West Blvd N to N 1st St | \$184,000 |
| B-18 | N Plaza Dr / Plaza Blvd | Bike Lanes | from SD 445 (Deadwood Ave) to Harmony Heights Ln | \$218,000 |
| B-19 | SD 231 (W Chicago St) | Bike Lanes | from Sheffer St to SD 445 (Deadwood Ave) | \$34,700 |
| B-20 | W Chicago St | Bike Lanes | from N 44th St to SD 231 (Sturgis Rd) | \$137,000 |
| B-21 | Soo San Rd | Bike Lanes | from W Main St to Brookside Rd | \$32,400 |
| B-22 | St Joseph St | Bike Lanes | from W Main St to West Blvd | \$32,300 |
| B-23 | St Patrick St | Bike Lanes | from 5th St to Elm Ave | \$148,000 |


| $\begin{aligned} & 2040 \\ & \text { Plan } \\ & \hline \text { ID } \end{aligned}$ | Name | Category | Location / Description | Cost (2016) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-24 | Steele Ave | Bike Lanes | from Brennan Ave to railroad | \$56,600 |
| B-25 | US 16 (Mt Rushmore Rd) | Bike Lanes | from North St to SD 44 (Omaha St) | \$91,000 |
| B-26 | US 16B (Elk Vale Rd / Catron Blvd) | Bike Lanes | from SD 44 to 5th St | \$440,000 |
| B-27 | US 16B (Elk Vale Rd) | Bike Lanes | from Mall Dr to SD 44 | \$338,000 |
| B-28 | Valley Dr | Bike Lanes | from Anamosa St to Fairmont St | \$378,000 |
| B-29 | West Blvd NW | Bike Lanes | from Anamosa St to Boegel St | \$53,000 |
| B-30 | Sheridan Lake Rd | Crossing | Grade-separated trail crossing of trail along Rapid Creek | \$87,500 |
| B-31 | 225th St | Off-Street Path | on south side from 150 PI to existing connection | \$55,200 |
| B-32 | 5th St | Off-Street Path | from E Minnesota St to US 16B (Catron Blvd) | \$572,000 |
| B-33 | 5th St | Off-Street Path | from Cleveland St to Texas St | \$503,000 |
| B-34 | Anamosa St | Off-Street Path | from Century Rd to E North St | \$168,000 |
| B-35 | Anamosa St | Off-Street Path | from Silver St to Haines Ave | \$381,000 |
| B-36 | Argyle St | Off-Street Path | from SD 44 (Jackson Blvd) to W Flormann St | \$116,000 |
| B-37 | SD 79 (Cambell St) / Cambell St | Off-Street Path | from Richland Dr (street just north of Minnesota St) to US 16B (Elk Vale Dr) | \$318,000 |
| B-38 | Cambell St | Off-Street Path | from E Oakland St to Fairmont Blvd | \$104,000 |
| B-39 | Cheyenne Blvd | Off-Street Path | from US 16B (Elk Vale Rd) to Spruce Dr | \$3,011,000 |
| B-40 | Cimarron alignment | Off-Street Path | from Ellsworth Rd to Liberty Blvd | \$123,000 |
| B-41 | Cimarron alignment | Off-Street Path | from Liberty Blvd to new shared use path | \$54,200 |
| B-42 | Concourse Dr | Off-Street Path | from US 16B (Elk Vale Rd) to Twilight Dr | \$116,000 |
| B-43 | Connection to Rapid City path system | Off-Street Path | near County Hwy 1416 (from West Gate to Rapid City) | \$191,000 |
| B-44 | County Hwy 1416 | Off-Street Path | from Westgate Rd to Ellsworth Rd | \$143,000 |


| $\begin{aligned} & 2040 \\ & \text { Plan } \\ & \hline \text { ID } \end{aligned}$ | Name | Category | Location / Description | Cost (2016) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-45 | SD 445 (Deadwood Ave) | Off-Street Path | from N Plaza Dr to SD 231 (Omaha St) | \$1,646,000 |
| B-46 | Disk Dr | Off-Street Path | from Haines Ave to N La Crosse St | \$653,000 |
| B-47 | E Minnesota St | Off-Street Path | from Parkview Dr to Odde Dr | \$254,000 |
| B-48 | Minnesota St | Off-Street Path | from Minnesota St Park to Cambell St | \$144,000 |
| B-49 | E North St | Off-Street Path | from Mall Dr to Anamosa St | \$509,000 |
| B-50 | Ellsworth Rd | Off-Street Path | from Liberty Blvd to County Hwy 1416 | \$265,000 |
| B-51 | Ellsworth Rd | Off-Street Path | from Liberty Blvd to 225th St | \$42,500 |
| B-52 | Elm Ave | Off-Street Path | from E Oakland St to Field View Dr | \$769,000 |
| B-53 | Elm Ave | Off-Street Path | from E St Patrick St to Meade St | \$144,000 |
| B-54 | Elm Ave | Off-Street Path | from Field View Dr to US 16B (Catron Blvd) | \$324,000 |
| B-55 | I-190 / Drainageway | Off-Street Path | from Wesrt Blvd N to Silver St | \$57,800 |
| B-56 | Leonard "Swanny" Swanson Memorial Pathway Extension | Off-Street Path | from St Patrick St to E St Charles St | \$185,000 |
| B-57 | Leonard "Swanny" Swanson Memorial Pathway Extension | Off-Street Path | south of Fairmont Blvd to Minnesota St | \$399,000 |
| B-58 | Leonard "Swanny" Swanson Memorial Pathway Extension | Off-Street Path | from Minnesota St to US 16 | \$3,236,000 |
| B-59 | Leonard "Swanny" Swanson Memorial Pathway Extension | Off-Street Path | from E St Patrick St to Fairmont Blvd | \$797,000 |
| B-60 | Liberty Blvd | Off-Street Path | Along north side from Tower Rd to Ellsworth Rd | \$74,300 |
| B-61 | Liberty Blvd | Off-Street Path | On east and north sides between County Hwy 1416 and Tower Rd | \$238,000 |
| B-62 | Mall Dr | Off-Street Path | from Haines Ave to N Elk Vale Rd | \$2,150,000 |
| B-63 | N Plaza Dr | Off-Street Path | from SD 231 (Sturgis Rd) to SD 445 (Deadwood Ave) | \$451,000 |
| B-64 | New Shared Use Path | Off-Street Path | from Prairie Rd to County Hwy 1416 | \$170,000 |


| $\begin{aligned} & 2040 \\ & \text { Plan } \\ & \hline \text { ID } \end{aligned}$ | Name | Category | Location / Description | Cost (2016) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-65 | Parkview Dr | Off-Street Path | from Parkview Park to 5th St | \$318,000 |
| B-66 | Piedmont Valley Shared Use Path | Off-Street Path | Along I-90 | \$8,228,000 |
| B-67 | Radar Hill Rd | Off-Street Path | from County Hwy 1416 to 229th St | \$24,400 |
| B-68 | Rapid Creek | Off-Street Path | from Leonard "Swanny" Swanson Memorial Pathway Extension to Cambell St | \$1,173,000 |
| B-69 | Rapid Creek / Wally Byam | Off-Street Path | from Valley Dr to Jolly Ln | \$2,063,000 |
| B-70 | Rapid St / 3rd St | Off-Street Path | from 5th St to SD 44 (Omaha St) | \$158,000 |
| B-71 | Rapid Valley Drainage | Off-Street Path | from Twilight Dr to Covington St | \$327,000 |
| B-72 | S Valley Dr | Off-Street Path | from E Fairmont St to E Minnesota St | \$304,000 |
| B-73 | San Francisco St | Off-Street Path | from La Crosse St to Cherry Ave | \$168,000 |
| B-74 | SD 231 (Sturgis Rd) / Universal Dr | Off-Street Path | from Merritt Rd to Lien St | \$1,556,000 |
| B-75 | SD 44 | Off-Street Path | from Long View Rd to MPO boundary (former rail conversion) | \$5,276,000 |
| B-76 | SD 44 | Off-Street Path | from Mickelson Dr to St Patrick St | \$428,000 |
| B-77 | SD 44 | Off-Street Path | from Twilight to Long View | \$541,000 |
| B-78 | SD 44 / E St Patrick St | Off-Street Path | from existing side path to Twilight Dr | \$659,000 |
| B-79 | SD 44 (Jackson Blvd) | Off-Street Path | from Cleghorn Canyon Rd to Cliffside Park | \$335,000 |
| B-80 | SD 44 (Jackson Blvd) | Off-Street Path | from Cliffside Park to existing trail | \$433,000 |
| B-81 | Stumer Rd | Off-Street Path | from Enchantment Rd to 5th St | \$358,000 |
| B-82 | Tower Rd | Off-Street Path | from Liberty Blvd to Patriot Dr | \$24,400 |
| B-83 | Tower Rd | Off-Street Path | Along east side from 224th St to 225th St | \$145,000 |
| B-84 | US 16 (Mt Rushmore Rd) | Off-Street Path | from SD 44 (Omaha St) to Main St | \$361,000 |
| B-85 | West Blvd | Off-Street Path | from Leonard "Swanny" Swanson Memorial Pathway to St Joseph St | \$202,000 |
| B-86 | 44th St | Shared Lanes | from W Chicago St to Raider Rd | \$41,600 |


| $\begin{aligned} & \hline 2040 \\ & \text { Plan } \\ & \text { ID } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Name | Category | Location / Description | Cost (2016) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-87 | 5th St | Shared Lanes | from Columbus St to SD 44 (Omaha St) | \$18,000 |
| B-88 | 9th St | Shared Lanes | from Quincy St to Flormann St | \$7,600 |
| B-89 | Allen Ave | Shared Lanes | from Anamosa St to North St | \$4,000 |
| B-90 | Alta Vista Dr / Anaconda Rd | Shared Lanes | from east of City View Dr to E Fairmont Blvd | \$12,700 |
| B-91 | Anamosa St | Shared Lanes | from Commerce Rd to Silver St | \$44,600 |
| B-92 | Apolda St (Rapid St) | Shared Lanes | from US 16 (Mt Rushmore Rd) to 6th St | \$1,400 |
| B-93 | Black Hills Blvd | Shared Lanes | from E Stumer Rd to US 16B (Catron Blvd) | \$5,100 |
| B-94 | Bunker Dr | Shared Lanes | from Sagewood St to Disk Dr / I-90 | \$33,700 |
| B-95 | Cambell St Service Road | Shared Lanes | from Fairmont Blvd to Richland Dr (street just north of Minnesota St) | \$2,900 |
| B-96 | Cathedral Dr / Fairmont Blvd | Shared Lanes | from US 16 (Mt Rushmore Rd) to Creek Dr | \$92,100 |
| B-97 | City Springs Rd Extension / St Martins Dr | Shared Lanes | from SD 231 (Sturgis Rd) to Galena Dr | \$61,600 |
| B-98 | Commerce Rd / Lien St | Shared Lanes | from railRd to Rand Rd | \$33,000 |
| B-99 | Copperfield Dr | Shared Lanes | from end of existing street to SD 44 | \$4,800 |
| B-100 | Covington St | Shared Lanes | from Twilight Dr to SD 44 | \$34,900 |
| B-101 | Creek Dr | Shared Lanes | from E Saint Patrick St to Fairmont Blvd | \$39,600 |
| B-102 | Degeest Dr | Shared Lanes | from Homestead St to Twilight Dr | \$25,500 |
| B-103 | Dunsmore Rd | Shared Lanes | from Sheridan Lake Rd to Moon Meadows Dr | \$5,500 |
| B-104 | E Centennial St / Locust St | Shared Lanes | from Parkview Dr to E Fairmont Blvd | \$32,200 |
| B-105 | E Fairlane Dr | Shared Lanes | from Maple to Robbinsdale Park | \$1,900 |
| B-106 | E Kansas City St | Shared Lanes | from East Blvd to SD School of Mines \& Tech | \$23,500 |
| B-107 | E New York St / N Maple Ave / E Philadelphia St | Shared Lanes | from East Blvd to Cambell St | \$39,200 |
| B-108 | E Oakland St | Shared Lanes | from Hawthorne Ave to Cambell St | \$6,700 |
| B-109 | East Blvd | Shared Lanes | from E Quincy St to Signal Dr | \$17,700 |


| $\begin{aligned} & 2040 \\ & \text { Plan } \\ & \hline \text { ID } \end{aligned}$ | Name | Category | Location / Description | Cost (2016) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-110 | Flormann St / Meade St | Shared Lanes | from West Blvd to 5th St | \$49,700 |
| B-111 | Franklin Ave / Belleview Dr / E St Andrew St | Shared Lanes | from West Blvd to 5th St | \$21,600 |
| B-112 | Hawthorne Ave | Shared Lanes | from E Meade St to E Oakland St | \$2,700 |
| B-113 | Hillsview Dr / W St Patrick St / Red Dale Dr | Shared Lanes | Canyon Lake Rd loop | \$18,000 |
| B-114 | Jolly Ln | Shared Lanes | from SD 44 to Daly Circuit | \$35,300 |
| B-115 | Meade St / E Indiana St | Shared Lanes | from 5th St to Hawthorne Ave | \$9,300 |
| B-116 | Milwaukee St | Shared Lanes | from Crestwood Dr to E New York St | \$39,200 |
| B-117 | Minuteman Dr / Lindbergh Ave | Shared Lanes | from Haines Ave to Anamosa St | \$4,600 |
| B-118 | Moon Meadows Dr | Shared Lanes | from Dunsmore Rd to US 16 | \$89,000 |
| B-119 | N 40th St | Shared Lanes | from Fish \& Game site to SD 231 (W Chicago St) | \$9,800 |
| B-120 | N Maple Ave | Shared Lanes | from Disk Dr to Anamosa St | \$22,300 |
| B-121 | N Spruce St | Shared Lanes | from Meadowlark Rd to E Philadelphia St | \$3,900 |
| B-122 | Nordby Ln | Shared Lanes | from W Saint Louis St to W Main St | \$1,400 |
| B-123 | Oak Ave | Shared Lanes | from E Indiana St to Colorado St | \$4,800 |
| B-124 | Parkview Dr | Shared Lanes | from E Centennial St to E Minnesota St | \$1,100 |
| B-125 | Prairie Ave | Shared Lanes | from St Patrick St to E Indiana St | \$2,700 |
| B-126a | Quincy St | Shared Lanes | from 5th St to East Blvd | \$47,100 |
| B-126b | Quincy St | Shared Lanes | from US 16 (Mt Rushmore Rd) to West St | \$47,100 |
| B-127 | Raider Rd | Shared Lanes | from 44th St to Hillsview Dr | \$21,600 |
| B-128 | Red Cloud St | Shared Lanes | from Northridge Dr to Mall Dr | \$24,600 |
| B-129 | Reservoir Rd/ Longview Rd | Shared Lanes | from Twilight Dr to SD 44 | \$58,000 |
| B-130 | S Canyon Rd | Shared Lanes | from Berry Blvd to N 44th St | \$15,700 |
| B-131 | Sagewood St / Northridge Dr | Shared Lanes | from Bunker Dr to Haines Ave | \$4,300 |


| $\begin{aligned} & \hline 2040 \\ & \text { Plan } \\ & \text { ID } \end{aligned}$ | Name | Category | Location / Description | Cost (2016) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-132 | San Marco Blvd | Shared Lanes | from City Springs Rd to S Canyon Rd | \$2,800 |
| B-133 | San Marco Blvd | Shared Lanes | from S Canyon Rd to W Chicago St | \$2,400 |
| B-134 | W Chicago St | Shared Lanes | from San Marco Blvd to S Canyon | \$2,700 |
| B-135 | Silver St / Philadelphia St | Shared Lanes | from N 11th St to Boegel St | \$4,800 |
| B-136 | Soo San Rd | Shared Lanes | from Brookside Dr to SD 44 (Jackson Blvd) | \$7,700 |
| B-137 | Triple Crown Dr | Shared Lanes | from E Minnesota St to US 16B (Catron Blvd) | \$20,800 |
| B-138 | Van Buren St | Shared Lanes | from Allen Ave to Milwaukee St | \$7,600 |
| B-139 | W Flormann St | Shared Lanes | from Argyle St to Mountain View Rd | \$4,900 |
| B-140 | W South St | Shared Lanes | from Soo San Rd to Mary Hill Park | \$900 |
| B-141 | West Blvd | Shared Lanes | from St Joseph St to Flormann St | \$9,100 |
| B-142 | Airport Rd | Signed Shoulder Bikeway | from the Airport to SD 44 | \$15,800 |
| B-143 | Country Rd | Signed Shoulder Bikeway | from Elk Vale Rd to Airport Rd | \$23,200 |
| B-144 | Country Rd | Signed Shoulder Bikeway | from Haines Ave to N Elk Vale Rd | \$42,900 |
| B-145 | N Elk Vale Rd | Signed Shoulder Bikeway | from Country Rd to E Mall Dr | \$17,500 |
| B-146 | Neck Yoke Rd | Signed Shoulder Bikeway | from US 16 to Rockerville Rd | \$56,000 |
| B-147 | Nemo Rd | Signed Shoulder Bikeway | from Berry Blvd to MPO boundary | \$53,800 |
| B-148 | Old Folsom Rd | Signed Shoulder Bikeway | from Leonard "Swanny" Swanson Mem Path to MPO boundary | \$48,100 |
| B-149 | Radar Hill Rd | Signed Shoulder Bikeway | from 229th St to SD 44 | \$27,400 |
| B-150 | Rockerville Rd | Signed Shoulder Bikeway | from US 16 to MPO boundary | \$9,100 |


| 2040 <br> Plan <br> ID | Name | Category |  | Cost (2016) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| B-151 | Sheridan Lake Rd | Signed <br> Shoulder <br> Bikeway | from Stonecrest Dr to MPO boundary | $\$ 46,100$ |
| B-152 | Sheridan Lake Rd | Signed <br> Shoulder <br> Bikeway | from Wildwood Dr to Muirfield Dr | $\$ 12,000$ |
| B-153 | Spring Creek Rd | Signed <br> Shoulder <br> Bikeway | from Neck Yoke Rd to MPO boundary | $\$ 41,300$ |
| B-154 | SD 79 | Signed <br> Shoulder <br> Bikeway | from US 16B (Elk Vale Rd/Catron Blvd) to <br> 3 mile limits | $\$ 53,300$ |
| B-155 | Jackson Blvd | Bike Lanes | From Mountain View Rd to W Main St | $\$ 97,000$ |
| B-156 | 143 rd Ave | Off-Street <br> Path | Seger Dr to Country Rd | $\$ 578,000$ |
| B-157 | Dyess Ave and Seger Dr | Off-Street <br> Path | From Country Rd south along Dyess Ave, <br> east to Elk Vale Rd along Seger Dr | $\$ 1,167,000$ |
| B-158 | Dyess Ave and Seger Dr | Off-Street <br> Path | From Mall Dr north along Dyess Ave, west <br> to Haines Ave along Seger Dr | $\$ 1,491,000$ |

B-159 through B-163 are TIP projects included as "Bicycle Needs" elements because they have yet to be built. Showing them illustrates the planned connectivity they will provide. These projects are:

- B-159: Bike lanes along $7^{\text {th }}$ St from SD 44 (Omaha St) to Columbus St
- B-160: Bike lanes along SD 231 (W Chicago St) from SD 231 (Sturgis Rd) to Sheffer St
- B-161: Off-street path along Anamosa St from Midway St to Milwaukee St
- B-162: Off-street path on the east side of Cambell St from the end of the existing path south of Rocker Dr north to the rail banked line south of Centre St, then southeast on the rail bed to Kennel Dr, north on the west side of Kennel Dr to Centre St, and east on the north side of Centre St to a private property connection to the intersection of SD 44 and Mickelson Dr
- B-163: Off-street path on the east side of I-190 to SD 44 (Omaha St), and then along the north side of SD 44 (Omaha St) to US 16 (Mt Rushmore Rd)


## B. Pedestrian

Pedestrian needs identified within the Needs Plan have been depicted in Figure 8 and itemized in Table 12. All pedestrian needs are sidewalk additions. For shared use paths that accommodate both bicyclists and pedestrians, see "Off-Street Path" bicycle elements in Table 11.

Figure 8. Pedestrian Needs Plan


Table 12. Pedestrian Needs Plan

| $\begin{aligned} & \hline 2040 \\ & \text { Plan } \\ & \text { ID } \end{aligned}$ | Name | Category | Location / Description | Cost (2016) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| P-1 | 5th St | Sidewalk | from South St to Clark St (west side) | \$42,000 |
| P-2 | 225th St | Sidewalk | Along north side from Radial Ln to 150 PI | \$25,500 |
| P-3 | Villa Dr / Briggs St | Sidewalk | from Ellsworth Rd to Briggs \& Patriot | \$25,500 |
| P-4 | Liberty Blvd | Sidewalk | West and south sides from Ellsworth to County Hwy 1416 | \$159,000 |
| P-5 | Tower Rd | Sidewalk | Along west side from 224th St to 225th St | \$74,300 |
| P-6 | Cambell St | Sidewalk | from 280' n/o E St Charles St to E St Patrick St (east side) | \$151,000 |
| P-7 | Cambell St | Sidewalk | from Centre St to Rocker Dr (both side) | \$387,000 |
| P-8 | Cambell St | Sidewalk | from Rocker Dr to 560' s/o Saint James St (east side) | \$218,000 |
| P-9a | Douglas Middle School | Sidewalk | Complete link along 225th St | \$9,400 |
| P-9b | Douglas Middle School | Sidewalk | Complete link along Tower Dr | \$5,700 |
| P-10 | Country Rd | Sidewalk | from City Limits to 3 mile limit (both sides) | \$5,613,000 |
| P-11 | SD 445 (Deadwood Ave) | Sidewalk | from N Plaza Dr to SD 231 (W Chicago St) (both sides) | \$2,891,000 |
| P-12 | SD 44 | Sidewalk | from City Limits to Jolly Lane (both sides) | \$874,000 |
| P-13 | SD 44 (Omaha St) / SD 44 | Sidewalk | from La Crosse St to City Limits (both sides) | \$4,218,000 |
| P-14 | Haines Ave | Sidewalk | from City Limits to Mall Dr (east side) | \$1,118,000 |
| P-15 | US 16 | Sidewalk | from City Limits to 3 mile limit (both sides) | \$8,252,000 |
| P-16 | SD 44 | Sidewalk | from Jolly Lane to 3 mile limit (both sides) | \$12,520,000 |
| P-17 | SD 44 | Sidewalk | from Dark Canyon PI to City Limits (both sides) | \$2,571,000 |
| P-18 | N La Crosse St | Sidewalk | from Seger Dr to E Mall Dr (both sides) | \$319,000 |
| P-19 | Nemo Rd | Sidewalk | from 3 mile limit to City Limits (both sides) | \$9,714,000 |
| P-20 | SD 44 (Omaha St) | Sidewalk | from West Blvd to US 16 (Mt Rushmore Rd) (north side) | \$168,000 |
| P-21 | Reservoir Rd | Sidewalk | from Ave A to Lamb Rd (both sides) | \$7,226,000 |
| P-22 | 5th St | Sidewalk | from 57' s/o 3rd St to 95' n/o Elk St (west side) | \$126,000 |
| P-23 | S Ellsworth Rd | Sidewalk | from County Hwy 1416 to neighborhood | \$32,900 |
| P-24 | SD 79 | Sidewalk | from City Limits to 3 mile limits (both sides) | \$7,932,000 |


| $\begin{aligned} & 2040 \\ & \text { Plan } \\ & \text { ID } \end{aligned}$ | Name | Category | Location / Description | Cost (2016) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| P-25 | Sheridan Lake Rd | Sidewalk | from City Limits to 3 mile limits (both sides) | \$9,680,000 |
| P-26 | SD 44 | Sidewalk | from City Limits to 3 mile limits (both sides) | \$6,168,000 |
| P-27 | SD 44 (Omaha St) | Sidewalk | from Mountain View Rd to Oshkosh St (both sides) | \$857,000 |
| P-28 | SD 44 (Omaha St) | Sidewalk | from Oskhosh St to Founders Park Dr (north side) | \$353,000 |
| P-29 | Apolda St | Sidewalk | From 6 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ St to Mt Rushmore Rd | \$218,000 |

## C. Roadway

Roadway needs identified within the Needs Plan have been depicted in Figure 9 and itemized in Table 13. Roadway needs have been categorized as one of the following:

- Corridor Improvements (paving, medians, striping, etc.)
- Intersection / Interchange (signalization, sightline improvements, reconstruction, etc)
- New Roadway
- Capacity Improvements (adding lanes to increase capacity and reduce congestion)

Figure 9. Roadway Needs Plan
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## Table 13. Roadway Needs Plan

| 2040 <br> Plan <br> ID | Name | Category |  | Location / Description |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| R-1 | 154th Ave | Corridor <br> Improvements | from Long View Rd to SD 44 |  |
| R-2 | 150th Ave | Corridor <br> Improvements | Asphalt paving as minor arterial from the <br> county line north | $\$ 1,526,000$ |
| R-3 | Elk Creek Rd | Corridor <br> Improvements | Realignment e/o I-90 | $\$ 8,987,000$ |
| R-4 | Haines Ave | Corridor <br> Improvements | Raised median from I-90 to Disk Dr | $\$ 4,109,000$ |
| R-5 | High Meadows Rd <br> R-6 | Homestead St | Corridor <br> Improvements | To existing roadway, RAP surface |


| $\begin{aligned} & \hline 2040 \\ & \text { Plan } \\ & \text { ID } \end{aligned}$ | Name | Category | Location / Description | Cost (2016) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| R-15a | W Main St | Corridor Improvements | Implement raised median (Sheridan Lake Rd to Mountain View R) and construct bike lanes | \$8,883,000 |
| R-15b | W Main St \& Dakota Dr | Intersection / Interchange | Install traffic signal at Dakota Dr intersection if warranted | \$857,000 |
| R-16 | 154th Ave \& 233rd St | Intersection / Interchange | 154th Ave \& 233rd St | \$302,000 |
| R-17 | Boulder Hill Rd \& Silver Mountain Rd | Intersection / Interchange | Boulder Hill Rd \& Silver Mountain Rd | \$188,000 |
| R-18 | Concourse Dr \& Twilight Dr | Intersection / Interchange | Concourse Dr \& Twilight Dr | \$10,000 |
| R-19 | I-90 Exit 46 (Elk Creek Rd) | Intersection / Interchange | Interchange improvements | \$17,254,000 |
| R-20 | I-90 Exit 63 (County Hwy 1416) | Intersection / Interchange | Interchange improvements or replacement of I-90 Exit 63 (County Hwy 1416) per the recommendations of the Interchange Options Study | \$31,855,000 |
| R-21 | I-90 Exit 55 (SD 445 <br> (Deadwood Ave)) | Intersection / Interchange | Interchange Improvements | \$3,255,000 |
| R-22 | I-90 Exit 59 (N Lacrosse St) | Intersection / Interchange | Diverging diamond interchange | \$14,762,000 |
| R-23 | New l-90 Exit e/o Exit 67 (Liberty Blvd) for Transload development | Intersection / Interchange | New interchange plus local access on I-90 when development warrants | \$17,254,000 |
| R-24a | La Crosse St \& Monroe St | Intersection / Interchange | Install traffic signal for Monroe St intersection if warranted and relocate utility pole on SW corner of Monroe St intersection | \$481,000 |
| R-24b | La Crosse St \& RR Crossing | Intersection / Interchange | Automatic crossing gates at the railroad crossing | \$721,000 |
| R-24c | La Crosse St \& Sam's Club Access | Intersection / Interchange | Convert old Sam's Club access into right-in/right-out | \$276,000 |
| R-25 | N La Crosse St \& Walmart Access | Intersection / Interchange | Convert Walmart access into right-in/rightout | \$42,000 |
| R-26 | Liberty Blvd \& Ellsworth Rd | Intersection / Interchange | Replace existing all-way stop traffic control with signalized control when warranted | \$372,000 |
| R-27 | South Rockerville Rd \& Neck Yoke Rd | Intersection / Interchange | South Rockerville Rd \& Neck Yoke Rd | \$39,800 |


| $\begin{gathered} 2040 \\ \text { Plan } \\ \text { ID } \end{gathered}$ | Name | Category | Location / Description | Cost (2016) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| R-28 | SD 231 (Sturgis Rd) \& Universal Dr | Intersection / Interchange | SD 231 (Sturgis Rd) \& Universal Dr Intersection | \$248,000 |
| R-29 | SD 231 (Sturgis Rd) \& Merritt Rd | Intersection / Interchange | SD 231 (Sturgis Rd) \& Merritt Rd Intersection | \$799,000 |
| R-30 | SD 44 \& Covington St | Intersection / Interchange | SD 44 \& Covington St Intersection | \$248,000 |
| R-31 | SD 44 (Jackson Blvd) \& Cleghorn Canyon Rd) | Intersection / Interchange | Intersection warning sign and advisory speed plaque for westbound approach, and removal of sight obstructions at SD 44 (Jackson Blvd) and Cleghorn Canyon Rd | \$8,800 |
| R-32 | Sheridan Lake Rd \& Dunsmore Rd | Intersection / Interchange | Dunsmore Rd \& Sheridan Lake Rd | \$248,000 |
| R-33 | US 16 \& Silver Mountain Rd | Intersection / Interchange | US 16 \& Silver Mountain Rd Intersection | \$29,800 |
| R-34a | St Joseph St \& 1st St | Intersection / Interchange | Install lane use markings | \$7,900 |
| R-34b | St Joseph St \& 2nd St | Intersection / Interchange | Install lane use markings | \$7,900 |
| R-34c | St Joseph St \& 3rd St | Intersection / Interchange | Install lane use markings | \$7,900 |
| R-34d | St Joseph St \& 4th St | Intersection / Interchange | Removal of on-street parking between 4th \& 5th Streets, install traffic signal if warranted, and install lane use markings | \$436,000 |
| R-35 | I-90 Exit 48 (Stage Stop Rd) | Intersection / Interchange | Interchange improvements | \$17,254,000 |
| R-36 | US 16 \& US 16B (Catron Blvd) Intersection | Intersection / Interchange | Intersection improvements | \$17,254,000 |
| R-37 | US 16B \& Cheyenne Blvd Intersection | Intersection / Interchange | Intersection improvements/adjustments once Cheyenne is built out to the east | \$306,000 |
| R-38 | 150th Ave | New Roadway | Construct new arterial extension from 225th to Liberty Blvd | \$2,017,000 |
| R-39 | 150th Ave | New Roadway | Construct new collector from Liberty Blvd to Cimarron Dr | \$2,655,000 |
| R-40 | 5th St Extension | New Roadway | Construct new 4 lane principal arterial from US 16B (Catron Blvd) to Sammis Trail | \$12,113,000 |
| R-41 | 5th St Extension | New Roadway | Construct new 4 lane principal arterial from Lamb Rd to Spring Creek Rd | \$12,629,000 |


| $\begin{aligned} & \hline 2040 \\ & \text { Plan } \\ & \text { ID } \end{aligned}$ | Name | Category | Location / Description | Cost (2016) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| R-42 | Liberty Rd Extension | New Roadway | Construct new 2 lane minor arterial from I90 to SD 44 | \$17,913,000 |
| R-43 | Anamosa St Extension | New Roadway | Construct new 4 lane minor arterial from US 16B (Elk Vale Rd) to Creek Dr | \$6,117,000 |
| R-44 | E Anamosa Extension | New Roadway | Construct new 2 lane principal arterial from Radar Hill Rd to US 16B (Elk Vale Rd) | \$9,513,000 |
| R-45 | Anderson Rd Extension | New Roadway | Construct new 2 lane minor arterial from Anamosa St Extension to Long View Rd | \$5,033,000 |
| R-46 | Cambell St Extension | New Roadway | Construct new 2 lane minor arterial from Anamosa St Extension to North St | \$1,246,000 |
| R-47 | Century Rd | New Roadway | Construct new 2 lane minor arterial from Anamosa St Extension to North St | \$772,000 |
| R-48 | Cheyenne Blvd | New Roadway | Extend new arterial from existing Cheyenne Blvd east to Radar Hill Rd | \$12,742,000 |
| R-49 | Cheyenne Blvd | New Roadway | Construct new arterial from Ellsworth Rd to 151 Ave | \$16,352,000 |
| R-50 | Cheyenne Blvd \& Ellsworth Rd | New Roadway | Construct new arterial from Radar Hill Rd to a new Ellsworth arterial extension south from existing neighborhood | \$6,477,000 |
| R-51 | Cimarron Dr | New Roadway | Extend new arterial from Ellsworth Rd to Liberty Blvd | \$4,672,000 |
| R-52 | Cimarron Dr | New Roadway | Construct new arterial from West Gate Rd east to Cimarron Dr intersection with Ellsworth Rd | \$17,945,000 |
| R-53 | Country Rd Extension | New Roadway | Construct new 2 lane minor arterial from existing Country Rd to Deadwood Ave | \$6,752,000 |
| R-54 | Degeest Dr | New Roadway | Extend new collector from the end of Degeest Dr north across railroad tracks and connect to I-90 Service Road | \$903,000 |
| R-55 | Freude Lane | New Roadway | Construct new collector from Freude Lane west to Creekside Dr to connect existing neighborhoods and to provide a second point of access. | \$1,062,000 |
| R-56 | High Meadows Area Secondary Access Route | New Roadway | Option 3-3.2 mile extension of High Meadows Rd to Rolling Hills Rd along USFS Trail 777's alignment. | \$1,124,000 |
| R-57 | La Crosse St Extension | New Roadway | Construct new 2 lane minor arterial from Country Rd to Seger Dr | \$2,541,000 |


| $\begin{gathered} 2040 \\ \text { Plan } \\ \text { ID } \end{gathered}$ | Name | Category | Location / Description | Cost (2016) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| R-58 | Mall Dr | New Roadway | Extend new arterial from Elk Vale to Service Road | \$2,655,000 |
| R-59 | Mill Rd Extension | New Roadway | Construct new 2 lane minor arterial from Haines Ave to Deadwood Ave | \$5,630,000 |
| R-60 | Mill Rd Extension | New Roadway | Construct new 2 lane minor arterial from Nike Rd to Haines Ave | \$3,887,000 |
| R-61 | Minnesota St Extension | New Roadway | Construct new 2 lane minor arterial from US 16B (Elk Vale Rd) to Cambell St | \$2,716,000 |
| R-62 | Minnesota St Extension | New Roadway | Construct new 2 lane minor arterial from Jolly Ln to e/o US 16B (Elk Vale Rd) | \$1,844,000 |
| R-63 | Minnesota St Extension | New Roadway | Construct new 2 lane minor arterial from Reservoir Rd to Jolly Ln | \$2,740,000 |
| R-64 | New road w/o Airport | New Roadway | Construct new 2 lane collector from Airport Rd to Radar Hill Rd | \$2,930,000 |
| R-65 | Northern Lights Blvd | New Roadway | Extend new collector from Northern Lights Blvd east to the future extension of West Gate Rd | \$7,114,000 |
| R-66 | Prairie Rd | New Roadway | Construct new local road to allow access location on Liberty Blvd | \$287,000 |
| R-67 | Reservoir St Extension | New Roadway | Construct new 2 lane principal arterial from Anamosa St Ext to Meadow Ridge Dr | \$1,323,000 |
| R-68 | Sammis Trail | New Roadway | Construct new 2 lane principal arterial from Old Folsom Rd / Lamb Rd to US 16 | \$16,695,000 |
| R-69 | Secondary Summerset Access | New Roadway | Option A - New connection from Castlewood Dr to High Meadows | \$104,000 |
| R-70 | Sheridan Lake Rd Extension | New Roadway | Construct new 4 lane minor arterial from SD 445 (Deadwood Ave) to Main St | \$24,724,000 |
| R-71 | Spring Creek Rd Extension | New Roadway | Construct new 2 lane principal arterial from SD 44 to SD 79 | \$33,075,000 |
| R-72 | Twilight Dr Extension | New Roadway | Construct new 4 lane minor arterial from Radar Hill Rd to Reservoir Rd | \$5,429,000 |
| R-73 | West Gate Rd | New Roadway | Construct new arterial from the West Gate / County Hwy 1416 intersection south to the future Cheyenne Blvd extension | \$7,645,000 |
| R-74 | Cambell St | Capacity Improvements | Widen to 6 lanes from Minnesota St to Fairmont Blvd | \$2,358,000 |


| $\begin{gathered} 2040 \\ \text { Plan } \\ \text { ID } \end{gathered}$ | Name | Category | Location / Description | Cost (2016) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| R-75 | Canyon Lake Dr | Capacity Improvements | Widen to 4 lane minor arterial from Sheridan Lake Rd to Soo San Dr | \$1,976,000 |
| R-76 | Catron Blvd | Capacity Improvements | Widen to 3 lane principal arterial from US 16 to Nugget Gulch Rd | \$3,849,000 |
| R-77 | US 16B (Elk Vale Rd) | Capacity Improvements | Widen to 6 lanes from SD 44 to SD 79 | \$13,015,000 |
| R-78 | Ellsworth Rd | Capacity Improvements | Widen existing roadway from existing neighborhood to County Hwy 1416 to provide curb and gutter and left turn lane according to the arterial typical section | \$881,000 |
| R-79 | Ellsworth Rd | Capacity Improvements | Widen existing roadway from County Hwy 1416 north to 225th to provide curb and gutter and a left turn lane according to the arterial typical section standard | \$5,628,000 |
| R-80 | Haines Ave | Capacity Improvements | Widen to 4 lane principal arterial from Country Rd to n/o Sitting Bull St where 4lane cross section ends | \$3,488,000 |
| R-81 | W Main St | Capacity Improvements | Widen to 6 lane principal arterial from SD 44 (Jackson Blvd) to Mountain View Rd | \$943,000 |
| R-82 | Mall Dr | Capacity Improvements | Widen to 4 lanes from Haines Ave to Maple Ave | \$1,926,000 |
| R-83 | SD 44 (Omaha St) | Capacity Improvements | Widen to 6 lane principal arterial from Mountain View Rd to Near 12th St | \$3,464,000 |
| R-84 | SD 231 (Deadwood Ave) | Capacity Improvements | Widen to 6 lane principal arterial from Sheffer St to Mountain View Rd | \$1,347,000 |
| R-85 | Sheridan Lake Rd | Capacity Improvements | Widen to 3 lane minor arterial from Main St to Canyon Lake Rd | \$285,000 |
| R-86 | Sheridan Lake Rd | Capacity Improvements | Widen to 4 lane principal arterial from Corral Dr to Clarkson Rd | \$12,123,000 |
| R-87 | Sheridan Lake Rd | Capacity Improvements | Upgrade to 5-lane cross section from W Main St to SD 44 (Jackson Blvd) | \$3,896,000 |
| R-88 | Sheridan Lake Rd | Capacity Improvements | Widen to 3 lane minor arterial from Judy Ave to Rapid Creek | \$142,000 |
| R-89 | St Patrick St | Capacity Improvements | Widen to 4 lanes from US 16 (Mt Rushmore Rd) to 5th St | \$1,140,000 |
| R-90 | Tower Rd | Capacity Improvements | Widen existing roadway to provide curb and gutter and left turn lane according to the collector typical section standard | \$743,000 |


| 2040 <br> Plan <br> ID | Name | Category | Location / Description | Cost (2016) |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| R-91 | Antelope Creek Rd | Corridor <br> Improvement | Pave from Meade County Line to Elk <br> Creek Rd | $\$ 5,956,000$ |
| R-92 | Quaal Rd | New Roadway | Construct new 2 lane collector from <br> Stagestop Rd to Elk Creek Rd | $\$ 4,106,000$ |

## D. Transit

Figure 10 depicts the existing transit network, while Table 14 itemizes the transit needs identified in the Needs Plan. Transit needs have been categorized as one of the following:

- Capital Improvements
- Continued Service
- Expanded Service
- New Service
- Operation Improvements

The continued operation and maintenance of the Rapid Transit System is planned and included in this process. This requires the identification of operating and capital expense revenue to support the system. Operations dollars include funds necessary to operate and maintain vehicles, employ personnel, and manage the general operations of the organization. Capital dollars include funds necessary to purchase and upgrade vehicles, maintain stops and stations, and maintain and expand transit facilities.


Figure 10. Existing Transit Services
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## Table 14. Transit Needs Plan

| $2040$ <br> Plan ID | Name | Category | Location / Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| T-1 | MBTC bus bays modifications | Capital Improvements | Modify bus bays at MBTC to eliminate pull-in/back-out maneuver to improve safety and speed up operations |
| T-2 | MBTC canopies/shelters | Capital Improvements | Establish eastside and south side stops and canopies/shelters at MBTC for run through routes |
| T-3 | Continue Existing Service | Continued Service | Existing fixed-route transit service continued through 2040 |
| T-4 | Extend service hours by 30 minutes | Expanded Service | Extend fixed route to be 6:30 am to 10:00 pm |
| T-5 | Expand Service to Maintain Service Levels | Expanded Service | Expanded transit service to maintain current transit population/employment service levels |
| T-6 | Increase Frequency | Expanded Service | Increase service frequency from 1 hour to 30 minutes |
| T-7 | Expand Service Hours | Expanded Service | Extend service hours from 12 to 15 hours per day |
| T-8 | Add Sunday Service | Expanded Service | Provide service on Sundays |
| T-9 | Downtown shuttle | New Service | Potential trial period from 11:00 a.m. to 1:00 p.m., Monday through Friday along main downtown corridors such as Main Street and St. Joe |
| T-10 | Long-distance service connection to Ellsworth Air Force Base or Rapid Valley Call Centers | New Service | Implement long-distance service connection to Ellsworth Air Force Base or Rapid Valley Call Centers on a trial basis to provide connections to Rapid City |
| T-11 | Service to Western Dakota Tech | New Service | Add route or re-route existing route to serve Western Dakota Tech |
| T-12 | New Service to Airport | New Service | Rapid City to Airport |
| T-13 | New Service to Box Elder/Ellsworth AFB | New Service | Rapid City to Box Elder/Ellsworth AFB (include cost sharing strategies) |
| T-14 | New Service to Summerset/Piedmont | New Service | Rapid City to Summerset/Piedmont (include cost sharing strategies) |
| T-15 | New Services in Other Areas within the Rapid City Region | New Service | Provide transit service in Box Elder, Rapid Valley, Ellsworth Air Force Base, and other areas outside of Rapid City |
| T-16 | Add 1.5 FTE for maintenance | Operation Improvements | If pilot program to use City staff for light vehicle maintenance is successful, hire more staff |
| T-17 | Add 1.0 FTE for cleaning | Operation Improvements | Add employee or contract out for cleaning |
| T-18 | Hire Mobility Manager | Operation Improvements | Hire a Mobility Manager for the region |


| 2040 <br> Plan <br> ID | Name | Category |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| T-19 | Bus Purchase | Capital <br> Improvement | Purchase four buses each year for use by eligible senior <br> and disabled service agencies |
| T-20 | Bus Barn Improvements | Capital <br> Improvement | Maintain and improve the Rapid Transit Bus Barn Facility |
| T-21 | Rapid Transit System <br> Operating Funds | Continued <br> Service | Rapid Transit System operating funds for fixed route and <br> dial-a-ride service |
| T-22 | Rapid Transit System Capital <br> Assistance | Continued <br> Service | Rapid Transit System capital assistance for fixed route and <br> dial-a-ride service |

## E. Intermodal Transportation

The interaction between travel modes has a significant impact on the economic viability of the region. The region's ability to facilitate the efficient movement of people and goods across and within its boundaries provides significant economic opportunities.
The Rapid City Area provides an important link to the regional, statewide, and national transportation system. This section discusses three critical intermodal transportation modes: air, railroad, and commercial truck.

## i. Aviation

The Rapid City Regional Airport provides both commercial and general aviation service for the region, and is located approximately 10 miles southeast of downtown Rapid City along SD 44. According to the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), the airport is the second busiest airport in
 South Dakota with 266,623 enplanements in 2014, a roughly 4 percent increase over 2013. Four airlines currently serve the airport, providing direct service to 10 US cities.

- Allegiant Air - to/from Las Vegas and Phoenix
- American Airlines/American Eagle - to/from Chicago and Dallas
- Delta Airlines/Delta Connection/SkyWest - to/from Atlanta, Minneapolis, and Salt Lake City
- United Airlines/United Express/SkyWest - to/from Chicago, Denver, and Houston

The airport provides paid short- and long-term parking options, including up to 20 minutes of free parking. Five rental car agencies (Avis, Budget, Enterprise, Hertz, and National/Alamo) serve the airport with rental desks located within the terminal and cars parked just outside the terminal. Local shuttle and taxi companies also provide transportation to/from the airport.

The Airport Master Plan Update, begun in 2014 for the Rapid City Regional Airport, identifies future development necessary to accommodate aviation demand. This study addresses the airport's current and forecast safety, capacity, and compatibility needs. Many projects have been completed and new planning considerations have arisen since the previous Master Plan study in 2008.

The scope of the study was developed to identify specific needs and objectives. The scope includes tasks to document existing conditions, forecast future aviation activity levels, identify future facility requirements, formulate and evaluate airfield alternatives, and prepare an implementation plan. Recommendations will be made for improvements that are triggered by safety requirements or demand thresholds.

In addition, the specific objectives for this Airport Master Plan Update are identified as follows:

- General Aviation Development - Functionality and expansion alternatives
- Cargo Needs - Functionality and optimal location for aircraft and vehicles
- Evaluate non-aeronautical development on airport property
- Runway Length and Alternatives when Primary Runway is out of service
- Passenger Terminal Building Needs
- Inline baggage screening
- Review Terminal Apron Size
- Deicing Facility Implications
- Public Automobile Parking Needs
- US Forest Service Aerial Firefighting Base - Functionality and expansion alternatives
- Relocation of Airport Road in General Aviation Area
- Evaluate Snow Removal Equipment and Maintenance Facilities
- Siting options for Air Traffic Control Tower
- Update Land Use Compatibility
- Coordinate Master Plan with City and City Comprehensive Plan

The Airport Master Plan Update is expected to be completed in fall 2015 with a technical report, Capital Improvement Plan, and Airport Layout Plan. The recommendations from the Master Plan Update are incorporated into this plan by reference.

## ii. Railroads

Rapid City is the headquarters of the Rapid City, Pierre \& Eastern Railroad (RCPE) - a Class II railroad owned by Genesee \& Wyoming. The RCPE was previously known as the Dakota, Minnesota and Eastern Railroad (DME) and owned by Class I railroad Canadian Pacific (CP) until it was sold to Genesee \& Wyoming in 2014. No passenger rail serves the RCAMPO area.

The RCPE operates 670 miles of tracks in three directions out of Rapid City, interchanging with CP, BNSF Railway, Union Pacific Railroad, and Nebraska Northwestern Railroad. It is the only active railroad in the RCAMPO area. RCPE's tracks connect to the following areas:

- East through South Dakota to Tracy, Minnesota, starting from the east side of downtown heading east through Box Elder primarily along I-90
- South to Crawford, Nebraska, from downtown, generally along Cambell St and then SD 79
- Northwest to Colony, Wyoming, from downtown to along SD 445 and SD 231, and then along l-90 through Black Hawk, Summerset, and Piedmont

According to Genesee \& Wyoming, customers ship grain, bentonite clay, ethanol, fertilizer, and other products on the RCPE. The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) reports that an average of two trains per day currently run on the tracks to Colony and on the tracks to Crawford, and an average of four trains per day currently run on the tracks heading east along I-90 toward eastern South Dakota.

A rail-banked 98.5 -mile rail corridor owned by the State of South Dakota traverses through the RCAMPO area from downtown Rapid City to Kadoka, South Dakota, along SD 44. Pieces of this corridor are being converted into a shared use trail, with additional conversion pieces proposed in the Needs Plan.

Figure 11 depicts the railroad system within the planning area.

Figure 11. Railroads



## iii. Freight and Truck Routes

Freight movements provide a critical service for planning regions, with potential impacts to the quality of life of residents and visitors. To manage the impacts that freight movements can have within the region, while ensuring the efficient movement of goods and services, the City Council of Rapid City has established Truck and Delivery Routes within the city limits. Large trucks of more than three tons must use the approved Truck Routes when traveling within Rapid City and Delivery Routes can be used only when trucks are making local deliveries and cannot be used as through routes. This plan should be updated by the local agencies as desired.

Figure 12 depicts the designated Truck and Delivery Routes within the planning area.
Figure 12. Truck and Delivery Routes


## F. Plans, Studies, and Policy Approaches

The plans referenced to create the Needs Plan also identified the need to study the feasibility of some of the needs elements, update or produce a variety of plans, and implement policy approaches. Some of these proposed plans also received public support to improve particular modes, such as transit.

Table 15 lists these plans and studies, the particular need(s) for the plan/study, and the champion agency.

Table 15. Proposed Plans and Studies

| Plan / Study / Policy Approach | Need/ Description | Champion(s) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Airport |  |  |
| Airport Layout Plan Update | Regular update identified in the Rapid City Regional Airport Master Plan Update | Rapid City Regional Airport |
| Airport Master Plan Update | Regular update identified in the Rapid City Regional Airport Master Plan Update | Rapid City Regional Airport |
| Bicycle |  |  |
| Promote bicycle infrastructure as part of public projects | Add bicycle improvements into existing road and transit infrastructure upgrades, including design for facilities such as showers, bike racks, and bike lockers within public buildings | RCAMPO <br> Rapid City <br> Box Elder |
| Bicycle Network Map | Grade, map, and publish existing bicycle routes according to user types | RCAMPO |
| Freight |  |  |
| Quiet Zones Study | Evaluate potential implementation of quiet zones at high rail traffic locations through populated areas | RCAMPO |
| Regional Intermodal Freight Plan | Develop a freight plan to document current freight conditions, identify future freight issues and improvements, and coordinate the preservation of important freight facilities and corridors | RCAMPO SDDOT |
| New I-90 / Airport Connection Feasibility Study | Assess feasibility of a new north-south connection between the airport and I-90, including both roadway and rail to enhance efficiency of freight transfers | RCAMPO <br> Box Elder <br> Pennington County |
| Multimodal |  |  |
| Bicycle and Pedestrian Master Plan Update | Complete an update to the Bicycle and Pedestrian Master Plan; include a focus on bicycle travel through downtown Rapid City | RCAMPO <br> Rapid City |
| Complete Streets Guide | Assemble a complete streets guide that provides guidance for considering the needs of pedestrians and bicyclists in new development, redevelopment and street reconstruction | RCAMPO |
| Multimodal marketing | Coordinate and develop marketing campaigns to promote the use of alternative modes, both individually and together | RCAMPO |


| Plan / Study / Policy Approach | Need / Description | Champion(s) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Transportation Management <br> Organization | Commission an organization dedicated to developing <br> and implementing Travel Demand Management (TDM) <br> strategies in the MPO area and acquiring data to support <br> these efforts | RCAMPO <br> SDDOT |
| Pedestrian | Create sidewalk condition performance measures and <br> implement an ongoing sidewalk conditions <br> documentation process that builds off the Rapid City <br> Community Walk Audit effort | RCAMPO |
| Sidewalk Condition Study | Implement important sidewalk improvements as a <br> priority within the CIP using the City's Bicycle and <br> Pedestrian Master Plan and the sidewalk conditions <br> analysis process | Rapid City |



| Plan / Study / Policy Approach | Need/Description | Champion(s) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| West Outer Loop Study | Study need and feasibility for a new 2-lane minor arterial from Sheridan Lake Rd to Canyon Rd / Nemo Rd | RCAMPO <br> Rapid City Pennington County |
| West Anamosa St Extension Study | Study need and feasibility for two extensions of Anamosa St as a 2-lane minor arterial: one from l-190 to the proposed Plaza Dr extension and the second from the proposed Plaza Dr extension to SD 231 (Sturgis Rd) | RCAMPO <br> Rapid City |
| Plaza Dr Extension Study | Study need and feasibility for two extensions of Plaza Dr as a 2-lane minor arterial: one from the proposed Anamosa St extension to SD 231 (Sturgis Rd) and the second from SD 231 (Sturgis Rd) to Nemo Rd | Rapid City Pennington County |
| I-90 Crossing Study | Study the need and feasibility of a new I-90 crossing east of the I-90 / I-190 interchange connecting to Disk Dr | Rapid City SDDOT |
| Transit |  |  |
| Rapid City Area Transit Plan | The planning horizon for the most recent transit plan, the 2008 Rapid City Transit Development Plan, expired in 2013. Outstanding issues/ideas identified in this plan, the 2013 Coordinated Public Transit-Human Services Transportation Plan Update, and input received during public meetings conducted for RapidTRIP 2040 demonstrates a substantial need for an updated transit plan that not only looks at service within Rapid City, but the feasibility of regional service. <br> Based on the previous plan and public input as part of this plan, issues/ideas that should be addressed include: <br> - Providing bus shelters, benches, and lighting at each stop and creating a prioritized implementation plan <br> - Improving ADA access to stops, including ramps and sidewalk improvements <br> - Implementation of automatic vehicle location (AVL) technology on buses and providing real-time next bus information to users <br> - Bus surveillance cameras <br> - Pass options, including a day pass and magnetic cards to replace punch cards <br> - Explore fare assistance programs including Medicaid/Medicare reimbursement, free/low-cost fares for low income children, employer payment program, and a TANF Recipients Education Program | Rapid City Rapid Transit RCAMPO |


| Plan / Study / Policy Approach | Need / Description | Champion(s) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rapid City Area Transit Plan (Continued) | - Perform a Transit Level of Service analysis of the existing system <br> - Service expansion analysis including routes to regional destinations, stops that better serve major employment centers and social services, additional Dial-a-Ride service area, earlier service hours, later service hours for service industry employees and give patrons a safe ride home option, and Sunday service <br> - Park and Ride facilities <br> - Improving transit system usability by simplifying the route system and providing more extensive rider information online <br> - Senior rideshare program <br> - Comprehensive review of standard and unique funding sources <br> - Planning level costs <br> - Develop performance measures <br> Needs listed in Table 14 should also be included | Rapid City <br> Rapid Transit <br> RCAMPO |
| Transit Asset Management Plan | MAP-21 requires that transit agencies prepare transit asset management plans to promote accountability and to increase transit system efficiency. The goal of improved transit asset management is to implement a strategic approach for assessing needs and prioritizing investments for bringing the nation's public transit systems into a state of good repair. | Rapid City <br> Rapid Transit <br> RCAMPO |
| Transit Safety Plan | MAP-21 established a Public Transportation Safety Program authorizing FTA to establish and enforce a new comprehensive framework to oversee the safety of public transportation throughout the United States. The program creates an overall framework for FTA to monitor, oversee and enforce safety in the public transit industry and is based on the principles and practices of Safety Management Systems (SMS). It is required that all FTA grantees develop comprehensive agency safety plans that, at a minimum, include methods for identifying and evaluating safety risks, strategies to minimize exposure to hazards and unsafe conditions, a staff safety training program, and performance targets for safety performance criteria and state of good repair standards. | Rapid City Rapid Transit RCAMPO |


| Plan / Study / Policy Approach | Need / Description | Champion(s) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Coordinated public transit human services transportation <br> plans, as required under MAP-21, are to be developed <br> locally, and the plan must identify the needs of <br> individuals with disabilities, older adults, and people with <br> low-income and be developed through a process that <br> includes representatives of public, private and nonprofit <br> transportation and human services providers and <br> participation by the public. All requests for 5310 funds <br> through the FTA must be in alignment with the findings, <br> goals, and objectives identified in the local plans. This <br> plan is Federally mandated to be updated every 5 years. | RCAMPO <br> Rapid City <br> Rapid Transit |
| Regional Transit Service Feasibility | Study to evaluate the feasibility of regional transit service | RCAMPO <br> Stupapid City |
| Transit information availability | Provide route schedule and map information at all bus <br> stops, upload and maintain transit data on Google Maps, <br> make transit information available via the Helpline <br> Center 211, use social media to promote transit use, and <br> provide riders service delay/disruption alerts, and <br> advertise "Free Ride Friday" for seniors | Rapid City <br> Rapid Transit |
| Rider and provider inventory | Create an inventory of people who need transportation <br> to areas outside Rapid Transit System's service area <br> and those who are already receiving rides in those areas <br> to coordinate rides between these groups | RCAMPO <br> Rapid City <br> Rapid Transit |
| Local Agency Transit Education | Provide transit education for local agencies, especially <br> those that serve older adults and persons with <br> disabilities, to explain how to ride the bus, read the bus <br> schedule and who to contact if they have questions | RCAMPO <br> Rapid City <br> Rapid Transit |
| Program | Produce an annual report documenting service levels of <br> the past year, transit levels of service, and a <br> performance measures assessment | Rapid City <br> Rapid Transit |
| Annual Performance Report | Database of volunteers to provide rides to those not <br> served by existing transit services | RCAMPO <br> Rapid City <br> Rapid Transit |



An environmental screening process has been completed for all projects identified in the Fiscally Constrained Plan, consistent with requirements from MAP-21. As this plan represents an early stage in the overall transportation planning process, the environmental review has been structured to provide preliminary insight (presence or absence) about the environmental resources potentially impacted by each need. Impacts have not been critically examined or quantified at this stage to determine the level of impacts to each identified resource. Contained within the following sections are descriptions of potential mitigation strategies should individual resources be impacted by future projects. All environmental screening has been completed using currently available geospatial databases without any field verification of resources and a planning-level of conceptual design for each need and as a result, there may be situations where environmental resources have not been identified during this screening process. Further evaluation of each need as the implementation moves forward will require individual environmental clearance and permitting processes.

## A. Environmental Resources

The environmental resources screened were selected based on the characteristics of the study area, as well as input received from area resource agencies. The resources considered are generally consistent with the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA), its implementing regulations, and Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) guidelines. The following sections summarize resources that are considered red flag environmental resources with separate regulatory drivers, such as the Endangered Species Act (ESA) or Clean Water Act (CWA), or are typically resources of concern for the general public, such as prairie dog colonies.

The following sections describe each resource category, along with the data sources used for the screening process.

## i. Parks and Recreational Resources

Parks and recreational resources within the study area include parks, open space, greenbelts, parkways, conservation areas and trails. These resources are regulated under Section 4(f) of the Department of Transportation Act of 1966. Section 4(f) stipulates that FHWA and other United States Department of Transportation (DOT) agencies cannot approve the use of land from publicly owned parks, recreational facilities, wildlife and waterfowl refuges, or public and private historic sites unless there is no feasible and prudent alternative to the use of the land and unless the action includes all possible planning to minimize harm to the property resulting from use.

Some park and recreational resources are also regulated under the Land and Water Conservation Fund (LWCF) Act of 1965. The LWCF established a federal funding program to assist states in developing outdoor recreation sites. Section $6(\mathrm{f})$ of the act prohibits converting property acquired or developed with these funds to a non-recreational purpose without the approval of the National Park Service (NPS).

The park properties present within the study area are publicly owned. Existing park and recreational resources within the study area included 38 parcels within the City of Rapid City and 12 parcels within the City of Box Elder. The evaluation also identified 35 Section 6(f) sites.

## Next Steps/Mitigation Strategies

If, during further project-level planning processes, parks, trails, or open space are impacted, the next steps of the Section 4(f) and Section 6(f) process require evaluations of publicly-owned parks, trails, and open space lands to be conducted to determine if there are any properties that qualify for protection under Section 4(f) and/or are Section 6(f) assisted properties.

The law says that FHWA (and other DOT agencies) cannot approve the use of land from publicly-owned parks, recreation areas, wildlife refuges, or historic sites unless there is no feasible and prudent alternative to the use and the action includes all possible planning to minimize harm to the property. The substantive provisions of Section $4(\mathrm{f})$ apply only to agencies within the USDOT. A Section 4(f) evaluation would be required for the conversion of any publicly-owned parks, trails, or open space lands for transportation improvements.

Section 6(f) assisted properties require coordination with the affected local agency, as well as approval from the NPS to convert Section 6(f) assisted land for transportation improvements.

These next steps are not comprehensive and may not be appropriate for each project; further environmental study should be completed to identify specific courses of action to mitigate impacts.

Figure 13 provides the location of parks and recreation resources.

Figure 13. Parks and Recreation Resources


Source: South Dakota GIS Parks and Open Space (http://arcgis.sd.gov/server/sdgis/Data.aspx) Land \& Water Conservation Fund (http://www.invw.org/data/lwcf/grants-sd.html)

## ii. Cultural Resources

This section covers the historic built environment of the study area. The term "historic" is generally used to refer to buildings, structures, sites, or objects that have been determined eligible for listing in the National Register of Historic Places (NRHP). Passed in 1966, the National Historic Preservation Act established the framework for historic preservation in the U.S., creating the NRHP, National Historic Landmarks determination process, and State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO).

Section 106 of the Act produced a regulatory framework, mandating review of federally funded and permitted projects to determine any potentially adverse impacts to historic resources. The Act requires projects to avoid impacts to NRHP and potentially eligible properties, and, if impacts cannot be avoided, to minimize and mitigate impacts.

Section 4(f) of the Department of Transportation Act of 1966 provided additional oversight for historic resources. It stated that the FHWA and other DOT agencies cannot approve the use of land from public or private historical sites unless there is no feasible and prudent alternative to the use of the land and unless the project includes planning to minimize negative impacts to the property whenever possible.

To be listed on the NRHP or potentially eligible, properties must be historically significant and retain sufficient integrity to be able to convey their significance. Four criteria are used to determine significance:

- Criteria A - Resources may be listed due to their association with events that have made a significant contribution to history.
- Criteria B - Resources may be listed due to their connection with persons significant in history.
- Criterion C - Design value includes buildings that are important for their aesthetic qualities; for demonstrating the distinctive characteristics of a building type, era, or method of construction; or for representing the work of a notable architect.
- Criterion D - Properties that have yielded or may be likely to yield information important in history or prehistory may be listed.

Properties generally are not evaluated for potential significance until they are at least 50 years old. Properties less than 50 years old must have exceptional importance to be eligible for listing on the NRHP.

The screening process identified 28 historical properties that are concentrated in Downtown Rapid City and 1,000 historic structures that are located in central Rapid City.

## Next Steps/Mitigation Strategies

Further project-level planning processes may identify transportation improvements that have the potential to affect currently unidentified and unevaluated cultural resources in unsurveyed areas. The next step will be to conduct an additional intensive-level inventory to adequately assess these potential impacts. An intensive survey of cultural resources would be conducted, including
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preparation of a Cultural Resources Inventory Report, to facilitate official evaluations of NRHPeligibility and assess specific project impacts as required for National Historic Preservation Act Section 106 review.

These next steps are not comprehensive and may not be appropriate for each project; further environmental study should be completed to identify specific courses of action to mitigate impacts.

Figure 14 provides the location of cultural resources.
Figure 14. Cultural Resources


Source: National Park Service Historic Properties/Districts (http://www.nps.gov/gis/data info/)

## iii. Floodways, 100-year Floodplains and Water Quality

Floodplains are the lands on either side of a watercourse that are inundated when a channel exceeds its capacity. The National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP) encourages state and local governments to adopt sound floodplain management programs. To provide a national standard without regional discrimination, the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) adopted the 100-year flood as the base flood for floodplain management and flood insurance purposes.

A 100-year flood is calculated to be the level of flood water expected to be equaled or exceeded every 100 years on average; thus, it has a 1 percent chance of being equaled or exceeded in any single year. Changes in the floodplain, such as adding fill material, constructing buildings or bridges, or limiting the natural conveyance of floodwaters, can cause a rise in the 100-year water surface and can subsequently have an impact on properties not previously anticipated to be affected by a 100 -year storm event.

A "Regulatory Floodway" means the channel of a river or other watercourse and the adjacent land areas must be reserved to discharge the base flood without cumulatively increasing the water surface elevation more than a designated height (FEMA, 2014a). Communities must regulate development in these floodways to ensure that there are no increases in upstream flood elevations. For streams and other watercourses where FEMA has provided Base Flood Elevations, but no floodway has been designated, the community must either review floodplain development case by case to ensure that increases in water surface elevations do not occur, or identify the need to adopt a floodway if adequate information is available (FEMA, 2014a).

The following regulatory requirements apply to floodplains:

- Executive Order (EO) 11988, Floodplain Management (1977), directs federal agencies to "provide leadership and take action to reduce the risk of flood loss, to minimize the impacts of floods on human safety, health and welfare, and to restore and preserve the natural and beneficial values served by floodplains." This EO assists in furthering the NEPA, the National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 (amended), and the Flood Disaster Protection Act of 1973.
- CFR, Title 23 - Highways, Chapter I - FHWA, U.S. DOT, Part 650 - Bridges, Structures, and Hydraulics, prescribes the policies and procedures that FHWA is directed to implement in the "location and hydraulic design of highway encroachments on floodplains."
- CFR, Title 44 - Emergency Management and Assistance, Chapter I - FEMA contains the basic FEMA policies and procedures to regulate floodplain management and to analyze, identify, and map floodplains for flood insurance purposes.

Local governments usually enforce these regulations. For projects within the floodplains, local jurisdictions typically require floodplain development permits. Local governments are responsible for administering floodplain lands within their jurisdictions as part of the land use planning process.

The main floodways and floodplains within the study area are those associated with Rapid Creek, Box Elder Creek, Spring Creek, and Elk Creek and their tributaries.

## Next Steps/Mitigation Strategies

If, during further project-level planning processes, mitigation of impacts to floodplains becomes necessary, Rapid Creek, Box Elder Creek, Spring Creek, and Elk Creek floodplains and their tributaries would be the most sensitive to any changes in the floodplain. These areas would require a Conditional Letter of Map Revision (CLOMR) and Letter of Map Revision (LOMR) from FEMA. Floodplain modeling would be required to assess significant changes. Some relatively small changes may be incorporated in the floodplain without triggering the CLOMR/LOMR process. Floodplain modeling would be required to assess significant changes.

Engineering design should take into account the floodplain and floodway issues, as well as the location of bridges and bridge piers within the floodplain and floodway. Piers located within the floodway would require a specialized hydrologic assessment and approval by FEMA. The placement of piers within the active channel of Rapid Creek, Box Elder Creek, Spring Creek, and Elk Creek and their tributaries will be avoided or placed in a position to reduce impacts on the stream channel, stream habitat, and biota.

These mitigation strategies are not exhaustive and all listed strategies may not be appropriate for each project; further environmental study should be completed to identify specific courses of action to mitigate impacts.

Figure 15 provides the location of floodways, floodplains, and water quality resources.

Figure 15. Floodways, Floodplains, and Water Quality Resources


Sources: FEMA Flood Zones, South Dakota GIS (http://arcgis.sd.gov/server/sdgis/Data.aspx) EPA 303(d) Impaired Water Lines (https://edg.epa.gov/metadata/catalog/main/home.page)

## iv. Wetlands and Waters of the US

Waters of the U.S., including wetlands, are protected under Section 404 of the CWA and Executive Order 11990 Protection of Wetlands. The SDDOT requires avoidance of all wetland impacts or, where avoidance is not practical, minimization to the greatest extent possible. Special emphasis is placed on avoiding impacts to high-quality wetlands, including those wetlands known for potential endangered species support functions. When the objectives of a transportation project cannot be met without adverse impacts to wetlands, wetland mitigation involves the preparation of a wetland mitigation plan detailing how lost wetland functions will be compensated.

The screening process identified approximately 2,000 sites throughout the planning area.

## Next Steps/Mitigation Strategies

During further project-level planning processes, it may become necessary to mitigate impacts to wetlands and other Waters of the U.S. The next step for projects that may affect wetlands and other waters of the U.S. is to prepare of a Wetland Delineation Report for submittal to the USACE for concurrence. Wetland mitigation is typically done on a one-to-one basis; however, a CWA Section 404 permit (Individual or Nationwide), which the USACE will issue, may require higher ratios if unique or high-quality wetlands are affected.

These mitigation strategies are not exhaustive and all listed strategies may not be appropriate for each project; further environmental study should be completed to identify specific courses of action to mitigate impacts.

Figure 16 provides the location of wetland resources.

Figure 16. Wetland Resources


Source: NWI Wetlands, South Dakota GIS (http://arcgis.sd.gov/server/sdgis/Data.aspx)

## v. Wildlife/Threatened and Endangered Species

Various federal laws have been established to protect wildlife, including: the ESA; the Migratory Bird Treaty Act (MBTA); and the Bald and Golden Eagle Protection Act (BGPA). The planning area does not contain any critical habitat for threatened or endangered species.

Within the planning area, there are locations with prairie dog colonies and migratory birds. Due to prairie dog colonies relationship as the habitat for Black Footed Ferret, screening has been completed to identify needs that may affect prairie dog colonies. Approximately 130 prairie dog colonies were identified with a majority occurring in the southeast quadrant of the planning area. Additionally, the Long Eared Bat is a federally listed threatened species with a range including South Dakota; future environmental processes should include the impacts to Long Eared Bats as projects in this plan are studied further.

## Next Steps/Mitigation Strategies

During further project-level planning processes, it may become necessary to mitigate impacts to wildlife/threatened and endangered species. The next step is to conduct a biological survey of threatened and endangered species, including aquatic species. Coordination with the USFWS would be necessary to mitigate potential impacts on special status species habitat.

If proposed construction is planned to occur during the primary nesting season for migratory birds, a qualified biologist should resurvey the project area to verify if any active nests are present. If no active nests are present, trees can be removed. However, if active migratory bird nests are identified and cannot be avoided by proposed construction activities, the USFWS field office should be contacted to help determine the appropriate mitigation action, which may include removing nests before egg laying begins or ceasing construction until all nestlings have fledged.

These mitigation strategies are not exhaustive and all listed strategies may not be appropriate for each project; further environmental study should be completed to identify specific courses of action to mitigate impacts.

Figure 17 provides the location of wildlife and threatened and endangered resources.

Figure 17. Wildlife and Threatened and Endangered Resources


Source: South Dakota DOT

## vi. Hazardous Materials

Hazardous materials include substances or materials that EPA has determined to be capable of posing an unreasonable risk to health, safety, or property. Hazardous materials may exist within the study area at facilities that generate, store, or dispose of these substances, or at locations of past releases of these substances. Examples of hazardous materials include asbestos, leadbased paint, heavy metals, dry-cleaning solvents, and petroleum hydrocarbons (for example, gasoline and diesel fuels), all of which could be harmful to human health and the environment.

Hazardous materials are evaluated and handled according to various state and federal regulations. NEPA, as amended (42 USC §4321 et seq., Public Law 91-190, 83 Stat. 852), mandates that decisions involving federal funds and approvals consider environmental effects from hazardous materials. Other applicable regulations include the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980 (CERCLA) (42 USC §9601 et seq.), which provides federal authority for the identification, investigation, and cleanup of sites throughout the US that are contaminated with hazardous substances (as specifically designated in the Act) and the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976 (RCRA) (42 USC §321 et seq.), which establishes a framework for the management of both solid and hazardous waste. The federal Hazardous and Solid Waste Amendments of 1984 established a comprehensive regulatory program for underground storage tanks (UST) containing petroleum products and hazardous chemicals regulated under CERCLA.

The screening process identified approximately 500 hazardous materials sites throughout the planning area.

## Next Steps/Mitigation Strategies

During further project-level planning processes, it may become necessary to mitigate impacts due to hazardous materials. The next step is to conduct a site-specific Phase I Environmental Site Assessment with an updated search of environmental databases as part of the acquisition of property for right-of-way.

Contamination from hazardous materials is most likely to be encountered during grounddisturbing activities in areas near properties with potential or recognized environmental conditions (hazardous materials). During the design process, the information concerning these properties can be used to identify avoidance options, if possible, and to assist with the development of materials management and worker health and safety plans. An asbestoscontaining materials survey is required for all structures to be demolished as part of any project. Additionally, a lead-based paint survey and regulated materials clearance survey are recommended for all structures to be demolished by projects identified in this plan.

These mitigation strategies are not exhaustive and all listed strategies may not be appropriate for each project; further environmental study should be completed to identify specific courses of action to mitigate impacts to this resource.

Figure 18 provides the location of hazardous materials.

Figure 18. Hazardous Materials


Source: EPA Hazmat Sites (https://edg.epa.gov/metadata/catalog/main/home.page)

## vii. Environmental Justice

Environmental justice for this screening exercise focused on needs that may disproportionately impact minority and low income populations. The data for this evaluation is based on 2010 U.S. Census data (tract/block group level) and American Community Survey (2008-2012).

Figure 19 represents minority populations by percentage throughout the planning area.
Figure 19. Minority Persons


Figure 20 represents low-income populations by percentage throughout the planning area. Low-income populations were identified using the EPA EJScreen resource, which bases the low-income population on the "percent of a block group's population in households where the household income is less than or equal to twice the federal 'poverty level.'"

Figure 20. Low-Income Persons


Source: EPA EJScreen (http://www2.epa.gov/ejscreen)

## Next Steps/Mitigation Strategies

If, during further project-level planning processes, mitigation of impacts to minority or lowincome persons becomes necessary, the following strategies may be appropriate:

- Identify and avoid or minimize impacts to specific minority or low-income communities
- Document benefits
- Identify and avoid or minimize impacts to community facilities and resources
- Provide focused public outreach to refine impacts and potential mitigation strategies

This list of mitigation strategies is not exhaustive and all listed strategies may not be appropriate for each project; further environmental study should be completed to identify specific courses of action to mitigate impacts.

## B. Environmental Screening Results

During the environmental screening process, individual resource agencies were specifically invited to participate in this Plan. Through a series of notification letters sent to announce the Draft Fiscally Constrained Plan and Draft Long Range Transportation Plan, each agency was invited to review the Plan progress and to provide input and comment about the process or results. No environmental agency provided formal response to this planning effort.

Table 16 summarizes the results of the environmental screening process. Each need has been listed, along with each environmental resource screened. Needs that are within 0.1 mile of an identified environmental resource have been flagged for future NEPA consideration. Transit needs have been excluded from this analysis process due to the non-impact nature of the improvements recommended in the plan to natural resources in the planning area.

Overall, this table is intended to serve as a baseline reference tool for future planning efforts. The screened environmental resources provide an indication of presence or absence of impacts. These resources, along with other environmental concerns, including, but not limited to, air quality, traffic noise, and acquisition of property for right-of-way, should be evaluated with environmental processes as project development moves forward.

Table 16. Environmental Screening Results

| $\begin{aligned} & 2040 \\ & \text { Plan } \\ & \text { ID } \end{aligned}$ | Name | Location / Description | Parks | National Forest | $\begin{gathered} 6(f) \\ \text { Property } \end{gathered}$ | Historic Property / Districts | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Floodway } \\ & \text { / } \\ & \text { Floodzone } \end{aligned}$ | Water Quality | Wetiands | Prairie Dogs | Hazmat Sites | Minority Population | Low Income Population |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-1 | Anamosa St | from Valley Dr to US 16B (Elk Vale Rd) |  |  |  |  |  |  | X |  | X |  |  |
| B-2 | Anamosa St | from US 16B (Elk Vale Rd) to N Reservoir Rd |  |  |  |  |  |  | X |  |  |  | X |
| B-3 | Anamosa St | from E North St to Mickelson Dr |  |  |  |  |  |  | X |  |  |  | X |
| B-4 | Anamosa St | from Mickelson Dr to Valley Dr |  |  |  |  |  |  | X |  |  |  |  |
| B-5 | Canyon Lake Dr | from Sheridan Lake Rd to Soo San Dr | X |  | X |  | X |  | X |  | X |  | X |
| B-6 | US 16B (Catron Blvd) / Catron Blvd | from 5th St to Sheridan Lake Rd |  |  |  |  |  |  | X |  | X |  | X |
| B-7 | City Springs Rd / N 44th St | from Galena Dr to W Chicago St | X |  | X |  | X |  | X |  | X |  | X |
| B-8 | Copperfield Dr | from E Anamosa St to existing street |  |  |  |  |  |  | X | X | X |  |  |
| B-9 | Fairmont Blvd | from Creek Dr to S Valley Dr |  |  |  |  | X | X | X | X | X |  | X |
| B-10 | Harmony Heights Lane | from Plaza Blvd to Anamosa St | X |  | X |  |  |  |  |  | X | X | X |
| B-11 | US 16 Service Road | from Skyline Dr / Tower Rd to Catron Blvd | X |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | X |  | X |
| B-12 | W Main St | from Soo San Rd to West Blvd | X |  |  | X | X | X |  |  | X |  | X |


| 2040 <br> Plan ID | Name | Location / Description | Parks | National Forest | 6(f) <br> Property | Historic <br> Property I Districts |  | Water Quality | Wetiands | Prairie Dogs | Hazmat Sites | Minority Population | $\begin{gathered} \text { Low } \\ \text { Income } \\ \text { Population } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-13 | W Main St | from 44th St to Soo San Dr | X |  |  |  | X |  |  |  | X |  | X |
| B-14 | Maple Ave | from Mall Dr to Disk Dr |  |  |  |  |  |  | X |  | X |  | X |
| B-15 | Mickelson Dr | from E Anamosa St to SD 44 | X |  |  |  |  |  | X |  | X |  | X |
| B-16 | Minnesota St | from Cambell St to Jolly Ln |  |  |  |  | X |  | X |  | X |  | X |
| B-17 | North St | from West Blvd N to N 1st St | X |  |  | X | X |  |  |  | X |  | X |
| B-18 | N Plaza Dr / Plaza Blvd | from SD 445 (Deadwood Ave) to Harmony Heights Ln |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | X |  | X |
| B-19 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SD } 231 \text { (W } \\ & \text { Chicago St) } \end{aligned}$ | from Sheffer St to SD 445 (Deadwood Ave) | X |  |  |  | X | X | X |  |  |  | X |
| B-20 | W Chicago St | from N 44th St to SD 231 (Sturgis Rd) |  |  |  |  | X |  |  |  | X |  | X |
| B-21 | Soo San Rd | from W Main St to Brookside Rd | X |  |  |  | X |  |  |  | X |  | X |
| B-22 | St Joseph St | from W Main St to West Blvd | X |  |  | X | X |  |  |  | X | X | X |
| B-23 | St Patrick St | from 5th St to Elm Ave |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | X | X | X |
| B-24 | Steele Ave | from Brennan Ave to railroad | X |  | X |  | X | X | X |  | X | X | X |
| B-25 | US 16 (Mt <br> Rushmore Rd) | from North St to SD 44 (Omaha St) | X |  | X | X | X | X | X |  | X |  | X |
| B-26 | US 16B (Elk Vale Rd / Catron Blvd) | from SD 44 to 5th St |  |  |  |  | X | X | X |  | X |  | X |


| $\begin{gathered} 2040 \\ \text { Plan } \\ \text { ID } \end{gathered}$ | Name | Location / Description | Parks | National Forest | 6(f) Property | Historic Property I Districts | $\begin{gathered} \text { Floodway } \\ \text { / } \\ \text { Floodzone } \end{gathered}$ | Water Quality | Wetiands | Prairie Dogs | Hazmat Sites | Minority Population | Low Income population |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-27 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { US 16B (Elk } \\ & \text { Vale Rd) } \end{aligned}$ | from Mall Dr to SD 44 |  |  |  |  | X |  | X |  | X | X | X |
| B-28 | Valley Dr | from Anamosa St to Fairmont St |  |  |  |  | X | X | X | X | X |  | X |
| B-29 | West Blvd NW | from Anamosa St to Boegel St | X |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | X | X |
| B-30 | Sheridan Lake Rd | Grade-separated trail crossing of trail along Rapid Creek | X |  |  |  | X | X |  |  |  |  | X |
| B-31 | 225th St | on south side from 150 PI to existing connection | X |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | X |
| B-32 | 5th St | from E Minnesota St to US 16B (Catron Blvd) | X |  |  |  |  |  | X |  |  |  | X |
| B-33 | 5th St | from Cleveland St to Texas St |  |  |  |  | X |  | X |  | X | X | X |
| B-34 | Anamosa St | from Century Rd to E North St |  |  |  |  |  |  | X |  |  | X | X |
| B-35 | Anamosa St | from Silver St to Haines Ave | X |  | X |  | X |  |  |  | X | X | X |
| B-36 | Argyle St | from SD 44 (Jackson Blvd) to W Flormann St | X |  |  |  | X | X | X |  | X |  |  |
| B-37 | SD 79 (Cambell <br> St) / Cambell St | from Richland Dr (street just north of Minnesota St) to US 16B (Elk Vale Dr) |  |  |  |  | X |  | X |  | X |  | X |
| B-38 | Cambell St | from E Oakland St to Fairmont Blvd |  |  |  |  |  |  | X |  | X |  | X |
| B-39 | Cheyenne Blvd | from US 16B (Elk Vale Rd) to Spruce Dr | X |  |  |  |  |  | X | X | X |  |  |
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| 2040 <br> Plan ID | Name | Location / Description | Parks | National Forest | $\begin{gathered} \text { 6(f) } \\ \text { Property } \end{gathered}$ | Historic <br> Property I Districts | Floodway I <br> Foodzone | Water Quality | Wetlands | Prairie Dogs | Hazmat Sites | Minority Population | $\begin{gathered} \text { Low } \\ \text { Income } \\ \text { Population } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-40 | Cimarron alignment | from Ellsworth Rd to Liberty Blvd |  |  | X |  | X |  | X |  | X | X | X |
| B-41 | Cimarron alignment | from Liberty Blvd to new shared use path |  |  |  |  | X |  | X |  |  |  | X |
| B-42 | Concourse Dr | from US 16B (Elk Vale Rd) to Twilight Dr |  |  |  |  | X |  | X |  | X |  |  |
| B-43 | Connection to Rapid City path system | near County Hwy 1416 (from West Gate to Rapid City) |  |  |  |  | X |  | X | X | X |  | X |
| B-44 | County Hwy 1416 | from Westgate Rd to Ellsworth Rd | X |  | X |  | X |  | X |  | X |  | X |
| B-45 | SD 445 <br> (Deadwood Ave) | from N Plaza Dr to SD 231 (Omaha St) | X |  |  |  | X | X | X |  | X |  | X |
| B-46 | Disk Dr | from Haines Ave to N La Crosse St | X |  |  |  |  |  | X |  | X |  | X |
| B-47 | E Minnesota St | from Parkview Dr to Odde Dr | X |  |  |  | X |  | X |  |  |  | X |
| B-48 | Minnesota St | from Minnesota St Park to Cambell St | X |  |  |  | X |  |  |  | X |  | X |
| B-49 | E North St | from Mall Dr to Anamosa St |  |  |  |  |  |  | X |  | X | X | X |
| B-50 | Ellsworth Rd | from Liberty Blvd to County Hwy 1416 | X |  | X |  | X |  |  |  | X | X | X |
| B-51 | Ellsworth Rd | from Liberty Blvd to 225th St | X |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | X | X | X |
| B-52 | Elm Ave | from E Oakland St to Field View Dr | X |  |  |  | X |  |  |  | X | X | X |


| $\begin{gathered} 2040 \\ \text { Plan } \\ \text { ID } \end{gathered}$ | Name | Location / Description | Parks | National Forest | 6 (f) Property | Historic <br> Property I Districts | $\begin{gathered} \text { Floodway } \\ \quad / \\ \text { Floodzone } \end{gathered}$ | Water Quality | Wetlands | Prairie Dogs | Harmat Sites | Minority Population | Low Income Population |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-53 | Elm Ave | from E St Patrick St to Meade St |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | X | X | X |
| B-54 | Elm Ave | from Field View Dr to US 16B (Catron Blvd) |  |  |  |  |  |  | X |  |  |  | X |
| B-55 | \|-190 / Drainageway | from Wesrt Blvd N to Silver St | X |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | X | X |
| B-56 | Leonard <br> "Swanny" <br> Swanson <br> Memorial <br> Pathway <br> Extension | from St Patrick St to E St Charles St | X |  |  |  | X | X |  |  | X |  | X |
| B-57 | Leonard <br> "Swanny" <br> Swanson <br> Memorial <br> Pathway <br> Extension | south of Fairmont Blvd to Minnesota St |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | X |  | X |
| B-58 | Leonard <br> "Swanny" <br> Swanson <br> Memorial <br> Pathway <br> Extension | from Minnesota St to US 16 |  |  |  |  | X |  | X |  | X |  | X |
| B-59 | Leonard <br> "Swanny" <br> Swanson <br> Memorial <br> Pathway <br> Extension | from E St Patrick St to Fairmont Blvd | X |  |  |  | X | X | X |  | X |  | X |
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| $\begin{gathered} 2040 \\ \text { Plan } \\ \text { ID } \end{gathered}$ | Name | Location / Description | Parks | National Forest | $\begin{gathered} 6(f) \\ \text { Property } \end{gathered}$ | Historic Property I Districts | $\begin{gathered} \text { Floodway } \\ \text { / } \\ \text { Floodzone } \end{gathered}$ | Water Quality | Wettands | Prairie Dogs | Hazmat Sites | Minority Population | Low Income Population |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-60 | Liberty Blvd | Along north side from Tower Rd to Ellsworth Rd | X |  |  |  |  |  | X |  | X | X | X |
| B-61 | Liberty Blvd | On east and north sides between County Hwy 1416 and Tower Rd | X |  |  |  | X |  | X |  |  |  | X |
| B-62 | Mall Dr | from Haines Ave to N Elk Vale Rd |  |  |  |  |  |  | X |  | X |  | X |
| B-63 | N Plaza Dr | from SD 231 (Sturgis Rd) to SD 445 (Deadwood Ave) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | X |  | X |
| B-64 | New Shared Use Path | from Prairie Rd to County Hwy 1416 | X |  |  |  | X |  | X |  |  |  | X |
| B-65 | Parkview Dr | from Parkview Park to $5^{\text {th }} \mathrm{St}$ | X |  |  |  |  |  | X |  |  |  | X |
| B-66 | Piedmont Valley Shared Use Path | Along I-90 |  | X |  | X |  |  | X |  | X |  |  |
| B-67 | Radar Hill Rd | from County Hwy 1416 to 229th St |  |  |  |  | X |  | X |  |  |  | X |
| B-68 | Rapid Creek | from Leonard "Swanny" Swanson Memorial Pathway Extension to Cambell St |  |  |  |  | X |  |  |  | X |  | X |
| B-69 | Rapid Creek / Wally Byam | from Valley Dr to Jolly Ln |  |  |  |  | X | X | X |  | X |  | X |
| B-70 | Rapid St / 3rd St | from 5th St to SD 44 (Omaha St) | X |  | X | X | X | X |  |  | X |  | X |
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| 2040 <br> Plan <br> ID | Name | Location / Description | Parks | National Forest | 6(f) <br> Property | Historic <br> Property I <br> Districts |  | Water Quality | Wetiands | Prairie Dogs | Hazmat Sites | Minority Population | Low Income Population |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-71 | Rapid Valley Drainage | from Twilight Dr to Covington St |  |  |  |  |  |  | X |  |  |  | X |
| B-72 | S Valley Dr | from E Fairmont St to E Minnesota St |  |  |  |  |  |  | X |  |  |  | X |
| B-73 | San Francisco St | from La Crosse St to Cherry Ave | X |  |  |  | X | X | X |  | X | X | X |
| B-74 | SD 231 (Sturgis <br> Rd) / Universal Dr | from Merritt Rd to Lien St |  |  |  |  | X |  | X |  | X |  | X |
| B-75 | SD 44 | from Long View Rd to MPO boundary |  |  |  |  | X |  | X |  |  |  | X |
| B-76 | SD 44 | from Mickelson Dr to St Patrick St | X |  |  |  | X |  | X |  | X |  | X |
| B-77 | SD 44 | from Twilight to Long View |  |  |  |  | X |  | X |  | X |  | X |
| B-78 | $\text { SD } 44 \text { / E St }$ <br> Patrick St | from existing side path to Twilight Dr | X |  |  |  | X | X | X |  | X |  | X |
| B-79 | SD 44 (Jackson Blvd) | from Cleghorn Canyon Rd to Cliffside Park | X |  | X |  | X |  | X |  | X |  |  |
| B-80 | SD 44 (Jackson Blvd) | from Cliffside Park to existing trail | X |  | X |  | X |  | X |  | X |  |  |
| B-81 | Stumer Rd | from Enchantment Rd to 5th St |  |  |  |  |  |  | X |  | X |  | X |
| B-82 | Tower Rd | from Liberty Blvd to Patriot Dr | X |  |  |  |  |  | X |  |  |  | X |
| B-83 | Tower Rd | Along east side from $224^{\text {th }}$ St to 225th St | X |  |  |  |  |  | X |  |  |  | X |
| B-84 | US 16 (Mt <br> Rushmore Rd) | from SD 44 (Omaha St) to Main St | X |  | X | X | X | X | X |  | X | X | X |
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| 2040 <br> Plan ID | Name | Location / Description | Parks | National Forest | 6(f) <br> Property | Historic <br> Property I Districts | Floodway I <br> Foodzone | Water Quality | Wetiands | Prairie Dogs | Hazmat Sites | Minority Population | Low Income Population |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-85 | West Blvd | from Leonard "Swanny" <br> Swanson Memorial Pathway to St Joseph St | X |  | X | X | X | X | X |  | X |  | X |
| B-86 | 44th St | from W Chicago St to Raider Rd |  |  |  |  | X |  |  |  | X |  | X |
| B-87 | 5th St | from Columbus St to SD 44 (Omaha St) | X |  | X | X | X | X | X |  | X | X | X |
| B-88 | 9th St | from Quincy St to Flormann St | X |  | X | X |  |  |  |  | X |  | X |
| B-89 | Allen Ave | from Anamosa St to North St | X |  | X |  |  |  |  |  | X |  | X |
| B-90 | Alta Vista Dr / Anaconda Rd | from east of City View Dr to E Fairmont Blvd |  |  |  |  | X |  | X |  | X |  | X |
| B-91 | Anamosa St | from Commerce Rd to Silver St | X |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | X | X | X |
| B-92 | Apolda St <br> (Rapid St) | from US 16 (Mt Rushmore Rd ) to 6th St | X |  |  | X | X | X | X |  | X |  | X |
| B-93 | Black Hills Blvd | from E Stumer Rd to US 16B (Catron Blvd) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | X |  | X |
| B-94 | Bunker Dr | from Sagewood St to Disk Dr/l-90 | X |  | X |  |  |  | X |  |  |  | X |
| B-95 | Cambell St Service Road | from Fairmont Blvd to Richland Dr (street just north of Minnesota St) |  |  |  |  | X |  |  |  | X |  | X |
| B-96 | Cathedral Dr/ Fairmont Blvd | from US 16 (Mt Rushmore Rd) to Creek Dr | X |  | X |  | X |  |  |  | X | X | X |
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| 2040 <br> Plan <br> ID | Name | Location / Description | Parks | National Forest | 6(f) Property | Historic <br> Property / <br> Districts | Floodway I Foodzone | Water Quality | Wetiands | Prairie Dogs | Hazmat Sites | Minority Population | $\begin{gathered} \text { Low } \\ \text { Income } \\ \text { Population } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-97 | City Springs Rd Extension / St Martins Dr | from SD 231 (Sturgis Rd) to Galena Dr | X |  | X |  | X |  | X |  |  |  | X |
| B-98 | Commerce Rd / Lien St | from railRd to Rand Rd |  |  |  |  |  |  | X |  | X |  | X |
| B-99 | Copperfield Dr | from end of existing street to SD 44 |  |  |  |  | X |  | X |  | X |  | X |
| B-100 | Covington St | from Twilight Dr to SD 44 |  |  |  |  |  |  | X |  |  |  | X |
| B-101 | Creek Dr | from E Saint Patrick St to Fairmont Blvd | X |  |  |  | X | X |  |  | X | X | X |
| B-102 | Degeest Dr | from Homestead St to Twilight Dr |  |  |  |  |  |  | X |  | X |  | X |
| B-103 | Dunsmore Rd | from Sheridan Lake Rd to Moon Meadows Dr |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| B-104 | E Centennial St/ Locust St | from Parkview Dr to E Fairmont Blvd | X |  | X |  | X |  |  |  | X |  | X |
| B-105 | E Fairlane Dr | from Maple to Robbinsdale Park | X |  | X |  | X |  |  |  | X | X | X |
| B-106 | E Kansas City St | from East Blvd to SD School of Mines \& Tech | X |  |  | X |  |  |  |  | X |  | X |
| B-107 | E New York St / N Maple Ave / E Philadelphia St | from East Blvd to Cambell St | X |  | X | X | X | X | X |  | X | X | X |
| B-108 | E Oakland St | from Hawthorne Ave to Cambell St | X |  | X |  | X |  | X |  | X | X | X |
| B-109 | East Blvd | from E Quincy St to Signal Dr |  |  |  | X |  |  |  |  | X | X | X |


| 2040 <br> Plan <br> ID | Name | Location / Description | Parks | National Forest | $\begin{gathered} 6(f) \\ \text { Property } \end{gathered}$ | Historic <br> Property <br> I <br> Districts | Floodway / <br> Foodzone | Water Quality | Wetiands | Prairie Dogs | Hazmat Sites | Minority Population | Low Income Population |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-110 | Flormann St / Meade St | from West Blvd to 5th St |  |  |  | X |  |  | X |  | X | X | X |
| B-111 | Franklin Ave / Belleview Dr / E St Andrew St | from West Blvd to 5th St | X |  |  | X |  |  |  |  | X | X | X |
| B-112 | Hawthorne Ave | from E Meade St to E Oakland St | X |  | X |  | X |  |  |  |  | X | X |
| B-113 | Hillsview Dr / W <br> St Patrick St / <br> Red Dale Dr | Canyon Lake Rd loop |  |  |  | X |  |  |  |  |  |  | X |
| B-114 | Jolly Ln | from SD 44 to Daly Circuit |  |  |  |  | X | X | X |  | X |  | X |
| B-115 | Meade St / E Indiana St | from 5th St to Hawthorne Ave | X |  | X |  | X |  |  |  | X | X | X |
| B-116 | Milwaukee St | from Crestwood Dr to E New York St | X |  | X | X | X |  | X |  | X | X | X |
| B-117 | Minuteman Dr / Lindbergh Ave | from Haines Ave to Anamosa St | X |  | X |  | X |  |  |  | X | X | X |
| B-118 | Moon Meadows Dr | from Dunsmore Rd to US 16 |  |  |  |  |  |  | X |  |  |  |  |
| B-119 | N 40th St | from Fish \& Game site to SD 231 (W Chicago St) |  |  |  |  | X |  | X |  |  |  | X |
| B-120 | N Maple Ave | from Disk Dr to Anamosa St | X |  |  |  |  |  | X |  | X | X | X |
| B-121 | N Spruce St | from Meadowlark Rd to E Philadelphia St | X |  | X |  |  |  |  |  | X | X | X |
| B-122 | Nordby Ln | from W Saint Louis St to W Main St |  |  |  |  | X |  |  |  |  |  | X |
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| $\begin{gathered} 2040 \\ \text { Plan } \\ \text { ID } \end{gathered}$ | Name | Location / Description | Parks | National Forest | 6(f) <br> Property | Historic <br> Property I Districts | $\begin{gathered} \text { Floodway } \\ \text { / } \\ \text { Floodzone } \end{gathered}$ | Water Quality | Wettands | Prairie Dogs | Hazmat Sites | Minority Population | Low Income Population |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-123 | Oak Ave | from E Indiana St to Colorado St |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | X |  | X |
| B-124 | Parkview Dr | from E Centennial St to E Minnesota St | X |  |  |  | X |  | X |  |  |  | X |
| B-125 | Prairie Ave | from St Patrick St to E Indiana St |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | X | X | X |
| B-126a | Quincy St | from 5th St to East Blvd |  |  |  | X |  |  |  |  | X | X | X |
| B-126b | Quincy St | from US 16 (Mt Rushmore Rd) to West St | X |  | X | X |  |  |  |  | X |  | X |
| B-127 | Raider Rd | from 44th St to Hillsview Dr |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| B-128 | Red Cloud St | from Northridge Dr to Mall Dr | X |  | X |  |  |  | X |  |  |  | X |
| B-129 | Reservoir Rd/ Longview Rd | from Twilight Dr to SD 44 |  |  |  |  | X | X | X |  |  |  | X |
| B-130 | S Canyon Rd | from Berry Blvd to N 44th St | X |  |  |  | X |  |  |  | X |  | X |
| B-131 | Sagewood St/ Northridge Dr | from Bunker Dr to Haines Ave | X |  | X |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | X |
| B-132 | San Marco Blvd | from City Springs Rd to S Canyon Rd | X |  | X |  | X |  |  |  |  |  | X |
| B-133 | San Marco Blvd | from S Canyon Rd to W Chicago St |  |  |  |  | X |  |  |  |  |  | X |
| B-134 | W Chicago St | from San Marco Blvd to S Canyon |  |  |  |  | X |  |  |  | X |  | X |
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| 2040 <br> Plan <br> ID | Name | Location / Description | Parks | National Forest | 6(f) <br> Property | Historic <br> Property I Districts | Floodway I Foodzone | Water Quality | Wetiands | Prairie Dogs | Hazmat Sites | Minority Population | Low Income Population |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-135 | Silver St / <br> Philadelphia St | from N 11th St to Boegel St | X |  | X |  | X | X | X |  |  | X | X |
| B-136 | Soo San Rd | from Brookside Dr to SD 44 (Jackson Blvd) | X |  | X |  | X | X | X |  | X |  | X |
| B-137 | Triple Crown Dr | from E Minnesota St to US 16B (Catron Blvd) | X |  |  |  | X |  | X |  | X |  | X |
| B-138 | Van Buren St | from Allen Ave to Milwaukee St | X |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | X | X |
| B-139 | W Flormann St | from Argyle St to Mountain View Rd | X |  |  |  | X | X | X |  | X |  | X |
| B-140 | W South St | from Soo San Rd to Mary Hill Park | X |  |  |  | X |  |  |  | X |  | X |
| B-141 | West Blvd | from St Joseph St to Flormann St | X |  |  | X |  |  |  |  | X | X | X |
| B-142 | Airport Rd | from the Airport to SD 44 |  |  |  |  | X |  | X | X | X |  |  |
| B-143 | Country Rd | from Elk Vale Rd to Airport Rd |  |  |  |  | X |  | X |  | X | X | X |
| B-144 | Country Rd | from Haines Ave to N Elk Vale Rd |  |  |  |  | X |  | X |  | X |  | X |
| B-145 | N Elk Vale Rd | from Country Rd to E Mall Dr |  |  |  |  | X |  | X |  | X | X | X |
| B-146 | Neck Yoke Rd | from US 16 to Rockerville Rd |  | X |  |  | X |  | X | X | X |  | X |
| B-147 | Nemo Rd | from Berry Blvd to MPO boundary |  | X |  | X | X |  | X |  | X |  | X |
| B-148 | Old Folsom Rd | from Leonard "Swanny" Swanson Mem Path to MPO boundary |  |  |  |  | X |  | X | X | X |  | X |
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| 2040 <br> Plan ID | Name | Location / Description | Parks | National Forest | 6(f) Property | Historic <br> Property / Districts | Floodway I Foodzone | Water Quality | Wetlands | Prairie Dogs | Hazmat Sites | Minority Population | Low Income Population |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-149 | Radar Hill Rd | from 229th St to SD 44 |  |  |  |  |  |  | X | X | X |  | X |
| B-150 | Rockerville Rd | from US 16 to MPO boundary |  | X |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | X |
| B-151 | Sheridan Lake Rd | from Stonecrest Dr to MPO boundary |  | X |  |  | X |  | X |  | X |  | X |
| B-152 | Sheridan Lake Rd | from Wildwood Dr to Muirfield Dr |  |  |  |  |  |  | X |  |  |  |  |
| B-153 | Spring Creek Rd | from Neck Yoke Rd to MPO boundary | X |  |  |  | X |  | X | X |  |  | X |
| B-154 | SD 79 | from US 16B (Elk Vale Rd/Catron Blvd) to 3 mile limits |  |  |  |  | X |  | X | X |  |  | X |
| B-155 | Jackson Blvd | From Mountain View Rd to W Main St |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | X |  | X |
| B-156 | $143{ }^{\text {rd }}$ Ave | Seger Dr to Country Rd |  |  |  |  | X |  | X |  |  |  | X |
| B-157 | Dyess Ave and Seger Dr | From Country Rd south along Dyess Ave, east to Elk Vale Rd along Seger Dr |  |  |  |  | X |  |  |  | X |  | X |
| B-158 | Dyess Ave and Seger Dr | From Mall Dr north along Dyess Ave, west to Haines Ave along Seger Dr |  |  |  |  |  |  | X |  | X |  | X |
| P-1 | 5th St | from South St to Clark St (west side) |  |  |  | X |  |  |  |  | X | X | X |
| P-2 | 225th St | Along north side from Radial Ln to 150 PI | X |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | X |
| P-3 | Villa Dr / Briggs St | from Ellsworth Rd to Briggs \& Patriot | X |  |  |  |  |  | X |  | X | X | X |
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| $\begin{gathered} 2040 \\ \text { Plan } \\ \text { ID } \end{gathered}$ | Name | Location / Description | Parks | National Forest | 6(f) Property | Historic Property / Districts | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Floodway } \\ & \text { / } \\ & \text { Floodzone } \end{aligned}$ | Water Quality | Wetlands | Prairie Dogs | Hazmat Sites | Minority Population | Low Income Population |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| P-4 | Liberty Blvd | West and south sides from Ellsworth to County Hwy 1416 | X |  |  |  | X |  | X |  | X | X | X |
| P-5 | Tower Rd | Along west side from 224th St to 225th St | X |  |  |  |  |  | X |  |  | X | X |
| P-6 | Cambell St | from 280' n/o E St Charles St to E St Patrick St (east side) | X |  |  |  | X | X |  |  | X | X | X |
| P-7 | Cambell St | from Centre St to Rocker Dr (both side) | X |  |  |  | X | X |  |  | X | X | X |
| P-8 | Cambell St | from Rocker Dr to 560' s/o Saint James St (east side) | X |  |  |  | X | X |  |  | X | X | X |
| P-9a | Douglas Middle School | Complete link along 225th St | X |  |  |  |  |  | X |  |  | X | X |
| P-9b | Douglas Middle School | Complete link along Tower Dr | X |  |  |  |  |  | X |  |  | X | X |
| P-10 | Country Rd | from City Limits to 3 mile limit (both sides) |  |  |  |  | X |  | X |  | X | X | X |
| P-11 | SD 445 <br> (Deadwood Ave) | from N Plaza Dr to SD 231 (W Chicago St) (both sides) | X | X |  |  | X | X | X |  | X |  | X |
| P-12 | SD 44 | from City Limits to Jolly Lane (both sides) |  |  |  |  | X |  | X |  | X |  | X |
| P-13 | SD 44 (Omaha <br> St) / SD 44 | from La Crosse St to City Limits (both sides) | X |  | X |  | X |  | X |  | X | X | X |
| P-14 | Haines Ave | from City Limits to Mall Dr (east side) |  |  |  |  |  |  | X |  | X |  | X |
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| 2040 Plan D | Name | Location / Description | Parks | National Forest | $\begin{gathered} 6(f) \\ \text { Property } \end{gathered}$ | Historic <br> Property / <br> Districts |  | Water Quality | Wetiands | Prairie Dogs | Hazmat Sites | Minority Population | $\begin{gathered} \text { Low } \\ \text { Income } \\ \text { Population } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| P-15 | US 16 | from City Limits to 3 mile limit (both sides) |  | X |  |  | X |  | X |  | X |  | X |
| P-16 | SD 44 | from Jolly Lane to 3 mile limit (both sides) |  |  |  |  | X | X | X | X | X |  | X |
| P-17 | SD 44 | from Dark Canyon PI to City Limits (both sides) |  |  |  |  | X |  |  |  | X |  |  |
| P-18 | N La Crosse St | from Seger Dr to E Mall Dr (both sides) |  |  |  |  |  |  | X |  | X |  | X |
| P-19 | Nemo Rd | from 3 mile limit to City Limits (both sides) |  | X |  | X | X |  | X |  | X |  | X |
| P-20 | SD 44 (Omaha St ) | from West Blvd to US 16 (Mt Rushmore Rd) (north side) | X |  | X | X | X | X |  |  | X |  | X |
| P-21 | Reservoir Rd | from Ave A to Lamb Rd (both sides) |  |  |  |  | X | X | X | X |  |  | X |
| P-22 | 5th St | from 57' s/o 3rd St to 95' n/o Elk St (west side) |  |  |  |  |  |  | X |  | X |  | X |
| P-23 | S Ellsworth Rd | from County Hwy 1416 to neighborhood | X |  | X |  | X |  |  |  | X |  | X |
| P-24 | SD 79 | from City Limits to 3 mile limits (both sides) |  |  |  |  | X |  | X | X |  |  | X |
| P-25 | Sheridan Lake Rd | from City Limits to 3 mile limits (both sides) |  | X |  |  | X |  | X |  | X |  | X |
| P-26 | SD 44 | from City Limits to 3 mile limits (both sides) |  | X |  |  | X |  |  |  | X |  |  |


| $\begin{gathered} 2040 \\ \text { Plan } \\ \text { ID } \end{gathered}$ | Name | Location I Description | Parks | National Forest | $\begin{gathered} 6(\mathrm{f}) \\ \text { Property } \end{gathered}$ | Historic Property I Districts | $\begin{gathered} \text { Floodway } \\ \text { / } \\ \text { Floodzone } \end{gathered}$ | Water Quality | Wetiands | Prairie Dogs | Hazmat Sites | Minority Population | Low Income Population |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| P-27 | SD 44 (Omaha St) | from Mountain View Rd to Oshkosh St (both sides) | X |  |  | X | X | X | X |  | X |  | X |
| P-28 | SD 44 (Omaha St) | from Oskhosh St to Founders Park Dr (north side) | X |  |  | X | X | X | X |  | X |  | X |
| P-29 | Apolda St | From $6^{\text {th }}$ St to Mt Rushmore Rd |  |  |  | X |  |  |  |  | X |  | X |
| R-1 | 154th Ave | from Long View Rd to SD 44 |  |  |  |  | X |  | X | X |  |  |  |
| R-2 | 150th Ave | Paving | X |  |  |  |  |  | X | X |  |  | X |
| R-3 | Elk Creek Rd | Realignment e/o l-90 |  |  |  |  | X | X |  |  |  |  |  |
| R-4 | Haines Ave | Raised median from I-90 to Disk Dr |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | X | X | X |
| R-5 | High Meadows Rd Improvements | To existing roadway, RAP surface |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| R-6 | Homestead St | Upgrade to 2 lane collector from Valley Dr to Reservoir Rd |  |  |  |  |  |  | X |  | X |  | X |
| R-7 | Long View Rd | Realignment of existing roadway through airport grounds around new RPZ |  |  |  |  |  |  | X |  |  |  |  |
| R-8 | Long View Rd | from Rapid City Regional Airport to 154th Ave |  |  |  |  |  |  | X | X |  |  |  |
| R-9 | Plateau Ln | from Twilight Dr to Williams St |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | X |  |  |
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| $\begin{gathered} 2040 \\ \text { Plan } \\ \text { ID } \end{gathered}$ | Name | Location / Description | Parks | National Forest | $\begin{gathered} 6(\mathrm{f}) \\ \text { Property } \end{gathered}$ | Historic Property I Districts | $\begin{gathered} \text { Floodway } \\ \text { / } \\ \text { Floodzone } \end{gathered}$ | Water Quality | Wetiands | Prairie Dogs | Hazmat Sites | Minority Population | Low Income Population |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| R-10 | Reservoir Rd | from Twilight Dr to Meadow Ridge Dr |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | X |
| R-11 | Deadwood Ave | from Calamity Rd to Meade County Line |  |  |  |  | X |  | X |  | X |  | X |
| R-12 | US 16 NB | NB, rumble strips and safety edge with resurfacing project along entire segment, wildlife fencing and signs along curve, and accel/decel lanes for paved median cuts between and including Busted 5 Ct and Sitting Bull Rd. (Neck Yoke to Busted 5 Ct ) |  |  |  |  | X |  | X |  | X |  |  |
| R-13 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Valley Dr / E } \\ & 27 \text { th St } \end{aligned}$ | Upgrade to 2 lane collector with intersection improvements from s/o Fairmont Blvd to US 16B (Elk Vale Rd) / SE Connector |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | X |
| R-14a | Haines Ave | Implement raised median <br> (Knollwood Dr to <br> Lindbergh Ave) |  |  |  |  | X |  |  |  |  | X | X |
| R-14b | Haines Ave \& Wright St | Install signal at Wright St if warranted |  |  |  |  | X |  |  |  |  | X | X |
| R-14c | Wood Ave | Extend Wood Ave from Wright St to Knollwood Dr. |  |  |  |  | X |  |  |  |  | X | X |
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| 2040 <br> Plan <br> ID | Name | Location / Description | Parks | National Forest | 6(f) <br> Property | Historic <br> Property I Districts |  | Water Quality | Wetiands | Prairie Dogs | Hazmat Sites | Minority Population | $\begin{gathered} \text { Low } \\ \text { Income } \\ \text { Population } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| R-15a | W Main St | Implement raised median (Sheridan Lake Rd to Mountain View R) and construct bike lanes | $X$ |  |  |  | X | X |  |  | X |  | X |
| R-15b | W Main St \& Dakota Dr | Install traffic signal at Dakota Dr intersection if warranted | X |  |  |  | X | X |  |  | X |  | X |
| R-16 | 154th Ave \& 233rd St | 154th Ave \& 233rd St |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| R-17 | Boulder Hill Rd \& Silver Mountain Rd | Boulder Hill Rd \& Silver Mountain Rd |  | X |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| R-18 |  <br> Twilight Dr | Concourse Dr \& Twilight Dr |  |  |  |  | X |  |  |  | X |  |  |
| R-19 | I-90 Exit 46 (Elk Creek Rd) | Interchange improvements |  |  |  | X |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| R-20 | I-90 Exit 63 (County Hwy 1416) | Interchange improvements or replacement of I-90 Exit 63 (County Hwy 1416) per the recommendations of the Interchange Options Study |  |  |  |  | X |  |  |  |  | X | X |
| R-21 | I-90 Exit 55 (SD 445 (Deadwood Ave)) | Interchange Improvements and Closed Access |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | X |  | X |
| R-22 | I-90 Exit 59 (N Lacrosse St) | Diverging diamond interchange | X |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | X | X | X |
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| $\begin{gathered} 2040 \\ \text { Plan } \\ \text { ID } \end{gathered}$ | Name | Location / Description | Parks | National Forest | $\begin{gathered} 6(\mathrm{f}) \\ \text { Property } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Floodway } \\ \text { / } \\ \text { Floodzone } \end{gathered}$ | Water Quality | Wetiands | Prairie Dogs | Hazmat Sites | Minority Population | Low Income Population |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| R-23 | New I-90 Exit e/o Exit 67 (Liberty Blvd) for Transload development | New interchange plus local access on I-90 when development warrants |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| R-24a | La Crosse St \& Monroe St | Install traffic signal for Monroe St intersection if warranted and relocate utility pole on SW corner of Monroe St intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | X | X | X |
| R-24b | La Crosse St \& RR Crossing | Automatic crossing gates at the railroad crossing | X |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | X | X |
| R-24c |  <br> Sam's Club <br> Access | Convert old Sam's Club access into right-in/rightout |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | X | X | X |
| R-25 | N La Crosse St \& Walmart Access | Convert Walmart access into right-in/right-out | X |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | X | X | X |
| R-26 | Liberty Blvd \& Ellsworth Rd | Replace existing all-way stop traffic control with signalized control when warranted | X |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | X | X | X |
| R-27 | South <br>  <br> Neck Yoke Rd | South Rockerville Rd \& Neck Yoke Rd |  | X |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| R-28 | SD 231 (Sturgis Rd) \& Universal Dr | SD 231 (Sturgis Rd) \& Universal Dr |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | X |  | X |
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| $\begin{gathered} 2040 \\ \text { Plan } \\ \text { ID } \end{gathered}$ | Name | Location / Description | Parks | National Forest | 6(f) <br> Property | Historic <br> Property <br> I <br> Districts | Floodway I <br> Floodzone | Water Quality | Wetiands | Prairie Dogs | Hazmat Sites | Minority Population | $\begin{gathered} \text { Low } \\ \text { Income } \\ \text { Population } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| R-29 | SD 231 (Sturgis Rd) \& Merritt Rd | SD 231 (Sturgis Rd) \& Merritt Rd |  |  |  |  | X |  |  |  | X |  | X |
| R-30 |  <br> Covington St | SD 44 \& Covington St |  |  |  |  |  |  | X |  |  |  |  |
| R-31 | SD 44 (Jackson <br>  <br> Cleghorn <br> Canyon Rd) | Intersection warning sign and advisory speed plaque for westbound approach, and removal of sight obstructions at SD 44 (Jackson Blvd) and Cleghorn Canyon Rd | X |  | X |  | X |  | X |  | X |  |  |
| R-32 | Sheridan Lake Rd \& Dunsmore Rd | Dunsmore Rd \& Sheridan Lake Rd |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | X |
| R-33 | US 16 \& Silver Mountain Rd | US 16 \& Silver Mountain Rd |  | X |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| R-34a | St Joseph St \& 1st St | Install lane use markings |  |  |  | X |  |  |  |  | X | X | X |
| R-34b | St Joseph St \& 2nd St | Install lane use markings |  |  |  | X |  |  |  |  | X | X | X |
| R-34c | St Joseph St \& 3rd St | Install lane use markings |  |  |  | X |  |  |  |  | X | X | X |
| R-34d | St Joseph St \& 4th St | Removal of on-street parking between 4th \& 5th Streets, install traffic signal if warranted, and install lane use markings |  |  |  | X |  |  |  |  | X | X | X |
| R-35 | I-90 Exit 48 (Stage Stop Rd) | Interchange improvements |  |  |  |  |  |  | X |  | X |  |  |


| $\begin{gathered} 2040 \\ \text { Plan } \\ \text { ID } \end{gathered}$ | Name | Location / Description | Parks | National Forest | 6(f) <br> Property | Historic <br> Property / Districts | $\begin{gathered} \text { Floodway } \\ \text { / } \\ \text { Floodzone } \end{gathered}$ | Water Quality | Wettands | Prairie Dogs | Hazmat Sites | Minority Population | Low Income Population |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| R-36 | US 16 \& US 16B (Catron Blvd) Intersection | New interchange w/ US 16 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | X |  | X |
| R-37 | US 16B \& Cheyenne Blvd Intersection | Intersection improvements/adjustments once Cheyenne is built out to the east |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | X |  |  |
| R-38 | 150th Ave | Construct new arterial extension from 225th to Liberty Blvd | X |  |  |  |  |  | X |  |  |  | X |
| R-39 | 150th Ave | Construct new collector from Liberty Blvd to Cimarron Dr |  |  |  |  | X |  | X |  |  |  | X |
| R-40 | 5th St Extension | Construct new 4 lane principal arterial from US 16B (Catron Blvd) to Sammis Trail |  |  |  |  |  |  | X |  | X |  | X |
| R-41 | 5th St Extension | Construct new 4 lane principal arterial from Lamb Rd to Spring Creek Rd |  |  |  |  | X |  | X |  |  |  | X |
| R-42 | Liberty Rd Extension | Construct new 2 lane minor arterial from l-90 to SD 44 | X |  |  |  | X |  | X | X | X |  | X |
| R-43 | Anamosa St Extension | Construct new 4 lane minor arterial from US 16B (Elk Vale Rd) to Creek Dr |  |  |  |  |  |  | X | X | X |  |  |
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| 2040 Plan ID | Name | Location / Description | Parks | National Forest | $\begin{gathered} 6(f) \\ \text { Property } \end{gathered}$ | Historic <br> Property / Districts | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Floodway } \\ & \text { / } \\ & \text { Floodzone } \end{aligned}$ | Water Quality | Wetlands | Prairie Dogs | Hazmat Sites | Minority Population | $\begin{gathered} \text { Low } \\ \text { Income } \\ \text { Population } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| R-44 | E Anamosa Extension | Construct new 2 lane principal arterial from Radar Hill Rd to US 16B (Elk Vale Rd) |  |  |  |  |  |  | X | X |  |  | X |
| R-45 | Anderson Rd Extension | Construct new 2 lane minor arterial from Anamosa St Extension to Long View Rd |  |  |  |  |  |  | X | X |  |  |  |
| R-46 | Cambell St Extension | Construct new 2 lane minor arterial from Anamosa St Extension to North St | X |  |  |  |  |  | X |  | X | X | X |
| R-47 | Century Rd | Construct new 2 lane minor arterial from Anamosa St Extension to North St |  |  |  |  |  |  | X |  | X | X | X |
| R-48 | Cheyenne Blvd | Extend new arterial from existing Cheyenne Blvd east to Radar Hill Rd | X |  |  |  |  |  | X | X |  |  |  |
| R-49 | Cheyenne Blvd | Construct new arterial from Ellsworth Rd to 151 Ave |  |  |  |  |  |  | X |  | X |  |  |
| R-50 | Cheyenne Blvd \& Ellsworth Rd | Construct new arterial from Radar Hill Rd to a new Ellsworth arterial extension south from existing neighborhood |  |  |  |  |  |  | X | X |  |  |  |
| R-51 | Cimarron Dr | Extend new arterial from Ellsworth Rd to Liberty Blvd |  |  | X |  | X |  | X |  | X |  | X |
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| 2040 <br> Plan ID | Name | Location / Description | Parks | National Forest | $\begin{gathered} 6(f) \\ \text { Property } \end{gathered}$ | Historic <br> Property I <br> Districts | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Floodway } \\ & \text { / } \\ & \text { Floodzone } \end{aligned}$ | Water Quality | Wetiands | Prairie Dogs | Hazmat Sites | Minority Population | Low Income Population |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| R-52 | Cimarron Dr | Construct new arterial from West Gate Rd east to Cimarron Dr intersection with Ellsworth Rd | X |  | X |  | X |  | X |  | X | X | X |
| R-53 | Country Rd Extension | Construct new 2 lane minor arterial from existing Country Rd to Deadwood Ave |  |  |  |  |  |  | X |  | X |  | X |
| R-54 | Degeest Dr | Extend new collector from the end of Degeest Dr north across railroad tracks and connect to I-90 Service Road | X |  |  |  | X |  | X | X | X |  |  |
| R-55 | Freude Lane | Construct new collector from Freude Lane west to Creekside Dr to connect existing neighborhoods and to provide a second point of access | X |  |  |  | X |  | X |  | X |  |  |
| R-56 | High Meadows Area Secondary Access Route | Option 3-3.2 mile extension of High Meadows Rd to Rolling Hills Rd along USFS Trail 777's alignment |  | X |  |  |  |  | X |  |  |  |  |
| R-57 | La Crosse St Extension | Construct new 2 lane minor arterial from Country Rd to Seger Dr |  |  |  |  | X |  | X |  |  |  | X |
| R-58 | Mall Dr | Extend new arterial from Elk Vale to Service Road |  |  |  |  | X |  | X |  | X | X | X |


| $\begin{gathered} 2040 \\ \text { Plan } \\ \text { ID } \end{gathered}$ | Name | Location / Description | Parks | National Forest | $\begin{gathered} 6(f) \\ \text { Property } \end{gathered}$ | Historic Property I Districts | $\begin{gathered} \text { Floodway } \\ \text { / } \\ \text { Floodzone } \end{gathered}$ | Water Quality | Wetiands | Prairie Dogs | Hazmat Sites | Minority Population | Low Income Population |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| R-59 | Mill Rd Extension | Construct new 2 lane minor arterial from Haines Ave to Deadwood Ave |  |  |  |  | X |  | X |  |  |  |  |
| R-60 | Mill Rd Extension | Construct new 2 lane minor arterial from Nike Rd to Haines Ave |  |  |  |  | X |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| R-61 | Minnesota St Extension | Construct new 2 lane minor arterial from US 16B (Elk Vale Rd) to Cambell St |  |  |  |  | X |  |  |  | X |  | X |
| R-62 | Minnesota St Extension | Construct new 2 lane minor arterial from Jolly Ln to e/o US 16B (Elk Vale Rd) |  |  |  |  |  |  | X |  |  |  | X |
| R-63 | Minnesota St Extension | Construct new 2 lane minor arterial from Reservoir Rd to Jolly Ln |  |  |  |  | X | X | X |  |  |  |  |
| R-64 | New road w/o Airport | Construct new 2 lane collector from Airport Rd to Radar Hill Rd |  |  |  |  | X |  | X | X |  |  |  |
| R-65 | Northern Lights Blvd | Extend new collector from Northern Lights Blvd east to the future extension of West Gate Rd | X |  |  |  | X |  | X | X |  |  |  |
| R-66 | Prairie Rd | Construct new local road to allow access location on Liberty Blvd |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | X |


| 2040 <br> Plan <br> ID | Name | Location / Description | Parks | National Forest | 6(f) <br> Property | Historic <br> Property I Districts |  | Water Quality | Wetiands | Prairie Dogs | Hazmat Sites | Minority Population | $\begin{gathered} \text { Low } \\ \text { Income } \\ \text { Population } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| R-67 | Reservoir St Extension | Construct new 2 lane principal arterial from Anamosa St Ext to Meadow Ridge Dr |  |  |  |  |  |  | X |  |  |  |  |
| R-68 | Sammis Trail | Construct new 2 lane principal arterial from Old Folsom Rd / Lamb Rd to US 16 |  |  |  |  | X |  | X | X |  |  | X |
| R-69 | Secondary Summerset Access | Option A - New connection from Castlewood Dr to High Meadows |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| R-70 | Sheridan Lake Rd Extension | Construct new 4 lane minor arterial from SD 445 (Deadwood Ave) to Main St |  |  |  |  |  |  | X |  | X |  | X |
| R-71 | Spring Creek Rd Extension | Construct new 2 lane principal arterial from SD 44 to SD 79 |  |  |  |  | X |  | X | X |  |  |  |
| R-72 | Twilight Dr Extension | Construct new 4 lane minor arterial from Radar Hill Rd to Reservoir Rd |  |  |  |  |  |  | X | X | X |  |  |
| R-73 | West Gate Rd | Construct new arterial from the West Gate / County Hwy 1416 intersection south to the future Cheyenne Blvd extension |  |  |  |  | X |  | X | X |  |  | X |
| R-74 | Cambell St | Widen to 6 lanes from Minnesota St to Fairmont Blvd | X |  |  |  | X |  |  |  | X |  | X |
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| 2040 <br> Plan <br> D | Name | Location / Description | Parks | National Forest | 6(f) <br> Property | Historic <br> Property I Districts | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Floodway } \\ & \text { / } \\ & \text { Floodzone } \end{aligned}$ | Water Quality | Wetiands | Prairie Dogs | Hazmat Sites | Minority Population | $\begin{gathered} \text { Low } \\ \text { Income } \\ \text { Population } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| R-75 | Canyon Lake Dr | Widen to 4 lane minor arterial from Sheridan Lake Rd to Soo San Dr | X |  | X |  | X | X | X |  | X |  | X |
| R-76 | Catron Blvd | Widen to 3 lane principal arterial from US 16 to Nugget Gulch Rd |  |  |  |  |  |  | X |  | X |  | X |
| R-77 | US 16B (Elk Vale Rd) | Widen to 6 lanes from SD 44 to SD 79 |  |  |  |  | X | X | X |  | X |  | X |
| R-78 | Ellsworth Rd | Widen existing roadway from existing neighborhood to County Hwy 1416 to provide curb and gutter and left turn lane according to the arterial typical section | X |  | X |  | X |  |  |  | X |  | X |
| R-79 | Ellsworth Rd | Widen existing roadway from County Hwy 1416 north to 225th to provide curb and gutter and a left turn lane according to the arterial typical section standard | X |  | X |  | X |  |  |  | X | X | X |
| R-80 | Haines Ave | Widen to 4 lane principal arterial from Country Rd to n/o Sitting Bull St where 4lane cross section ends | X |  |  |  |  |  | X |  | X |  | X |
| R-81 | W Main St | Widen to 6 lane principal arterial from SD 44 (Jackson Blvd) to Mountain View Rd | X |  |  |  | X | X |  |  | X |  | X |
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| 2040 Plan ID | Name | Location / Description | Parks | National Forest | $\begin{gathered} 6(f) \\ \text { Property } \end{gathered}$ | Historic <br> Property I Districts | Floodway Floodzone | Water Quality | Wetlands | Prairie Dogs | Hazmat Sites | Minority Population | $\begin{gathered} \text { Low } \\ \text { Income } \\ \text { Population } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| R-82 | Mall Dr | Widen to 4 lanes from Haines Ave to Maple Ave |  |  |  |  |  |  | X |  | X |  | X |
| R-83 | SD 44 (Omaha St) | Widen to 6 lane principal arterial from Mountain View Rd to 12th St | X |  |  | X | X | X | X |  | X |  | X |
| R-84 | SD 231 (Omaha St ) | Widen to 6 lane principal arterial from Mountain View Rd to SD 445 (Deadwood Ave) | X |  |  |  | X | X | X |  |  |  | X |
| R-85 | Sheridan Lake Rd | Widen to 3 lane minor arterial from Main St to Canyon Lake Rd | X |  |  |  | X |  |  |  | X |  |  |
| R-86 | Sheridan Lake Rd | Widen to 4 lane principal arterial from Corral Dr to Clarkson Rd |  |  |  |  | X |  | X |  | X |  |  |
| R-87 | Sheridan Lake Rd | Upgrade to 5-lane cross section from W Main St to SD 44 (Jackson Blvd) | X |  | X |  | X | X |  |  | X |  | X |
| R-88 | Sheridan Lake Rd | Widen to 3 lane minor arterial from Judy Ave to Rapid Creek | X |  |  |  | X | X |  |  | X |  |  |
| R-89 | St Patrick St | Widen to 4 lanes from US 16 (Mt Rushmore Rd) to 5th St | X |  |  | X |  |  |  |  | X |  | X |
| R-90 | Tower Rd | Widen existing roadway to provide curb and gutter and left turn lane according to the collector typical section standard | X |  |  |  |  |  | X |  |  | X | X |


| $\begin{gathered} 2040 \\ \text { Plan } \\ \text { ID } \end{gathered}$ | Name | Location / Description | Parks | National Forest | $\begin{gathered} 6(f) \\ \text { Property } \end{gathered}$ | Historic Property I Districts | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Floodway } \\ & \text { / } \\ & \text { Floodzone } \end{aligned}$ | Water Quality | Wetiands | Prairie Dogs | Hazmat Sites | Minority Population | Low Income Population |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| R-91 | Antelope Creek Rd | Pave from Meade County Line to Elk Creek Rd |  |  |  |  |  |  | X |  |  |  | X |
| R-92 | Quaal Rd | Construct new 2 lane collector from Stagestop Rd to Elk Creek Rd |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | X |  |  |
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## VII. PERFORMANCE-BASED PLANNING

Performance-based planning is a strategic approach to transportation planning that analyzes data to determine how effectively transportation investments are working toward achieving the identified transportation goals. MAP-21 is the current federal transportation funding and policy bill which emphasizes performance-based planning and identifies seven national goals that states and MPOs are to work toward. Agencies seeking federal funds will demonstrate their progress toward achieving local goals and the national goals included in MAP-21.

This section includes information about performance-based planning, why it is important to the RCAMPO, and subsequent goals and priorities identified by Rapid City, and how those goals will be measured to help move transportation initiatives forward in the region.

## A. Performance Measures and Community Values

The performance-based framework for the RCAMPO includes a range of performance measures that reflect the expressed community values of the region, while honoring national and state standards. This planning process required prioritizing limited funding dollars to maintain and upgrade the transportation system. Performance-based planning affords a structure for the region to ensure that scarce resources are used effectively and equitably. The community values of transportation are woven into the goals, objectives, performance measures, and evaluation criteria used to
 identify high priority transportation projects.

## B. RCAMPO Goals

The RCAMPO has detailed a set of goals intended to implement the transportation network vision and support the mobility and accessibility needs of the region. The MPO goals are in alignment with the USDOT goals outlined in MAP-21 as well as the goals of the SDDOT. Figure 21 defines each RCAMPO goal as it correlates to the state and federal goals.

Figure 21. RCAMPO Goal Alignment with Federal and State Goals


## C. Performance Measure Goal Areas

The following section includes information about the seven performance categories to be monitored by the MPO. Each performance category includes the associated goal, objective, performance measure(s), baseline data, desired trend, and identified regional implementation strategies for the MPO.


GOAL: A safe transportation system for motorized and non-motorized users.
Objective: Reduce fatal and injury crash rates for all modes.


## Implementation Strategies (Safety)

$\rightarrow$ Minimize motor vehicle, rail, bicycle, and pedestrian conflicts
$\rightarrow$ Identify high crash locations within the MPO and assist member agencies in identifying improvements and funding sources; including bicycle/vehicle and pedestrian/vehicle collisions
$\rightarrow$ Conduct safety education and outreach activities with the general public
$\rightarrow$ Implement transit safety measures


SYSTM PRSSEVVIIIOII GOAL: A well maintained transportation system.
Objective: Maintain the existing transportation system in a high quality and effective manner.

## Performance Measure 1

Performance Measure 2

| Percent roadway pavement in good condition | Percent roadway pavement in poor condition |
| :---: | :---: |
| Baseline Data | Baseline Data |
| Percent of Roadways in Good Condition <br> 66\% - Rapid City Roads 70\% - SDDOT Roads | Percent of Roadways in Poor Condition <br> 8\% - Rapid City Roads 18\% - SDDOT Roads |
| Data Source: Rapid City Pavement Condition Index Database; 2015 SDDOT Needs Book and South Dakota Statewide Long Range Transportation Plan | Data Source: 2015 Rapid City Pavement Condition Index Database; 2015 SDDOT Needs Book and South Dakota Statewide Long Range Transportation Plan |

## Implementation Strategies

$\rightarrow$ Maintain and upgrade existing facilities at the Rapid City Regional Airport
$\rightarrow$ Consolidate various agency measurements into single pavement quality database for tracking condition
$\rightarrow$ Support development of a transit asset management plan to extend the life of fleet and facilities
$\rightarrow$ Include system preservation and maintenance in the budgeting process


GOAL: A multimodal transportation system that provides access for all. Objective: Improve the availability and quality of transportation options.

| Performance Measure 1 | Performance Measure 2 | Performance Measure 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Annual transit ridership <br> Desired Trend | Mode split | Miles of bicycle and pedestrian facilities |
| Baseline Data <br> Rapid Ride Transit Ridership | Baseline Data | Baseline Data |
| Data Source: National Transit <br> Database (NTD) - Rapid Ride Annual Unlinked Trips | Data Source: American Community <br> Survey 5-Year Estimates - Rapid City <br> Data - Table S0801 | Data Source: 2010 Rapid City Bicycle and Pedestrian Master Plan |

## Implementation Strategies

$\rightarrow$ To encourage bike use, encourage development of wider roadway shoulders in less developed areas within the Metropolitan Planning Area
$\rightarrow$ Provide an effective transit, bicycle, and pedestrian transportation system linking communities within the Metropolitan Planning Area with each other
$\rightarrow$ Target gaps in the non-motorized travel network for priority improvements
$\rightarrow$ Use infill development to promote the cohesiveness of the community by improving equitable accessibility to employment, health, educational, and shopping facilities in the community


## SYSEM OPERRTIONS

GOAL: An efficient and reliable transportation system. Objective: Minimize travel times, travel costs, and congestion.

| Performance Measure 1 | Performance Measure 2 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Vehicle delay per capita | Vehicle miles traveled (VMT) per capita |
| Baseline Data <br> 2013 Daily Vehicle Delay/Capita 0.39 minutes | Baseline Data <br> 2013 Daily VMT/Capita <br> 14.7 miles |
| Data Source: 2013 RCAMPO Travel Demand Model <br> 2013 Population - 162,292 <br> 2013 Daily Vehicle Hours of Delay - 1,062 | Data Source: 2013 RCAMPO Travel Demand Model <br> 2013 Daily Vehicle Miles of Travel - 2,388,669 <br> 2013 Population - 162,292 |

## Implementation Strategies

$\rightarrow$ Reduce congestion by improving traffic signal coordination
$\rightarrow$ Maintain mobility on key roadways through effective access and parking management
$\rightarrow$ Identify and preserve rights-of-way for anticipated future transportation needs

ECOIOMLC VITALITY
GOAL: An accessible and integrated transportation system that supports economic vitality.
Objective: Provide adequate transportation facilities to support economic development.

## Performance Measure

Housing and transportation costs

Desired Trend

## Baseline Data

## 2013 Housing + Transportation Costs



Data Source: H + T Affordability Index (2009-2013 American Community Survey 5-year Estimates), Subarea - Rapid City Area MPO - www.htaindex.org

## Implementation Strategies

$\rightarrow$ Coordinate transportation and land use planning efforts
$\rightarrow$ Provide efficient movement of freight modes
$\rightarrow$ Encourage projects that enhance movement for tourists visiting the area
$\rightarrow$ Improve mobility to primary employment and activity centers in the Metropolitan Planning Area
$\rightarrow$ Implement policies to protect key freight corridors and facilities from encroachment of incompatible land uses


## ENVIIROMMEITTAL SUSTA|IMBBLITY

GOAL: A transportation system that preserves the environmental, social, and cultural resources of the community.
Objective: Minimize impact on the environment.

## Performance Measure

Vehicle miles traveled (VMT) per capita


Desired Trend

## Baseline Data

## 2013 Daily VMT/Capita

14.7 miles

Data Source: 2013 RCAMPO Travel Demand Model
2013 Daily Vehicle Miles of Travel - 2,388,669
2013 Population - 162,292

## Implementation Strategies

$\rightarrow$ Minimize neighborhood disruption by transportation facilities
$\rightarrow$ Conserve natural resources
$\rightarrow$ Encourage car pooling and other ridesharing programs
$\rightarrow$ Work closely with state and local air quality agencies to ensure an integrated transportation/air quality planning effort
$\rightarrow$ Strengthen efforts to implement hard surfacing of unpaved streets, alleys, and parking lots to reduce particulates and dust
$\rightarrow$ Incorporate environmental and aesthetic considerations in the design process
$\rightarrow$ Minimize impacts to natural and historic resources
$\rightarrow$ Preserve open space
$\rightarrow$ Protect prime agricultural land
$\rightarrow$ Avoid/mitigate impacts to underrepresented populations


## PROJECT DELLVERY

GOAL: Regional collaboration in transportation planning. Objective: Facilitate coordination between regional projects to reduce project delay.

## Performance Measure

Number of project delays in previous planning period due to deficient agency coordination

## Baseline Data

No data are currently available for this performance measure. The MPO has committed to start collecting these data and will have baseline data no later than 2017.

## Implementation Strategies

$\rightarrow$ Maintain and enhance the transportation planning process in accordance with recognized planning practices
$\rightarrow$ Coordinate the development of the street system of the community with all state and local governments, both within and surrounding the planning area
$\rightarrow$ Seek early start for right-of-way and environmental clearance processes

## D. Project Prioritization

All projects included in the project prioritization within this plan were screened to ensure that they align with the goals and objectives identified by the community and the MPO. The performance measures identified in this plan will be tracked and monitored over the next five years to determine how effectively transportation investments are meeting the goals of the region.

It is suggested that the MPO continue to track the development of performance-based planning requirements identified in MAP-21 (and future transportation legislation) to ensure that the MPO remains in compliance. Additionally, when RapidTRIP is updated in 2020, the MPO should reassess the goals, objectives, and performance measures identified in this plan to determine what needs to be modified and/or updated to further meet the goals of the region.

## VIII. 2040 FISCALLY CONSTRAINED PLAN

The 2040 Fiscally Constrained Plan itemizes Needs Plan elements to develop a multimodal plan for improving the transportation system based on the financial analysis contained within Chapter IV. The project selection process relied on a qualitative assessment of the project benefits, coordination with the local agencies, and used the goals, objectives, and performance metrics development process to determine projects in alignment with the region's goals. The following specific Needs Plan elements
 characteristics were used to prioritize projects and develop the Fiscally Constrained Plan:

- High priority in another plan or study
- Need evaluated during a project-specific evaluation
- Enhanced mobility for more than one travel mode
- Completes an existing gap in facilities between jurisdictions
- Improved existing or forecasted congested facility
- Regional nature of project, when need would be funded by more than one agency
- Improved safety
- Ability to positively impact the Plan's performance measures

While the financial process for the RCAMPO requires separation of the funding sources among agencies, this plan identifies opportunities to coordinate funding to provide regional connections. This process has been reflected in each agency's Constrained Plan through the identification of cost sharing opportunities and by co-staging these strategies for implementation during the same period. To provide a regional perspective of the transportation improvements recommended in this plan, Figure 22, Figure 23, and Figure 24 depict the Bicycle, Pedestrian, and Roadway Fiscally Constrained Plans.

The following Fiscally Constrained Plan provides each project cost in year of expenditure; this project value has been calculated assuming a 2 percent per year growth rate. All fiscally constrained projects have been prioritized to remain within financial constraint. If future funding becomes available, reprioritization of the overall Fiscally Constrained Plan should be completed.

Figure 22. Bicycle Fiscally Constrained Plan
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Figure 23. Pedestrian Fiscally Constrained Plan


Figure 24. Roadway Fiscally Constrained Plan
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## A. TIP Project Listing

The RCAMPO maintains a Transportation Improvement Plan (TIP), which documents the programmed roadway improvements within the region. The current listing spans the planning years of 2016-2019 and identifies projects funded through federal, state, and local dollars. The TIP listings for SDDOT, City of Box Elder, City of Rapid City, Rapid Transit, Meade County, and Pennington County are provided in Table 17, Table 18, Table 19, Table 20, Table 21, and Table 22.

These listings include multimodal projects, with a focus on capital investment as well as maintenance and preservation needs. Specific maintenance and preservation projects for the remaining planning period between 2020 and 2040 have not been included in the Fiscally Constrained Plan but are an assumed expense, included in the financial analysis, and necessary to maintain the state of the transportation system through the planning horizon.

Based on the inclusion of capital projects in the TIP listings for the City of Rapid City, Rapid Transit, Meade County, and Pennington County, funding sources, including the entirety of the local STP dollars as identified in the financial analysis, have been designated for the four-year period of 2016-2019.

Table 17. 2016-2019 SDDOT TIP Listing

| Item | Project Number | PC \# | County | Length | Route | Location of Project | Type of Improvement | Federal Funds | Fiscal Year | Total <br> Cost Costs reflect anticipated inflations |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Interstate Maintenance Projects |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8.00 | IM 0901(175)58 | 035J | Pennington | 0.0 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 190E } \\ & \text { I90W } \end{aligned}$ | 190-Strs, Haines Ave Interchange; 190 WB On Ramp, 2.0 E of US16B Intch; 190 WB On Ramp, 2.9 W of Box Elder; Liberty Blvd, Exit 67; Over County Rd/Draw; 1.0 East of Exit 67; 2.1 E of Elk Vale Rd Exit Over a Creek | Diaphragm, Bent Cap, Approach Slab Repair, Epoxy Chip Seals \& Joints, Approach Pavement | 1.054 | 2016 | \$1,158,000 |
| 10.00 | IM 0901(182)23 <br> IM 0902(160)67 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { 02Q0 } \\ & \text { 034L } \end{aligned}$ | Lawrence <br> Pennington | 1.3 | I90E | 190 - Exit 67 (Liberty Blvd.); 190 Exit 23 (Whitewood) | Partial Interchange Lighting | 0.289 | 2016 | \$321,000 |
| 21.00 | IM 0190(12)1 | 05DX | Pennington | 0.0 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathrm{I} 190 \mathrm{~N} \\ & \mathrm{I} 190 \mathrm{~S} \end{aligned}$ | I190-Str. I 90 \& I 190 Interchange (Exit 57) | Replace the Sign Brackets on the Sign(s) Attached to Structure at Exit 57. | 0.023 | 2016 | \$26,000 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 2016 |  | 1.3 Miles |  | \$1,505,000 |
| 30.00 | * IM 0901(38)40 | 5580 | Meade | 4.7 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { I90E } \\ & \text { I90W } \end{aligned}$ | 190-EBL \& WBL between Exits 40 (Tilford) and 44 (Piedmont) \& Reconstruct Exit 44 (Piedmont) Interchange | Reconstruct With PCCP Surf \& Str.s \& Exit 44 Interchange | 33.158 | 2017 | \$38,228,000 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 2017 |  | 4.7 Miles |  | \$38,228,000 |
| 39.00 | IM 0902(165)53 | 04DL | Pennington | 0.0 | 190E <br> I90W | 190-Strs, Over Box Elder Crk, 0.6 SE of Meade Co Line; I90WF over I90, 2.3 E of Exit 61 (US16B), Exit 112 (US 14) | Deck Overlay, Epoxy Deck Seal Abutment Backwall Repair and Approach Slab Repair | 0.896 | 2018 | \$985,000 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 2018 |  | 0.0 Miles |  | \$985,000 |

FELSBURG
HOLT \&
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| Item | Project Number | PC \# | County | Length | Route | Location of Project | Type of Improvement | Federal Funds | Fiscal Year | Total Cost Costs reflect anticipated inflations |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| State Highway System Urban Projects |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4.00 | *NH 0016(79)68 | 027C | Pennington | 0.8 | US 16 | US 16 - Fm St James St to Omaha St in Rapid City | Urban Grading, Storm Sewer, Curb \& Gutter, Sidewalk, Lighting, Signals \& PCC Surfacing Fm St James St. to Kansas City St; Minor Joint \& Spall Repair Fm Kansas City St. to Omaha St. | 5.287 | 2016 | \$6,958,000 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 2016 |  | 0.8 Miles |  | \$6,958,000 |
| 9.00 | * NH 0016(84)67 | 049F | Pennington | 0.6 | US 16 | US16 - Fm Flormann St to St James St. in Rapid City | Urban Grading, Storm Sewer, Curb \& Gutter, Sidewalk, Lighting, Signals \& PCC Surfacing | 5.259 | 2017 | \$6,958,000 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 2017 |  | 0.6 Miles |  | \$6,958,000 |
| 18.00 | P 0231(12)80 | 02R5 | Pennington | 1.1 | SD231 SD231N SD231S | SD231, SD231 N\&S - Fm Sheffer St. to Sturgis Road in Rapid City | Urban Grading, Roadway Lighting Storm Sewer, Curb \& Gutter, Sidewalk, Traffic Signals, Pedestrian Crossing \& PCC Surfacing | 11.053 | 2019 | \$14,142,000 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 20 |  | 1.1 M | iles | \$14,142,000 |
| Bridge Projects |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 28.00 | P 0040(227) | 04UU | Regionwide | 0.0 |  | Regionwide | Rapid City Region Bridge Rehabilitation | 0.256 | 2017 | \$312,000 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 2017 |  | 0.0 Miles |  | \$312,000 |
| 53.00 | P 0040(00) | 04UY | Regionwide | 0.0 |  | Regionwide | Rapid City Region Bridge Rehabilitation | 0.000 | 2018 | \$212,000 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 2018 |  | 0.0 Miles |  | \$212,000 |
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| Item | Project Number | PC \# | County | Length | Route | Location of Project | Type of Improvement | Federal Funds | Fiscal Year | Total Cost Costs reflect anticipated inflations |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Railroad Crossing Improvement Projects |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2.00 | PS 0044(180)43 | 04CQ | Pennington | 0.0 | SD44 | SD44 - Mt. View Rd in Rapid City, crossing 190277T, RCP\&E | Rehabilitation of crossing surface | 0.081 | 2016 | \$90,000 |
| 7.60 | PP 8052(70) | 05JW | Pennington | 0.0 |  | 6th Street in Rapid City, 190269B, RCP\&E | Improve Railroad Signals, Crossing Surface, and PE | 0.450 | 2016 | \$500,000 |
| 7.770 | PP 1701(14) | 05JX | Pennington | 0.0 |  | West Bulevard in Rapid City, 190272J, RCP\&E | Improve Signal System, Crossing Surface, and PE | 0.369 | 2016 | \$410,000 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 201 |  | 0.0 Miles |  | \$1,000,000 |
| Railroad Safety Improvement |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3.00 | PH 0040(225) | 04YX | Regionwide |  |  | Rapid City Region | Intersection improvements | 0.459 | 2016 | \$510,000 |
| 13.00 | PH 0040(19) | 03B6 | Regionwide | 0.0 |  | Various locations on the State System in the Rapid City Region | Cold Plastics Durable Pavement Marking | 0.281 | 2016 | \$281,000 |
| 14.00 | PH 0040(20) | 03B7 | Regionwide | 0.0 |  | Various locations on the State System in the Rapid City Region | Sprayable Durable Pavement Marking | 0.255 | 2016 | \$255,000 |
| 21.00 | PH 0040(216) | 04H1 | Regionwide | 0.0 |  | Rapid City Region | Corridor signing, PE | 0.816 | 2016 | \$816,000 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 2016 |  | 0.0 Miles |  | \$1,862,000 |
| 41.00 | PH 0040(25) | 03UV | Regionwide | 0.0 |  | Various locations on the State System in the Rapid City Region | Cold Plastics Durable Pavement Marking | 0.208 | 2017 | \$208,000 |
| 42.00 | PH 0040(24) | 03UW | Regionwide | 0.0 |  | Various locations on the State System in the Rapid City Region | Sprayable Durable Pavement Marking | 0.338 | 2017 | \$338,000 |
| 49.00 | PH 0040(217) | 04H2 | Regionwide | 0.0 |  | Rapid City Region | Corridor signing, PE | 0.541 | 2017 | \$541,000 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 2017 |  | 0.0 Miles |  | \$1,087,000 |
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Table 17. 2016-2019 SDDOT TIP Listing

| Item | Project Number | PC \# | County | Length | Route | Location of Project | Type of Improvement | Federal Funds | Fiscal Year | Total <br> Cost Costs reflect anticipated inflations |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Interstate Maintenance Projects |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8.00 | IM 0901(175)58 | 035J | Pennington | 0.0 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 190E } \\ & \text { I90W } \end{aligned}$ | 190-Strs, Haines Ave Interchange; 190 WB On Ramp, 2.0 E of US16B Intch; 190 WB On Ramp, 2.9 W of Box Elder; Liberty Blvd, Exit 67; Over County Rd/Draw; 1.0 East of Exit 67; 2.1 E of Elk Vale Rd Exit Over a Creek | Diaphragm, Bent Cap, Approach Slab Repair, Epoxy Chip Seals \& Joints, Approach Pavement | 1.054 | 2016 | \$1,158,000 |
| 10.00 | IM 0901(182)23 <br> IM 0902(160)67 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { 02Q0 } \\ & \text { 034L } \end{aligned}$ | Lawrence <br> Pennington | 1.3 | I90E | 190 - Exit 67 (Liberty Blvd.); 190 Exit 23 (Whitewood) | Partial Interchange Lighting | 0.289 | 2016 | \$321,000 |
| 21.00 | IM 0190(12)1 | 05DX | Pennington | 0.0 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathrm{I} 190 \mathrm{~N} \\ & \mathrm{I} 190 \mathrm{~S} \end{aligned}$ | I190-Str. I 90 \& I 190 Interchange (Exit 57) | Replace the Sign Brackets on the Sign(s) Attached to Structure at Exit 57. | 0.023 | 2016 | \$26,000 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 2016 |  | 1.3 Miles |  | \$1,505,000 |
| 30.00 | * IM 0901(38)40 | 5580 | Meade | 4.7 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { I90E } \\ & \text { I90W } \end{aligned}$ | 190-EBL \& WBL between Exits 40 (Tilford) and 44 (Piedmont) \& Reconstruct Exit 44 (Piedmont) Interchange | Reconstruct With PCCP Surf \& Str.s \& Exit 44 Interchange | 33.158 | 2017 | \$38,228,000 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 2017 |  | 4.7 Miles |  | \$38,228,000 |
| 39.00 | IM 0902(165)53 | 04DL | Pennington | 0.0 | 190E <br> I90W | 190-Strs, Over Box Elder Crk, 0.6 SE of Meade Co Line; I90WF over I90, 2.3 E of Exit 61 (US16B), Exit 112 (US 14) | Deck Overlay, Epoxy Deck Seal Abutment Backwall Repair and Approach Slab Repair | 0.896 | 2018 | \$985,000 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 2018 |  | 0.0 Miles |  | \$985,000 |
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| Item | Project Number | PC \# | County | Length | Route | Location of Project | Type of Improvement | Federal Funds | Fiscal Year | Total Cost Costs reflect anticipated inflations |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| State Highway System Urban Projects |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4.00 | *NH 0016(79)68 | 027C | Pennington | 0.8 | US 16 | US 16 - Fm St James St to Omaha St in Rapid City | Urban Grading, Storm Sewer, Curb \& Gutter, Sidewalk, Lighting, Signals \& PCC Surfacing Fm St James St. to Kansas City St; Minor Joint \& Spall Repair Fm Kansas City St. to Omaha St. | 5.287 | 2016 | \$6,958,000 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 2016 |  | 0.8 Miles |  | \$6,958,000 |
| 9.00 | * NH 0016(84)67 | 049F | Pennington | 0.6 | US 16 | US16 - Fm Flormann St to St James St. in Rapid City | Urban Grading, Storm Sewer, Curb \& Gutter, Sidewalk, Lighting, Signals \& PCC Surfacing | 5.259 | 2017 | \$6,958,000 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 2017 |  | 0.6 Miles |  | \$6,958,000 |
| 18.00 | P 0231(12)80 | 02R5 | Pennington | 1.1 | SD231 SD231N SD231S | SD231, SD231 N\&S - Fm Sheffer St. to Sturgis Road in Rapid City | Urban Grading, Roadway Lighting Storm Sewer, Curb \& Gutter, Sidewalk, Traffic Signals, Pedestrian Crossing \& PCC Surfacing | 11.053 | 2019 | \$14,142,000 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 20 |  | 1.1 M | iles | \$14,142,000 |
| Bridge Projects |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 28.00 | P 0040(227) | 04UU | Regionwide | 0.0 |  | Regionwide | Rapid City Region Bridge Rehabilitation | 0.256 | 2017 | \$312,000 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 2017 |  | 0.0 Miles |  | \$312,000 |
| 53.00 | P 0040(00) | 04UY | Regionwide | 0.0 |  | Regionwide | Rapid City Region Bridge Rehabilitation | 0.000 | 2018 | \$212,000 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 2018 |  | 0.0 Miles |  | \$212,000 |
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| Item | Project Number | PC \# | County | Length | Route | Location of Project | Type of Improvement | Federal Funds | Fiscal Year | Total Cost Costs reflect anticipated inflations |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Railroad Crossing Improvement Projects |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2.00 | PS 0044(180)43 | 04CQ | Pennington | 0.0 | SD44 | SD44 - Mt. View Rd in Rapid City, crossing 190277T, RCP\&E | Rehabilitation of crossing surface | 0.081 | 2016 | \$90,000 |
| 7.60 | PP 8052(70) | 05JW | Pennington | 0.0 |  | 6th Street in Rapid City, 190269B, RCP\&E | Improve Railroad Signals, Crossing Surface, and PE | 0.450 | 2016 | \$500,000 |
| 7.770 | PP 1701(14) | 05JX | Pennington | 0.0 |  | West Bulevard in Rapid City, 190272J, RCP\&E | Improve Signal System, Crossing Surface, and PE | 0.369 | 2016 | \$410,000 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 201 |  | 0.0 Miles |  | \$1,000,000 |
| Railroad Safety Improvement |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3.00 | PH 0040(225) | 04YX | Regionwide |  |  | Rapid City Region | Intersection improvements | 0.459 | 2016 | \$510,000 |
| 13.00 | PH 0040(19) | 03B6 | Regionwide | 0.0 |  | Various locations on the State System in the Rapid City Region | Cold Plastics Durable Pavement Marking | 0.281 | 2016 | \$281,000 |
| 14.00 | PH 0040(20) | 03B7 | Regionwide | 0.0 |  | Various locations on the State System in the Rapid City Region | Sprayable Durable Pavement Marking | 0.255 | 2016 | \$255,000 |
| 21.00 | PH 0040(216) | 04H1 | Regionwide | 0.0 |  | Rapid City Region | Corridor signing, PE | 0.816 | 2016 | \$816,000 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 2016 |  | 0.0 Miles |  | \$1,862,000 |
| 41.00 | PH 0040(25) | 03UV | Regionwide | 0.0 |  | Various locations on the State System in the Rapid City Region | Cold Plastics Durable Pavement Marking | 0.208 | 2017 | \$208,000 |
| 42.00 | PH 0040(24) | 03UW | Regionwide | 0.0 |  | Various locations on the State System in the Rapid City Region | Sprayable Durable Pavement Marking | 0.338 | 2017 | \$338,000 |
| 49.00 | PH 0040(217) | 04H2 | Regionwide | 0.0 |  | Rapid City Region | Corridor signing, PE | 0.541 | 2017 | \$541,000 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 2017 |  | 0.0 Miles |  | \$1,087,000 |
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| Item | Project Number | PC \# | County | Length | Route | Location of Project | Type of Improvement | Federal Funds | Fiscal Year | Total Cost Costs reflect anticipated inflations |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| County Secondary and Off System Projects |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3.0 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { P 6491(06) } \\ \text { P 6446(04) } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6358 \\ & 6359 \end{aligned}$ | Meade | 10.0 |  | Elk Vale Road (FAS 6491) from 225 St at the South Co. Line, N 6 mi. to Elk Creek Road and Elk Creek Road (FAS 6446) from Elk Vale Road, W 4 mi. to N. Haines Ave | Grading, Interim Surfacing | 2.056 | 2016 | \$4,800,000 |
| Total $=\$ 4.8$, STP/Match $=\$ 2.509$, Local Funds $=\$ 2.291$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7.0 | P 000S(00)010 | 03DG | Regionwide | 0.0 |  | Various Locations in the Rapid City Region | County Pavement Marking | 0.000 | 2016 | \$156,000 |

$\$ 0.156$ - State funds at 60/40 (State - \$0.094, County - \$0.062)

|  |  |  |  |  | 2016 |  | 10.0 Miles |  | \$4,956,000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2.0 | *P6480(04) | 5777 | Pennington | 9.7 | Sheridan Lake Road from Jct. of US 385 to Alberta Road | Grading, Base Course, Curb \& Gutter, AC Surfacing | 2.008 | 2017 | \$8,500,0000 |
| Total $=\$ 8.5$; STP/Match $=\$ 2.45$; Local Funds $=\$ 6.05$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 25.00 | P 000S(00)013 | 043A | Regionwide | 0.0 | Various Locations in the Rapid City Region | County Pavement Marking | 0.000 | 2017 | \$156,000 |
| \$0.156-State funds at 60/40 (State - \$0.094, County - \$0.062) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 33.00 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { P 6491(07) } \\ & \text { P 6446(05) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0437 \\ & 042 \mathrm{~V} \end{aligned}$ | Meade | 10.0 | Elk Vale Road (FAS 6491) from 225 St at the South Co. Line, N 6 mi. to Elk Creek Road and Elk Creek Road (FAS 6446) from Elk Vale Road, W 4mi. To N Haines Ave. | AC Surfacing | 1.017 | 2017 | \$2,200,000 |

Total $=\$ 2.2 ;$ STP/Match $=\$ 1.24$; Local Funds $=\$ 0.96$

|  |  |  |  |  | 2017 |  | 19.7 Miles |  | \$10,856,000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 37.00 | P 0040(00)215 | 04LM | Regionwide | 0.0 | Various Locations in the Rapid City Region | County Pavement Marking | 0.000 | 2018 | \$156,000 |

$\$ 0.156$ - State funds at 60/40 (State - \$0.094, County - \$0.062)

| $\mathbf{0 . 0} \mathbf{M i l e s}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
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| Item | Project Number | PC \# | County | Length | Route | Location of Project | Type of Improvement | Federal Funds | Fiscal Year | Total Cost Costs reflect anticipated inflations |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Local Bridge Replacement Projects |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 34.00 | BRO 8052(61) | 033L | Pennington | 0.0 |  | Structure 3.5 W \& 1.3 N of Caputa over Rapid Creek (Bradsky Road) SN 52-500-347 | Structure and Approach Grading | 0.400 | 2016 | \$500,000 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 2016 |  | 0.0 Miles |  | \$500,000 |
| Special Projects |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 999.00 | EM 1648(06) | 04P6 | Pennington | 0.7 |  | East Anamosa Street Extension from LaCrosse Street to Century Road | PE, ROW, Landscaping, Midblock Ped. Crossing with Signals and Push Buttons, Median Island and ADA Ramps, Reconnection of Century Road to include Grading, C\&G, PCCP, Asphalt Approach, Sidewalk | 0.496 | 2016 | \$605,000 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 2016 |  | 0.7 Miles |  | \$605,000 |
| Transportation Alternative Projects |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2.00 | P TAPU(15) | 05CC | Pennington | 0.5 |  | Rapid City - Along I-190 and SD44/Omaha St, from approximately 850 feet North of the Rapid Creek Bridge along I190, to N. Mount Rushmore Rd. | Shared Use Path Construction | 0.138 | 2016 | \$169,000 |

Rapid City - Along I-190 and SD44 / Omaha St., from approximately 850 feet North of the Rapid Creek Bridge along I190, to N. Mount Rushmore Rd.

| 999.00 | * P TAPU(09) | 04UA | Pennington | 0.9 | Rapid City - On the east side of Cambell St. from the end of the side path south of Rocker Dr., north to the rail banked line south of Centre St., southeast on the rail bed to Kennel Dr., north on the west side of Kennel Dr. to Centre St., east on the north side of Centre St. to a private property connection to the intersection of E . Omaha St./Hwy. 44 and Mickelson Dr. | Shared Use Path Construction | 0.207 | 2016 | \$573,000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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| Item | Project Number | PC \# | County | Length | Route | Location of Project | Type of Improvement | Federal Funds | Fiscal Year | Total Cost Costs reflect anticipated inflations |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 999.00 | P TAPU(05) | $04 \cup 6$ | Meade | 0.8 |  | Black Hawk - On the south side of W. Elm St. from Short St. to Seeaire St., on the east side of Seeaire St. from W. Elm St. to Oak Ct. and a portion along Peaceful Pines Road from Seeaire St. west approximately 783 feet. | Sidewalk Construction | 0.276 | 2016 | \$337,000 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 2016 |  | 2.2 Miles |  | \$1,079,000 |
| Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6.0 | *NH 0044(00)44 NH 0016(00)69 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { 04TG } \\ & \text { 05JH } \end{aligned}$ | Pennington | 1.9 | SD44 <br> SD44E <br> SD44W <br> US 16E <br> US 16W | SD44/US16 (Omaha Street) - Fm 12th Street to LaCrosse St in Rapid City | Traffic Signal Upgrades, ADA Upgrades | 0.000 | 2018 | \$2,000,000 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 2018 |  | 1.9 Miles |  | \$2,000,000 |
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Table 18. 2016-2019 City of Box Elder TIP Listing

| Year | Roadway | Distance (Miles) | Improvement Type | Anticipated Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2016-2019$ | None |  |  |  |
|  |  | None | $\$ 0$ |  |
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Table 19. 2016-2019 City of Rapid City TIP Listing

| Fund/Project Name | CIP \# | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | Fund Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 44th Street Phase 2 - W. Main to W. Chicago | 50719 |  |  | \$80,000 |  | \$770,000 | \$850,000 |
| 38th Street Reconstruction - Clifton to Range | 50716 | \$610,500 | \$354,750 |  |  |  | \$965,250 |
| 11th Street Outfall | 50929 | \$715,000 |  |  |  |  | \$715,000 |
| 12th Street Outfall | 50928 | \$700,000 |  |  |  |  | \$700,000 |
| 12th and Qunicy | 50927 |  | \$95,000 |  | \$1,310,000 |  | \$1,405,000 |
| 12th Street Utilities - Omaha to W Main | 51089 | \$55,000 |  |  |  |  | \$55,000 |
| ADA Compliance Project | 50761 | \$55,000 | \$60,000 | \$60,000 | \$60,000 | \$60,000 | \$295,000 |
| Anamosa St Reconstruction, Midway to Milwaukee | 50559 |  |  | \$500,000 |  |  | \$500,000 |
| Baldwin Street Reconstruction, WentworthWedgewood | 50822.1-1 | \$1,230,000 |  |  |  |  | \$1,230,000 |
| Baldwin Street Reconstruction, Wedgewood to S Berry | 50822.1-2 |  | \$1,485,000 |  |  |  | \$1,485,000 |
| Bridge Inspections | 50630 | \$2,500 | \$1,000 | \$2,500 | \$1,000 | \$2,500 | \$9,500 |
| Bridge Maintenance | 50752 |  | \$160,000 | \$170,000 |  | \$180,000 | \$510,000 |
| Collector \& Arterial Street Maintenance | 50798 | \$260,000 | \$270,000 | \$280,000 | \$290,000 | \$300,000 | \$1,400,000 |
| Contingency | 8910cont | \$405,303 | \$417,307 | \$429,826 | \$442,721 | \$456,003 | \$2,151,160 |
| Dakota Dr Water Main Reconst | 50399 |  | \$250,000 |  |  |  | \$250,000 |
| East Boulevard Water Transmission Main | 50463 |  |  | \$180,000 | \$1,370,000 |  | \$1,550,000 |
| E College Ave Reconst -Milwaukee to Racine | 50918 | \$307,750 |  |  |  |  | \$307,750 |
| E Custer Street Reconstruction - Milwaukee to Racine | 50916 | \$473,000 |  |  |  |  | \$473,000 |
| E Waterloo St Reconstruction - Milwaukee to Lacrosse | 50919 |  |  | \$44,000 | \$484,000 |  | \$528,000 |
| East North St. Reconstruction, Rapid Creek to Herman | 50866 |  |  | \$6,150,000 |  |  | \$6,150,000 |
| Extension of 11th and 12th St Drainage Outfall | 51043 |  |  | \$50,000 |  | \$500,000 | \$550,000 |
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| Fund/Project Name | CIP \# | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | Fund Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fulton Street Sewer Reconstruction - 9th to Tompkins | 50872 | \$399,595 | \$811,200 |  |  |  | \$1,210,795 |
| Geotechnical/Infrastructure QA Program | 50637 | \$25,000 | \$25,000 | \$25,000 | \$25,000 | \$25,000 | \$125,000 |
| Idlewild Box Culvert | 50715 |  |  | \$50,000 |  | \$400,000 | \$450,000 |
| Lindbergh Avenue Reconstruction | 51088 |  |  |  | \$92,000 |  | \$92,000 |
| Meade Channel, Birch St. Crossing Improvements | 50378 |  |  | \$15,000 |  | \$150,000 | \$165,000 |
| Miscellaneous Improvement Projects (MIP) | 50298 | \$170,000 | \$180,000 | \$190,000 | \$200,000 | \$210,000 | \$950,000 |
| Mt. Rushmore Road Reconstr, Flormann St James | 50867 |  | \$150,000 |  |  |  | \$150,000 |
| Mt. Rushmore Road Reconstr, St James Kansas City | 50950 | \$175,000 |  |  |  |  | \$175,000 |
| Out-of-the-Dust, Various Locations | 50297 | \$60,000 | \$60,000 | \$60,000 | \$60,000 | \$60,000 | \$300,000 |
| Railroad Signals \& Track Upgrades |  | \$125,000 |  |  |  |  | \$125,000 |
| Robbinsdale-Ivy, Fairlane, Willow, Nevada, E. Idaho | 50421.3-1 | \$225,000 | \$1,435,000 | \$1,635,000 |  |  | \$3,295,000 |
| Robbinsdale - Maple, Nevada | 50421.3-2 |  | \$650,000 |  |  |  | \$650,000 |
| Robbinsdale - Oakland | 50390.5-2 |  |  | \$195,000 |  | \$2,400,000 | \$2,595,000 |
| Seger Drive Reconst - 143rd Ave to LaCrosse* | 50277.1-4 |  | \$1,975,000 |  |  |  | \$1,975,000 |
| Silverleaf Reconstruction | 50837 |  |  | \$96,000 | \$840,000 |  | \$936,000 |
| Silver St. Interchange Utility Reconstruction | 50940.0 | \$250,000 |  |  |  |  | \$250,000 |
| Skyview Dr Water Main Replacement | 51072 |  |  |  |  | \$120,000 | \$120,000 |
| St Andrew \& St Charles SS \& Water Ext. \& Reconstruction | 50959 | \$314,987 |  |  |  |  | \$314,987 |
| St. Cloud Street Reconstruction | 50796 | \$312,480 |  |  |  |  | \$312,480 |
| St. Cloud Street Reconstruction - 5th to Highland | 51036 |  | \$45,000 | \$550,000 |  |  | \$595,000 |
| St. Patrick Street Reconstruction | 50456 |  | \$80,000 | \$785,000 |  |  | \$865,000 |
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| Fund/Project Name | CIP \# | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | Fund Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Street Rehabilitation - Utility Support Fund | 50844 | \$1,500,000 | \$1,500,000 | \$1,500,000 | \$1,500,000 | \$1,500,000 | \$7,500,000 |
| Street Rehabilitation | 50549 | \$600,000 | \$700,000 | \$800,000 | \$900,000 | \$900,000 | \$3,900,000 |
| S WIldwood Reconstruction - Phase 2 | 51003 |  |  |  | \$80,000 |  | \$80,000 |
| Sunburst Drive Reconstruction | 51004 |  |  | \$60,000 | \$405,000 |  | \$465,000 |
| Traffic Operations Upgrades | 51047 | \$160,000 | \$170,000 | \$180,000 | \$190,000 | \$200,000 | \$900,000 |
| Una Del Drive | 50914 |  |  | \$32,000 |  | \$358,000 | \$390,000 |
| Woodlawn Dr. Sanitary Sewer Replacement | 50623 |  |  | \$25,000 |  |  | \$25,000 |
| W St Cloud Street and Utilities | 50939 | \$346,000 |  |  |  |  | \$346,000 |
| W Chicago St. Utilities Reconst | 50448 |  |  |  | \$50,000 |  | \$50,000 |
| W. Blvd NE Reconstr North to Anamosa | 50879 |  |  | \$60,000 | \$745,000 |  | \$805,000 |
| Project Total |  | \$9,477,115 | \$10,874,257 | \$14,204,326 | \$9,044,721 | \$8,591,503 | \$52,191,922 |
| Inflation 4\% |  | \$379,085 | \$887,339 | \$1,749,973 | \$1,490,570 | \$1,773,286 | \$6,280,253 |
| Budget |  | \$8,106,053 | \$8,346,145 | \$8,596,529 | \$8,854,425 | \$9,120,058 | \$33,903,152 |
| Budget Minus Project Total |  | (\$1,750,146) | $(\$ 3,655,544)$ | $(\$ 7,608,154)$ | (\$1,938,762) | (\$1,510,364) | (\$24,569,024) |
| Budget Totals - Streets, Drainage, MIP |  | \$8,106,053 | \$8,346,145 | \$8,596,529 | \$8,854,425 | \$9,120,058 | \$33,903,152 |
| Budget Totals - Streets \& Drainage . 16 Funds |  | \$1,500,000 | \$3,475,000 | \$1,500,000 | \$1,500,000 | \$1,500,000 | \$9,475,000 |
| SDDOT Reimbursement |  |  |  | \$6,000,000 |  |  | \$6,000,000 |
| Project Totals - Streets, Drainage, MIP |  | \$9,856,199 | \$11,761,597 | \$15,954,299 | \$10,535,291 | \$10,364,789 | \$58,472,176 |
| Budget Totals Minus Project Totals |  | $(\$ 250,146)$ | \$59,548 | \$142,230 | $(\$ 180,866)$ | \$255,269 | (\$9,094,024) |
| Total Cumulative Balance |  | $(\$ 240,722)$ | $(\$ 181,174)$ | $(\$ 38,944)$ | $(\$ 219,810)$ | \$35,458 | \$35,458 |
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Table 20. 2016-2019 City of Rapid City Transit TIP Listing

| Fiscal Year | Funding Category | County | Location | Type | FTA Funds | State Funds | Local Funds | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2016 | FTA (Sec 5307) | Pennington | Rapid City Transit | Operating and Capital Assistance for Fixed Route and Dial-A-Ride service | \$1,204,820.00 | \$28,425.00 | \$802,757.00 | \$2,036,002.00 |
| 2016 | FTA (Sec 5310) | Pennington / Meade | Various agencies in the Rapid City Metropolitan Planning Area | Passenger vehicles for non-profit agencies that provide services to Seniors and Persons with Disabilities | \$256,000.00 | \$0.00 | \$64,000.00 | \$320,000.00 |
| 2016 | FTA (Sec 5339) | Pennington | Rapid City Metro | Capital Assistance | \$133,460.00 | \$0.00 | \$33,365.00 | \$166,825.00 |
| 2017 | FTA (Sec 5307) | Pennington | Rapid City Transit | Operating and Capital Assistance for Fixed Route and Dial-A-Ride service | \$1,240,965.00 | \$28,425.00 | \$826,839.00 | \$2,096,229.00 |
| 2017 | FTA (Sec 5310) | Pennington / <br> Meade | Various agencies in the Rapid City Metropolitan Planning Area | Passenger vehicles for non-profit agencies that provide services to Seniors and Persons with Disabilities | \$128,000.00 | \$0.00 | \$32,000.00 | \$160,000.00 |
| 2017 | FTA (Sec 5339) | Pennington | Rapid City Metro | Capital Assistance | \$139,527.20 | \$0.00 | \$34,881.80 | \$174,409.00 |
| 2018 | FTA (Sec 5307) | Pennington | Rapid City Transit | Operating and Capital Assistance for Fixed Route and Dial-A-Ride service | \$1,321,153.00 | \$28,425.00 | \$871,896.00 | \$2,221,474.00 |
| 2018 | FTA (Sec 5310) | Pennington / Meade | Various agencies in the Rapid City Metropolitan Planning Area | Passenger vehicles for non-profit agencies that provide services to Seniors and Persons with Disabilities | \$128,000.00 | \$0.00 | \$32,000.00 | \$160,000.00 |
| 2018 | FTA (Sec 5339) | Pennington | Rapid City Metro | Capital Assistance | \$145,592.80 | \$0.00 | \$36,398.20 | \$181,991.00 |
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| Fiscal <br> Year | Funding <br> Category | County | Location | Type | FTA Funds | State <br> Funds | Local <br> Funds | Total |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2019 | FTA (Sec 5307) | Pennington | Rapid City Transit | Operating and Capital <br> Assistance for Fixed <br> Route and Dial-A-Ride <br> service | $\$ 1,393,192.00$ | $\$ 28,425.00$ | $\$ 904,668.00$ | $\$ 2,326,285.00$ |
| 2019 | FTA (Sec 5310) | Pennington / <br> Meade | Various agencies in <br> the Rapid City <br> Metropolitan <br> Planning Area | Passenger vehicles for <br> non-profit agencies <br> that provide services to <br> Seniors and Persons <br> with Disabilities | $\$ 128,000.00$ | $\$ 0.00$ | $\$ 32,000.00$ | $\$ 160,000.00$ |
| 2019 | FTA (Sec 5339) | Pennington | Rapid City Metro | Capital Assistance | $\$ 151,659.20$ | $\$ 0.00$ | $\$ 37,914.80$ | $\$ 189,574.00$ |
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Table 21. 2016-2019 Meade County TIP Listing

| Year | Project | Length | Location | Type of Improvement | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Estimated } \\ & \text { Cost } \end{aligned}$ | Federal Bridge Funds | STP Funds | Rd \& Brdg | Total Funding |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2016 | Mnt. Shadows | 0.8 | Mnt. Shadows Rd off of $2^{\text {nd }}$ Street in Piedmont | Chip Seal | \$24,000 |  |  | \$24,000 | \$24,000 |
| 2016 | Norman Ave | 2.5 | Norman Ave. from Peaceful pines North to end of County Asphalt | Chip Seal | \$75,000 |  |  | \$75,000 | \$75,000 |
| 2016 | D AVE PPE | 2.1 | Peaceful Pines East of I-90 to Pennington Co line | Chip Seal | \$63,000 |  |  | \$63,000 | \$63,000 |
| 2016 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { P 6491(06) } \\ & \text { P 6446(04) } \end{aligned}$ | 10 | Elk Vale Rd from 225 St at South Co. line, N 6 mi. to Elk Creek Rd then West on Elk Creek Rd 4 mi. to N. Haines Ave | Grading, structure, Interim Surfacing | \$4,800,000 |  | \$2,056,000 | \$2,744,000 | \$4,800,000 |
| 2016 | BRO 8047(18) | 0.2 | 7.5 E \& 3.0 N of Bear Butte over Bear Butte Creek | Structure and Approach Grading | \$828,000 | \$662,400 |  | \$165,600 | \$828,000 |
| 2016 | New Und Cen | 6.0 | New Underwood Rd from the Belle River North 6 mi. | Asphalt Surfacing | \$750,000 |  |  | \$750,000 | \$750,000 |
| 2016 | New Und So | 7.5 | From Elk Creek Rd South 7.5 mi to the Pennington Co. line | PE reconstruction | \$65,000 |  |  | \$65,000 | \$65,000 |
| 2016 | Ft. Meade Way | 2.78 | From Ft Meade Way and Pleasant Valley Rd 2.78 mi North | New Construction | \$1,600,000 |  |  | \$1,600,000 | \$1,600,000 |
| 2017 | Ft. Meade Way | 2.78 | From Ft Meade Way and Pleasant Valley Rd 2.78 mi North | New Construction | \$1,500,000 |  |  | \$1,500,000 | \$1,500,000 |
| 2017 | New Und So 3.5 miles | 3.5 | From the Pennington Co. line North 3.5 mi to Elk Creek Bridge | Reconstruct 3.5 mi | \$2,135,000 |  |  | \$2,135,000 | \$2,135,000 |
| 2017 | New Und So | 4.0 | From Elk Creek Bridge N 4 mi. to Elk Creek Rd | PE Reconstruction | \$65,000 |  |  | \$65,000 | \$65,000 |
| 2018 | Erick Ran Rd | 3.5 | From .5 mi N of Peaceful Pines Rd 3.5 mi . North | Asphalt Surfacing | \$475,000 |  |  | \$475,000 | \$475,000 |
| 2018 | Haines Ave | 6.0 | From Pennington Co line North 6 miles to Elk Creek Rd | Chip Seal | \$175,000 |  |  | \$175,000 | \$175,000 |
| 2018 | New Und Nor | 8.5 | From Hwy 34 South 8.5 miles | Chip Seal | \$272,000 |  |  | \$272,000 | \$272,000 |
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| Year | Project | Length | Location | Type of Improvement | $\begin{gathered} \text { Estimated } \\ \text { Cost } \end{gathered}$ | Federal Bridge Funds | STP Funds | Rd \& Brdg | Total Funding |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2018 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { P6491(07) } \\ & \text { P6446(05) } \end{aligned}$ | 10 | Elk Vale Rd from $225^{\text {th }}$ St at South Co. line, N 6 mi. to Elk Creek Rd then West on Elk Creek Rd 4 mi. to N Haines Ave. | AC Surfacing | \$2,200,000 |  | \$1,240,000 | \$960,000 | \$2,200,000 |
| 2019 | New Und So | 4.0 | From Elk Creek Bridge N 4 mi.to Elk Creek Rd | Reconstruct 4 mi | \$2,520,000 |  |  | \$2,520,000 | \$2,520,000 |
| Total |  |  |  |  | \$17,547,000 | \$662,400 | \$3,296,000 | \$13,588,600 | \$17,547,000 |

Note: The Elk Vale, Elk Creek project is paid with a SIB loan and the county paying $\$ 300,000 /$ year; the details are still being worked out.
Note: Fort Meade Way will be paid for in two years
felsburg
HOLT \&
ULLEVIG

Table 22. 2016-2019 Pennington County TIP Listing

| Year | Project | PCEMS | Length | Location | Type of Improvement | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Estimated } \\ & \text { Cost } \end{aligned}$ | Unorg Road Reserves | Rd \& Brdg Unobligate d Reserves | Federal Bridge Funds | Federal Priority or Safety Funds | STP <br> Funds | Rd \& Brdg | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & \text { Funding } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2016 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PH } \\ & 0041(148) \end{aligned}$ | 049T |  | Norris Peak Rd (from Hwy 44 to Nemo Rd), and Nemo Rd (from Norris Peak Rd to Pennington/Meade Co. line) | PE, Shoulder Grading, Signing upgrades, WBeam guardrail Upgrades | \$432,000 |  |  |  | \$345,600 |  | \$86,000 | \$431,600 |
| 2016 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { BRF } \\ & 6403(09) \\ & \text { SN } 52-162- \\ & 272 \end{aligned}$ | 02JT | 0.2 | Structure 0.6W \& 0.1S of Rochford over Rapid Creek SN 52-162-272 | 30' Concrete <br> Bridge, 32' <br> Deck (30' <br> clear), $20^{\circ}$ RHF <br> Skew, Concrete <br> Abuments, New <br> Alignment | \$400,000 |  |  | \$320,000 |  |  | \$80,000 | \$400,000 |
| 2016 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { BRO 8052 } \\ & \text { SN } 52-316- \\ & 316 \end{aligned}$ | 03YD | 0.1 | Structure 0.8E \& 1.6S of Johnson Siding over Rapid Creek SN 52-316316 | PE, Structure Replacement | \$400,000 | \$34,000 |  | \$136,000 |  |  | \$230,000 | \$400,000 |
| 2017 | BRO <br> 8052(61) <br> SN 52-500- <br> 347 | 033L | 0.2 | $3.5 \mathrm{~W} \& 1.3 \mathrm{~N}$ of Caputa on Bradsky Rd SN 52-500-347 | PE, Structure \& Approach Grading | \$500,000 |  |  | \$400,000 |  |  | \$100,000 | \$500,000 |
| 2017 | P 6480(4) | 5777 | 9.7 | Sheridan Lake Road from Jct US 385 to Alberta Drive | PE, Grading, Base Course, <br> C\&G, AC <br> Surfacing <br> (Total = \$8.5; <br> STP/State = <br> \$3.0; Local <br> Funds $=\$ 5.5$ ) | \$8,500,000 |  | \$6,419,236 |  |  | \$2,080,764 |  | \$8,500,000 |
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| Year | Project | PCEMS | Length | Location | Type of Improvement | Estimated Cost | Unorg Road Reserves | Rd \& Brdg Unobligate d Reserves | Federal <br> Bridge <br> Funds | Federal Priority or Safety Funds | STP <br> Funds | Rd \& Brdg | Total Funding |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2017 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { EM-BRF } \\ & 6403(6) \end{aligned}$ | 00CL | 9.5 | South Rochford Road from Rochford south to end of Deerfield Road asphalt | PE, Grading, Base Course, \& Asphalt Concrete, (Total $=\$ 10.4$; EM 85\% = 7.65 Local Funds = \$2.75 | \$10,000,000 |  | \$2,350,000 |  | \$7,650,000 |  |  | \$10,000,000 |
| 2017 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { BRO } \\ & 8052(27) \\ & \text { SN 52-312- } \\ & 433 \end{aligned}$ | H099 | 0.1 | 0.8 W of Keystone over Battle Creek SN 52-312-433 | PE, Structure Rehabilitation | \$188,000 |  |  | \$150,400 |  |  | \$37,600 | \$188,000 |
| 2018 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PH } \\ & 6730(01) \end{aligned}$ | 01DJ | 2.7 | Box Elder- <br> Pennington Co Rd 14-16, from Exit 63 East to end of the divided section East of Ellsworth Rd | Reconstructconvert divided section to a 3lane section; PE | \$3,366,000 |  | \$337,000 |  | \$3,029,000 |  |  | \$3,366,000 |
| 2019 | P 6404 | 02DW | 6.1 | Nemo Road from the North County Line, E, SE 6.1 mi . (to between Palmer Road and Schmitz Trail) | Asphalt <br> Concrete <br> Surfacing | \$1,143,000 |  | \$400,000 |  |  | \$743,000 |  | \$1,143,000 |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  | \$24,929,000 | \$34,000 | \$9,506,236 | \$1,006,400 | \$11,024,600 | \$2,823,764 | \$533,600 | \$24,928,600 |

## B. Box Elder Fiscally Constrained Projects

The Box Elder Fiscally Constrained Projects are prioritized during the identified staging period as itemized in Table 23.

Table 23. Box Elder Fiscally Constrained Projects

| \# | [D | Mode | Category | Facility/Name | Description | Staging | Total Cost (Year of Expenditure) | Cost Sharing | Jurisdiction Cost (Year of Expenditure) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | R-55 | Roadway | New Roadway | Freude Lane | Construct new collector from Freude Lane west to Creekside Dr to connect existing neighborhoods and to provide a second point of access. | $\begin{aligned} & 2026- \\ & 2030 \end{aligned}$ | \$1,337,100 |  | \$1,337,100 |
| 2 | R-66 | Roadway | New Roadway | Prairie Rd | Construct new local road to allow access location on Liberty Blvd | $\begin{aligned} & 2031- \\ & 2035 \end{aligned}$ | \$399,200 |  | \$399,200 |
| 3 | P-5 | Pedestrian | Sidewalk | Tower Rd | Along west side from 224th St to 225th St | $\begin{aligned} & 2031- \\ & 2035 \end{aligned}$ | \$103,400 | Meade County | \$69,300 |
| 4 | B-60 | Bicycle | Off-Street Path | Liberty Blvd | Along north side from Tower Rd to Ellsworth Rd | $\begin{aligned} & 2031- \\ & 2035 \end{aligned}$ | \$103,400 |  | \$103,400 |
| 5 | B-83 | Bicycle | Off-Street Path | Tower Rd | Along east side from 224th St to 225th St | $\begin{aligned} & 2031- \\ & 2035 \end{aligned}$ | \$201,700 | Meade County | \$68,600 |
| 6 | R-26 | Roadway | Intersection / Interchange | Liberty Blvd \& Ellsworth Rd | Replace existing all-way stop traffic control with signalized control when warranted | $\begin{aligned} & 2036- \\ & 2040 \end{aligned}$ | \$571,800 |  | \$571,800 |

## C. Rapid City Fiscally Constrained Projects

The Rapid City Fiscally Constrained Projects are prioritized during the identified staging period as itemized in Table 24.

Table 24. Rapid City Fiscally Constrained Projects

| \# | ID | Mode | Category | Facilityl Name | Description | Staging | Total Cost (Year of Expenditure) | Cost Sharing | Jurisdiction Cost (Year of Expenditure) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | R-14a | Roadway | Corridor Improvements | Haines Ave | Implement raised median (Knollwood Dr to Lindbergh Ave) | $\begin{aligned} & 2016- \\ & 2020 \end{aligned}$ | \$59,300 |  | \$59,300 |
| 2 | R-34a | Roadway | Intersection / Interchange | $\begin{aligned} & \text { St Joseph St } \\ & \text { \& 1st St } \end{aligned}$ | Install lane use markings | $\begin{aligned} & 2016- \\ & 2020 \end{aligned}$ | \$8,100 |  | \$8,100 |
| 3 | R-34b | Roadway | Intersection / Interchange | $\begin{aligned} & \text { St Joseph St } \\ & \text { \& 2nd St } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Install lane use markings | $\begin{aligned} & 2016- \\ & 2020 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | \$8,100 |  | \$8,100 |
| 4 | R-34c | Roadway | Intersection / Interchange | $\begin{aligned} & \text { St Joseph St } \\ & \text { \& 3rd St } \end{aligned}$ | Install lane use markings | $\begin{aligned} & 2016- \\ & 2020 \end{aligned}$ | \$8,100 |  | \$8,100 |

September 2015

| \# | ID | Mode | Category | Facilityl Name | Description | Staging | Total Cost (Year of Expenditure) | Cost Sharing | Jurisdiction Cost (Year of Expenditure) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | R-34d | Roadway | Intersection / Interchange | St Joseph St \& 4th St | Removal of onstreet parking between 4th \& 5th Streets, install traffic signal if warranted, and install lane use markings | $\begin{aligned} & 2016- \\ & 2020 \end{aligned}$ | \$449,100 |  | \$449,100 |
| 6 | B-155 | Bicycle | Bike Lanes | Jackson Blvd | Mountain View Rd to W Main St | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 2016- \\ & 2020 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | \$99,900 |  | \$99,900 |
| 7 | R-10 | Roadway | Corridor Improvements | Reservoir Rd | from Twilight Dr to Meadow Ridge Dr | $\begin{aligned} & 2021- \\ & 2025 \end{aligned}$ | \$2,405,600 | Pennington County | \$216,400 |
| 8 | R-75 | Roadway | Capacity Improvements | Canyon Lake Dr | Widen to 4 lane minor arterial from Sheridan Lake Rd to Soo San Dr | $\begin{aligned} & 2021- \\ & 2025 \end{aligned}$ | \$2,250,700 |  | \$2,250,700 |
|  | B-5 | Bicycle | Bike Lanes | Canyon Lake Dr | from Sheridan Lake Rd to Soo San Dr | $\begin{aligned} & 2021- \\ & 2025 \end{aligned}$ | \$87,400 |  | \$87,400 |
| 9 | R-81 | Roadway | Capacity Improvements | W Main St | Widen to 6 lane principal arterial from SD 44 (Jackson Blvd) to Mountain View Rd | $\begin{aligned} & 2021- \\ & 2025 \end{aligned}$ | \$1,074,100 |  | \$1,074,100 |
| 10 | R-82 | Roadway | Capacity Improvements | Mall Dr | Widen to 4 lanes from Haines Ave to Maple Ave | $\begin{aligned} & 2021- \\ & 2025 \end{aligned}$ | \$2,193,700 |  | \$2,193,700 |
| 11 | R-76 | Roadway | Capacity Improvements | Catron Blvd | Widen to 3 lane principal arterial from US 16 to Nugget Gulch Rd | $\begin{aligned} & 2026- \\ & 2030 \end{aligned}$ | \$4,845,900 |  | \$4,845,900 |
| 12 | R-80 | Roadway | Capacity Improvements | Haines Ave | Widen to 4 lane principal arterial from Country Rd to n/o Sitting Bull St where 4-lane cross section ends | $\begin{aligned} & 2031- \\ & 2035 \end{aligned}$ | \$4,851,800 |  | \$4,851,800 |
|  | P-14 | Pedestrian | Sidewalk | Haines Ave | from City Limits to Mall Dr (east side) | $\begin{aligned} & 2031- \\ & 2035 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | \$1,555,100 |  | \$1,555,100 |
| 13 | R-86 | Roadway | Capacity Improvements | Sheridan Lake Rd | Widen to 4 lane principal arterial from Corral Dr to Clarkson Rd | $\begin{aligned} & 2031- \\ & 2035 \end{aligned}$ | \$16,863,100 | Pennington County | \$4,552,700 |
| 14 | R-54 | Roadway | New Roadway | Degeest Dr | Extend new collector from the end of Degeest $\operatorname{Dr}$ north across railroad tracks and connect to l-90 Service Road | $\begin{aligned} & 2036- \\ & 2040 \end{aligned}$ | \$1,387,900 | Pennington County | \$694,000 |
| 15 | R-74 | Roadway | Capacity Improvements | Cambell St | Widen to 6 lanes from Minnesota St to Fairmont Blvd | $\begin{aligned} & 2036- \\ & 2040 \end{aligned}$ | \$3,624,200 |  | \$3,624,200 |
|  | B-95 | Bicycle | Shared Lanes | Cambell St <br> Service <br> Road | from Fairmont Blvd to Richland Dr (street just north of Minnesota St) | $\begin{aligned} & 2036- \\ & 2040 \end{aligned}$ | \$4,500 |  | \$4,500 |


| \# | ID | Mode | Category | Facilityl Name | Description | Staging | Total Cost (Year of Expenditure) | Cost <br> Sharing | Jurisdiction Cost (Year of Expenditure) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16 | R-89 | Roadway | Capacity Improvements | St Patrick St | Widen to 4 lanes from US 16 (Mt Rushmore Rd) to 5th St | $\begin{aligned} & 2036- \\ & 2040 \end{aligned}$ | \$1,752,200 |  | \$1,752,200 |
| 17 | P-1 | Pedestrian | Sidewalk | 5th St | from South St to Clark St (west side) | $\begin{aligned} & 2036- \\ & 2040 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | \$64,600 |  | \$64,600 |
| 18 | P-6 | Pedestrian | Sidewalk | Cambell St | from 280 ' n/o E St Charles St to E St Patrick St (east side) | $\begin{aligned} & 2036- \\ & 2040 \end{aligned}$ | \$232,100 |  | \$232,100 |
| 19 | P-29 | Pedestrian | Sidewalk | Apolda St | 6th St to Mt Rushmore Rd | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 2036- \\ & 2040 \end{aligned}$ | \$335,100 |  | \$335,100 |
| 20 | B-42 | Bicycle | Off-Street Path | Concourse Dr | from US 16B (Elk Vale Rd) to Twilight Dr | $\begin{aligned} & 2036- \\ & 2040 \end{aligned}$ | \$178,300 | Pennington County | \$151,500 |
| 21 | B-87 | Bicycle | Shared Lanes | 5th St | from Columbus St to SD 44 (Omaha St) | $\begin{aligned} & 2036- \\ & 2040 \end{aligned}$ | \$27,700 |  | \$27,700 |
| 22 | B-94 | Bicycle | Shared Lanes | Bunker Dr | from Sagewood St to Disk Dr / I-90 | $\begin{aligned} & 2036- \\ & 2040 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | \$51,800 | Pennington County | \$29,500 |
| 23 | B-102 | Bicycle | Shared Lanes | Degeest Dr | from Homestead St to Twilight Dr | $\begin{aligned} & 2036- \\ & 2040 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | \$39,200 | Pennington County | \$4,800 |
| 24 | B-130 | Bicycle | Shared Lanes | S Canyon Rd | from Berry Blvd to N 44th St | $\begin{aligned} & 2036- \\ & 2040 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | \$24,100 | Pennington County | \$17,800 |
| 25 | B-131 | Bicycle | Shared Lanes | Sagewood St / Northridge Dr | from Bunker Dr to Haines Ave | $\begin{aligned} & 2036- \\ & 2040 \end{aligned}$ | \$6,600 |  | \$6,600 |
| 26 | B-144 | Bicycle | Signed Shoulder Bikeway | Country Rd | from Haines Ave to N Elk Vale Rd | $\begin{aligned} & 2036- \\ & 2040 \end{aligned}$ | \$65,900 | Pennington County | \$16,400 |

## D. Rapid Transit Fiscally Constrained Projects

The Rapid Transit Fiscally Constrained Projects are prioritized during the identified staging period as itemized in Table 25. Another recommendation of this plan is to complete a new Rapid City Area Transit Plan for the Metropolitan Planning Area. This study should focus on where to provide regional transit service and how funding for these needs should be acquired. See the "Transit" section of Table 15 for a more extensive description of the issues and ideas to be addressed in the transit plan.

## Table 25. Rapid Transit Fiscally Constrained Projects

| \# | ID | Name | Category | Location / Description | Staging | Cost (Year of Expenditure) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | T-19 | Bus Purchase | Capital Improvement | Purchase four buses each year for use by eligible senior and disabled service agencies | 2015-2020 | \$131,000 |
| 2 | T-20 | Bus Barn Improvements | Capital Improvement | Maintain and improve the Rapid Transit Bus Barn Facility | 2015-2020 | \$26,000 |
| 3 | T-21 | Rapid Transit System Operating Funds | Continued Service | Rapid Transit System operating funds for fixed route and dial-aride service | 2015-2020 | \$1,745,000 |
| 4 | T-22 | Rapid Transit System Capital Assistance | Continued Service | Rapid Transit System capital assistance for fixed route and dial-a-ride service | 2015-2020 | \$544,000 |
| 5 | T-19 | Bus Purchase | Capital Improvement | Purchase four buses each year for use by eligible senior and disabled service agencies | 2021-2025 | \$726,000 |
| 6 | T-20 | Bus Barn Improvements | Capital Improvement | Maintain and improve the Rapid Transit Bus Barn Facility | 2021-2025 | \$145,000 |
| 7 | T-21 | Rapid Transit System Operating Funds | Continued Service | Rapid Transit System operating funds for fixed route and dial-aride service | 2021-2025 | \$9,643,000 |
| 8 | T-22 | Rapid Transit System Capital Assistance | Continued Service | Rapid Transit System capital assistance for fixed route and dial-a-ride service | 2021-2025 | \$3,009,000 |
| 9 | T-19 | Bus Purchase | Capital Improvement | Purchase four buses each year for use by eligible senior and disabled service agencies | 2026-2030 | \$803,000 |
| 10 | T-20 | Bus Barn Improvements | Capital Improvement | Maintain and improve the Rapid Transit Bus Barn Facility | 2026-2030 | \$161,000 |
| 11 | T-21 | Rapid Transit System Operating Funds | Continued Service | Rapid Transit System operating funds for fixed route and dial-aride service | 2026-2030 | \$10,657,000 |
| 12 | T-22 | Rapid Transit System Capital Assistance | Continued Service | Rapid Transit System capital assistance for fixed route and dial-a-ride service | 2026-2030 | \$3,326,000 |
| 13 | T-19 | Bus Purchase | Capital Improvement | Purchase four buses each year for use by eligible senior and disabled service agencies | 2031-2035 | \$887,000 |
| 14 | T-20 | Bus Barn Improvements | Capital Improvement | Maintain and improve the Rapid Transit Bus Barn Facility | 2031-2035 | \$177,000 |
| 15 | T-21 | Rapid Transit System Operating Funds | Continued Service | Rapid Transit System operating funds for fixed route and dial-aride service | 2031-2035 | \$11,779,000 |
| 16 | T-22 | Rapid Transit System Capital Assistance | Continued Service | Rapid Transit System capital assistance for fixed route and dial-a-ride service | 2031-2035 | \$3,675,000 |
| 17 | T-19 | Bus Purchase | Capital Improvement | Purchase four buses each year for use by eligible senior and disabled service agencies | 2036-2040 | \$980,000 |
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| \# | ID | Name | Category | Location / Description | Staging | Cost (Year of <br> Expenditure) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| 18 | T-20 | Bus Barn <br> Improvements | Capital <br> Improvement | Maintain and improve the Rapid <br> Transit Bus Barn Facility | $2036-2040$ | $\$ 196,000$ |
| 19 | T-21 | Rapid Transit <br> System <br> Operating Funds | Continued <br> Service | Rapid Transit System operating <br> funds for fixed route and dial-a- <br> ride service | $2036-2040$ | $\$ 13,018,000$ |
| 20 | T-22 | Rapid Transit <br> System Capital <br> Assistance | Continued <br> Service | Rapid Transit System capital <br> assistance for fixed route and <br> dial-a-ride service | $2036-2040$ | $\$ 4,062,000$ |

## E. Meade County Fiscally Constrained Projects

The Meade County Fiscally Constrained Projects are prioritized during the identified staging period as itemized in Table 26.

Table 26. Meade County Fiscally Constrained Projects

| \# | ID | Mode | Category | Facility/Name | Description | Staging | Total Cost (Year of Expenditure) | Cost Sharing | Jurisdiction Cost (Year of Expenditure) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | R-3 | Roadway | Corridor Improvements | Elk Creek Rd | Realignment e/o I-90 | 2021-2025 | \$4,680,200 |  | \$4,680,200 |
| 2 | R-5 | Roadway | Corridor Improvements | High Meadows Rd Improvements | To existing roadway, RAP surface | 2026-2030 | \$371,400 |  | \$371,400 |
| 3 | R-59 | Roadway | New Roadway | Mill Rd Extension | Construct new 2 lane minor arterial from Haines Ave to Deadwood Ave | 2031-2035 | \$7,831,300 |  | \$7,831,300 |
| 4 | B-83 | Bicycle | Off-Street Path | Tower Rd | Along east side from 224th St to 225th St | 2031-2035 | \$201,700 | Box Elder | \$133,100 |
| 5 | P-5 | Pedestrian | Sidewalk | Tower Rd | Along west side from 224th St to 225th St | 2031-2035 | \$103,400 | Box Elder | \$34,100 |
| 6 | R-2 | Roadway | Corridor Improvements | 150th Ave | Asphalt paving as minor arterial from the county line north | 2036-2040 | \$2,345,500 |  | \$2,345,500 |
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## F. Pennington County Fiscally Constrained Projects

The Pennington County Fiscally Constrained Projects are prioritized during the identified staging period as itemized in Table 27.

Table 27. Pennington County Fiscally Constrained Projects

| \# | ID | Mode | Category | FacilitylName | Description | Staging | Total Cost (Year of Expenditure) | Cost Sharing | Jurisdiction Cost (Year of Expenditure) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | R-10 | Roadway | Corridor Improvements | Reservoir Rd | from Twilight Dr to Meadow Ridge Dr | 2021-2025 | \$2,405,600 | Rapid City | \$2,189,200 |
| 2 | R-16 | Roadway | Intersection / Interchange | 154th Ave \& 233rd St | 154th Ave \& 233rd St | 2021-2025 | \$344,000 |  | \$344,000 |
| 3 | R-86 | Roadway | Capacity Improvements | Sheridan Lake Rd | Widen to 4 lane principal arterial from Corral Dr to Clarkson Rd | 2031-2035 | \$16,863,100 | Rapid City | \$12,310,400 |
|  | B-152 | Bicycle | Signed Shoulder Bikeway | Sheridan Lake Rd | from Wildwood Dr to Muirfield Dr | 2031-2035 | \$16,700 |  | \$16,700 |
| 4 | R-9 | Roadway | Corridor Improvements | Plateau Ln | from Twilight Dr to Williams St | 2036-2040 | \$3,246,100 |  | \$3,246,100 |
| 5 | R-17 | Roadway | Intersection / Interchange | Boulder Hill Rd \& Silver Mountain Rd | Boulder Hill Rd \& Silver Mountain Rd | 2036-2040 | \$289,000 |  | \$289,000 |
| 6 | R-18 | Roadway | Intersection / Interchange | Concourse Dr \& Twilight Dr | Concourse Dr \& Twilight Dr | 2036-2040 | \$15,400 |  | \$15,400 |
| 7 | R-27 | Roadway | Intersection / Interchange | South <br> Rockerville Rd \& Neck Yoke Rd | South Rockerville Rd \& Neck Yoke Rd | 2036-2040 | \$61,200 |  | \$61,200 |
| 8 | R-32 | Roadway | Intersection / Interchange | Sheridan Lake Rd \& Dunsmore Rd | Dunsmore Rd \& Sheridan Lake Rd | 2036-2040 | \$381,200 |  | \$381,200 |
| 9 | R-54 | Roadway | New <br> Roadway | Degeest Dr | Extend new collector from the end of Degeest Dr north across railroad tracks and connect to l-90 Service Road | 2036-2040 | \$1,387,900 | Rapid City | \$694,000 |
| 10 | B-42 | Bicycle | Off-Street Path | Concourse Dr | from US 16B (Elk <br> Vale Rd) to <br> Twilight Dr | 2036-2040 | \$178,300 | Rapid City | \$26,700 |
| 11 | B-44 | Bicycle | Off-Street Path | $\begin{aligned} & \text { County Hwy } \\ & 1416 \end{aligned}$ | from Westgate Rd to Ellsworth Rd | 2036-2040 | \$219,800 | Box Elder | \$55,300 |
| 12 | B-94 | Bicycle | Shared Lanes | Bunker Dr | from Sagewood St to Disk Dr / I-90 | 2036-2040 | \$51,800 | Rapid City | \$22,300 |
| 13 | B-100 | Bicycle | Shared Lanes | Covington St | from Twilight Dr to SD 44 | 2036-2040 | \$53,600 |  | \$53,600 |



| \# | ID | Mode | Category | Facility/Name | Description | Staging | Total Cost (Year of Expenditure) | Cost <br> Sharing | Jurisdiction <br> Cost (Year <br> of <br> Expenditure) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14 | B-102 | Bicycle | Shared Lanes | Degeest Dr | from Homestead St to Twilight Dr | 2036-2040 | \$39,200 | Rapid City | \$34,400 |
| 15 | B-130 | Bicycle | Shared Lanes | S Canyon Rd | from Berry Blvd to N 44th St | 2036-2040 | \$24,100 | Rapid City | \$6,300 |
| 16 | B-144 | Bicycle | Signed Shoulder Bikeway | Country Rd | from Haines Ave to N Elk Vale Rd | 2036-2040 | \$65,900 | Rapid City | \$49,500 |

G. South Dakota Department of Transportation Fiscally Constrained Projects

The SDDOT Fiscally Constrained Projects are prioritized during the identified staging period as itemized in Table 28.

Table 28. SDDOT Fiscally Constrained Projects

| $\#$ | ID | Mode | Category | Facility/Name |  | Description | Staging <br> (Year of <br> Expenditure) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | R-19 | Roadway | Intersection / <br> Interchange | I-90 Exit 46 (Elk <br> Creek Rd) | Interchange Reconstruction | $2016-2020$ | $\$ 9,686,000$ |
| 2 | R-22 | Roadway | Intersection / <br> Interchange | I-90 Exit 59 (N <br> Lacrosse St) | Interchange Improvement | $2016-2020$ | $\$ 18,409,000$ |
| 3 |  <br> R-84 | Roadway | Urban <br> Reconstruction | SD 231 <br> (Deadwood Ave) <br> and SD 44 <br> (Omaha St) | Widen to 6 lane principal arterial along SD <br> 231 (Deadwood Ave) from Sheffer St to <br> Mountain View Rd and along SD 44 <br> (Omaha St) from Mountain View Rd to <br> Near 12 ${ }^{\text {th St }}$ | $2021-2025$ | $\$ 17,294,000$ |
| 4 | R-35 | Roadway | Intersection / <br> Interchange | I-90 Exit 48 <br> (Stage Stop Rd) | Interchange improvements | 2026-2030 | $\$ 18,879,000$ |
| 5 | R-21 | Roadway | Intersection / <br> Interchange | I-90 Exit 55 (SD <br> 445 (Deadwood <br> Ave) | Interchange Improvements | $2026-2030$ | $\$ 10,069,000$ |
| 6 | R-36 | Roadway | Intersection <br> Improvements | US 16 \& US 16B <br> (Catron Blvd) <br> Intersection | Intersection Improvements | $2031-2035$ | $\$ 34,774,000$ |

## H. Rapid City Regional Airport Fiscally Constrained Projects

The Final Airport Master Plan Update is expected to be completed in fall 2015 with a technical report, Capital Improvement Plan, and Airport Layout Plan. The recommendations from the Master Plan Update are incorporated into this plan by reference.

Table 29 contains the Rapid City Regional Airport Fiscally Constrained Projects.
Table 29. Rapid City Regional Airport Fiscally Constrained Projects

| Project | Cost (Year of <br> Expenditure) | Year |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Commercial Service and North GA Apron Rehab Design | $\$ 99,990$ | 2015 |
| PAPI Replacement (Both Runways) | $\$ 359,500$ | 2015 |
| Apron Expansion (Phase 1) Terminal Demolition | $\$ 535,590$ | 2015 |
| Apron Expansion (Phase 2) Midfield Apron Paving | $\$ 1,605,450$ | 2015 |
| SRE - 2 Plow Truck - 1 High Speed Plow | $\$ 677,300$ | 2015 |
| Apron Rehabilitation (Old Terminal, GA North, GA WestJet, Commercial <br> Service) | $\$ 5,540,000$ | 2016 |
| Public Parking Entry/Exit Shelters | $\$ 138,000$ | 2016 |
| Rental Car Entrance Enhancement | $\$ 150,000$ | 2016 |
| Acquire 8 Unit T-Hangar [North] | $\$ 150,000$ | 2016 |
| Construct 10 Unit T-Hangar (1 Bldgs) [North] | $\$ 737,100$ | 2016 |
| Construct Deicing Apron (1 aircraft position) | $\$ 1,480,700$ | 2017 |
| Construct Rental Car Storage Lot (Phase I) | $\$ 570,000$ | 2017 |
| USFS Phase 1 (Dirtwork and Main loop for large aircraft) | $\$ 3,532,500$ | 2017 |
| Construct Cargo Apron | $\$ 506,000$ | 2017 |
| Construct Cargo Building | $\$ 804,000$ | 2017 |
| Rehabilitate Hangar Taxilanes | $\$ 150,000$ | 2017 |
| SRE - Replace Sweeper (Unit \#20) | $\$ 459,300$ | 2017 |
| SRE - Replace Plow (Unit \#41) | $\$ 250,000$ | 2017 |
| Reconstruct Entry Road Shoulders | $\$ 900,000$ | 2017 |
| New Baggage Makeup \& In-Line Baggage Screening | $\$ 5,166,900$ | 2018 |
| Taxiway A Rehabilitation | $\$ 600,000$ | 2018 |
| Construct Small Box Hangars (4 units in 1 Bldg) [North] | $\$ 398,600$ | 2018 |
| Construct 10 Unit T-Hangar (1 Bldgs) [Middle] | $\$ 737,100$ | 2018 |
| Construct New General Aviation Access Road | $\$ 1,191,400$ | 2018 |
| Construct Cargo Hangar | $\$ 515,000$ | 2019 |
| Construct Conventional Hangars (2 Bldgs) [North \& Main] | $\$ 1,830,000$ | 2019 |
| Rehabilitate Hangar Taxilanes | $\$ 150,000$ | 2019 |
| SRE Equipment Replacement | $\$ 600,000$ | 2019 |
| Realign Long View Road | $\$ 37,000$ | 2019 |
|  |  |  |


| Project | Cost (Year of Expenditure) | Year |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Precision Instrument Approach for Runway 14 | \$2,960,000 | 2020 |
| Construct Conventional Hangars (1 Bldg) [South] | \$515,000 | 2020 |
| Construct Small Box Hangars (5 units in 3 Bldgs) [North] | \$623,200 | 2020 |
| Runway 5-23 Rehabilitation | \$150,000 | 2021 |
| Road Realignment for Rental Car Access | \$194,000 | 2021 |
| Construct Additional Public Parking | \$367,000 | 2021 |
| Construct Sanitary Sewer Line to RC Lift Station | \$800,000 | 2021 |
| Update Airport Master Plan | \$1,000,000 | 2021 |
| Expand Commercial Service Apron (square off corners) | \$708,200 | 2022 |
| Construct Rental Car Storage Lot (Phase II) | \$570,000 | 2022 |
| SDARNG Readiness Center | \$20,000,000 | 2022 |
| Rehabilitate Hangar Taxilanes | \$150,000 | 2022 |
| SRE Equipment Replacement | \$600,000 | 2022 |
| Expand North Apron Area | \$1,017,000 | 2023 |
| Runway 14-32 Rehabilitation, Runway 32 Blast Pad \& 25' Paved Shoulders | \$7,560,000 | 2023 |
| Replace ATCT | \$3,513,000 | 2023 |
| Construct South Large Hangar Apron Area | \$872,300 | 2024 |
| Construct 10-Unit T-hangar (1 Bldg) [Middle] | \$701,300 | 2024 |
| Replace SRE/Maintenance Facility | \$9,500,000 | 2024 |
| Wildlife Hazard Assessment | \$150,000 | 2024 |
| Construct USFS Phase 2 (apron and roads in north SEAT area) | \$178,000 | 2025 |
| Construct Conventional Hangars (3 Bldgs) [Main \& South] | \$1,835,000 | 2025 |
| Non-Aeronautical Office Site Prep | \$165,000 | 2025 |
| SRE Equipment Replacement | \$600,000 | 2025 |
| Non-Aeronautical Office | \$1,500,000 | 2026 |
| ARFF Equipment Replacement | \$900,000 | 2026 |
| Renovate Office for CBP Facility | \$339,000 | 2027 |
| Taxiway A Rehabilitation | \$600,000 | 2028 |
| Construct 8-Unit Executive T-Hangar (1 Bldg) [Middle] | \$1,258,000 | 2028 |
| SRE Equipment Replacement | \$600,000 | 2028 |
| Runway 5-23 Rehabilitation | \$150,000 | 2029 |
| USFS Phase 3 (3rd loading position) | \$1,000,000 | 2029 |
| Construct 10-Unit T-Hangar (1 Bldg) [Middle] | \$701,300 | 2029 |
| Expand Deicing Apron (2 aircraft positions) | \$2,000,000 | 2030 |
| SRE Equipment Replacement | \$600,000 | 2030 |
| Construct Conventional Hangars (3 Bldgs) [Main \& South] | \$2,580,000 | 2031 |
| ARFF Equipment Replacement | \$900,000 | 2032 |


| Project | Cost (Year of <br> Expenditure) | Year |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Runway 14-32 Rehabilitation | $\$ 1,500,000$ | 2033 |
| Construct East Parallel Taxiway | $\$ 21,435,500$ | Ultimate |
| Construct East Apron Area | $\$ 3,023,300$ | Ultimate |
| Construct 3-Unit T-Hangars (3 Bldgs) [Middle] | $\$ 738,600$ | Ultimate |
| Construct Exec Box Hangars (10 units in 3 Bldgs) [Middle] | $\$ 1,996,000$ | Ultimate |
| Construct Conventional Hangars (5 Bldgs) [East] | $\$ 3,156,000$ | Ultimate |
| Construct 10-Unit T-Hangars (3 Bldgs) [East] | $\$ 2,032,200$ | Ultimate |
| Construct Small Box Hangars (8 Bldgs) [East] | $\$ 1,288,000$ | Ultimate |
| Construct East Side Roads \& Parking | $\$ 862,000$ | Ultimate |
| Construct East Side Taxiway Connectors | $\$ 129,000$ | Ultimate |
| Construct Small Hangar/T-Hangar Taxilanes/Taxiways/Apron | $\$ 750,200$ | Ultimate |
| Construct East Side Utilities (Water, Sewer Connection, Electricity, Natural <br> Gas) | $\$ 1,807,700$ | Ultimate |

## IX. CONCLUSION

RapidTRIP 2040 provides a map for the development of the regional, multimodal transportation network over the next 25 years. An important aspect of this plan is the assurance that the resulting plan provides a fiscally constrained project listing. Table 30 provides a review of the capital improvement revenues and expenses by entity to demonstrate the fiscal constraint of the plan. As can be seen, through a combination of TIP and Plan expenditures, investment within the region maintains fiscal constraint during all planning periods.
Table 30. Demonstration of Fiscal Constraint

|  | 2016-2020 | 2021-2025 | 2026-2030 | 2031-2035 | 2036-2040 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Box Elder |  |  |  |  |  |
| Revenue | \$3,205,000 | \$3,205,000 | \$3,205,000 | \$3,205,000 | \$3,205,000 |
| Capital Expenses | \$0 | \$0 | \$1,337,100 | \$640,500 | \$571,800 |
| Maintenance and Preservation Expenses | \$540,000 | \$540,000 | \$540,000 | \$540,000 | \$540,000 |
| Operations and Maintenance Expenses | \$2,125,000 | \$2,125,000 | \$2,125,000 | \$2,125,000 | \$2,125,000 |
| Balance | \$540,000 | \$1,080,000 | \$282,900 | \$182,400 | \$150,600 |
| Rapid City |  |  |  |  |  |
| Revenue | \$49,070,000 | \$49,070,000 | \$49,070,000 | \$49,070,000 | \$49,070,000 |
| TIP Expenses | \$5,568,000 | - | - | - | - |
| Capital Expenses | \$632,600 | \$5,822,300 | \$4,845,900 | \$10,959,600 | \$6,961,000 |
| Maintenance and Preservation Expenses | \$24,070,000 | \$24,070,000 | \$24,070,000 | \$24,070,000 | \$24,070,000 |
| Operations and Maintenance Expenses | \$18,040,000 | \$18,040,000 | \$18,040,000 | \$18,040,000 | \$18,040,000 |
| Balance | \$759,400 | \$1,897,100 | \$4,011,200 | \$11,600 | \$10,600 |
| Rapid City Transit |  |  |  |  |  |
| Revenue | \$12,230,000 | \$13,523,000 | \$14,947,000 | \$16,518,000 | \$18,256,000 |
| TIP Expenses | \$9,784,000 | - | - | - | - |
| Operating Expenses | \$1,745,000 | \$9,643,000 | \$10,657,000 | \$11,779,000 | \$13,018,000 |
| Capital Expenses | \$701,000 | \$3,880,000 | \$4,290,000 | \$4,739,000 | \$5,238,000 |
| Balance | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |


|  | 2016-2020 | 2021-2025 | 2026-2030 | 2031-2035 | 2036-2040 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Meade County |  |  |  |  |  |
| Revenue | \$33,190,000 | \$33,190,000 | \$33,190,000 | \$33,190,000 | \$33,190,000 |
| TIP Expenses | \$3,276,000 | - | - | - | - |
| Capital Expenses | \$0 | \$4,680,200 | \$371,400 | \$7,998,500 | \$2,345,500 |
| Maintenance and Preservation Expenses | \$4,095,000 | \$4,095,000 | \$4,095,000 | \$4,095,000 | \$4,095,000 |
| Operations and Maintenance Expenses | \$25,000,000 | \$25,000,000 | \$25,000,000 | \$25,000,000 | \$25,000,000 |
| Balance | \$819,000 | \$233,800 | \$3,957,400 | \$53,900 | \$1,803,400 |
| Pennington County |  |  |  |  |  |
| Revenue | \$53,150,000 | \$53,150,000 | \$53,150,000 | \$53,150,000 | \$53,150,000 |
| TIP Expenses | \$3,912,000 | - | - | - | - |
| Capital Expenses | \$0 | \$2,533,200 | \$0 | \$12,327,100 | \$4,935,000 |
| Maintenance and Preservation Expenses | \$4,890,000 | \$4,890,000 | \$4,890,000 | \$4,890,000 | \$4,890,000 |
| Operations and Maintenance Expenses | \$43,370,000 | \$43,370,000 | \$43,370,000 | \$43,370,000 | \$43,370,000 |
| Balance | \$978,000 | \$3,334,800 | \$8,224,800 | \$787,700 | \$742,700 |
| SDDOT |  |  |  |  |  |
| Revenue | \$119,992,000 | \$79,334,000 | \$114,408,000 | \$113,674,000 | \$97,287,000 |
| Capital Expenses | \$94,292,000 | \$17,294,000 | \$28,948,000 | \$34,774,000 | \$0 |
| Maintenance and Preservation Expenses | \$14,200,000 | \$50,540,000 | \$73,960,000 | \$67,400,000 | \$85,787,000 |
| Operations and Maintenance Expenses | \$11,500,000 | \$11,500,000 | \$11,500,000 | \$11,500,000 | \$11,500,000 |
| Balance | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Summerset |  |  |  |  |  |
| Revenue | \$425,000 | \$425,000 | \$425,000 | \$425,000 | \$425,000 |
| Operations and Maintenance Expenses | \$425,000 | \$425,000 | \$425,000 | \$425,000 | \$425,000 |
| Balance | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |

This planning effort has relied on the incorporation of results from the travel demand model as a source of information about future areas of roadway congestion. For this evaluation, capacity has been defined as any roadway with volumes greater than 70 percent of the capacity.
Figure 5 in Chapter III presented the anticipated future roadway congested locations based on inclusion of only committed roadway improvements in 2040. Corridors with future congestion were included in the Roadway Needs Plan with many Fiscally Constrained Projects identified to remedy future congestion. Figure 25 shows the location of remaining future congestion in 2040 after the implementation of roadway capacity projects in the Fiscally Constrained Plan.

Figure 25. 2040 Congested Corridors with Implementation of Fiscally Constrained Plan
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Population projections are not available at the city level but if Summerset grows at the same rate as forecasted for the county overall, it will not reach the STP threshold of 5,000 people by 2020 or even 2030. County population projections are published by the South Dakota Department of Labor and Regulation.

[^1]:    ${ }^{3}$ http://rapidcityjournal.com/news/local/wheel-tax-gets-cold-reception-in-pennington-county/article_adb39058-580e-5ae8-b7b9-e34d416d9804.html

